

# The Banking Law Journal

Established 1889

An A.S. Pratt™ PUBLICATION

NOVEMBER-DECEMBER 2022

**Editor's Note: A Regulatory Wave**  
Victoria Prussen Spears

**How Should Foreign Banks Be Permitted to Engage in Nonbanking Activities within the United States?**  
Douglas Landy and James Kong

**An Antitrust War Against Private Equity?**  
David H. Evans

**FinCEN and U.S. Department of Commerce Issue Joint Alert Highlighting Risks of Export Control Violations for Financial Institutions**  
Carlton Greene, Jeffrey L. Snyder, Anand Sithian, Chandler S. Leonard, Jeremy Iloulian and Jackie Schaeffer

**New York State Department of Financial Services Issues Guidance Addressing USD-Backed Stablecoins; Proposed Responsible Financial Innovation Act Addresses Similar Concerns**  
David J. Harris, Timothy Spangler, Robert J. Rhatigan, Andrew J. Schaffer and Cindy Wu

**A Look Inside California's Commercial Financing Disclosure Regulations**  
Nancy R. Thomas and Calvin Dennis Funk

**The Other Shoe Drops: California DFPI Issues Proposed UDAAP Regulations for Providers of Commercial Financing to Small Businesses and Others**  
Nancy R. Thomas and Calvin Dennis Funk

**Lender Liability Is Alive and Well, as a Recent Bankruptcy Case Shows**  
Marcus O. Colabianchi and Malcolm M. Bates

**Art Finance for High Net Worth Individuals**  
Tristan Dollie

# THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL

---

---

VOLUME 139

NUMBER 10

November–December 2022

---

<b>Editor's Note: A Regulatory Wave</b> Victoria Prussen Spears	551
<b>How Should Foreign Banks Be Permitted to Engage in Nonbanking Activities within the United States?</b> Douglas Landy and James Kong	554
<b>An Antitrust War Against Private Equity?</b> David H. Evans	563
<b>FinCEN and U.S. Department of Commerce Issue Joint Alert Highlighting Risks of Export Control Violations for Financial Institutions</b> Carlton Greene, Jeffrey L. Snyder, Anand Sithian, Chandler S. Leonard, Jeremy Iloulian and Jackie Schaeffer	569
<b>New York State Department of Financial Services Issues Guidance Addressing USD-Backed Stablecoins; Proposed Responsible Financial Innovation Act Addresses Similar Concerns</b> David J. Harris, Timothy Spangler, Robert J. Rhatigan, Andrew J. Schaffer and Cindy Wu	577
<b>A Look Inside California's Commercial Financing Disclosure Regulations</b> Nancy R. Thomas and Calvin Dennis Funk	581
<b>The Other Shoe Drops: California DFPI Issues Proposed UDAAP Regulations for Providers of Commercial Financing to Small Businesses and Others</b> Nancy R. Thomas and Calvin Dennis Funk	587
<b>Lender Liability Is Alive and Well, as a Recent Bankruptcy Case Shows</b> Marcus O. Colabianchi and Malcolm M. Bates	591
<b>Art Finance for High Net Worth Individuals</b> Tristan Dollie	594

**QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?**

---

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call:

Matthew T. Burke at ..... (800) 252-9257  
Email: ..... matthew.t.burke@lexisnexis.com  
Outside the United States and Canada, please call ..... (973) 820-2000

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:

Customer Services Department at ..... (800) 833-9844  
Outside the United States and Canada, please call ..... (518) 487-3385  
Fax Number ..... (800) 828-8341  
Customer Service Website ..... <http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call  
Your account manager or ..... (800) 223-1940  
Outside the United States and Canada, please call ..... (937) 247-0293

---

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7878-2 (print)

ISSN: 0005-5506 (Print)

Cite this publication as:

The Banking Law Journal (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

---

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2022 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office  
230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862  
[www.lexisnexis.com](http://www.lexisnexis.com)

MATTHEW  BENDER

# *Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors*

---

**EDITOR-IN-CHIEF**

**STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ**

*President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.*

**EDITOR**

**VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS**

*Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.*

**BOARD OF EDITORS**

**BARKLEY CLARK**

*Partner, Stinson Leonard Street LLP*

**CARLETON GOSS**

*Counsel, Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP*

**MICHAEL J. HELLER**

*Partner, Rivkin Radler LLP*

**SATISH M. KINI**

*Partner, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP*

**DOUGLAS LANDY**

*White & Case LLP*

**PAUL L. LEE**

*Of Counsel, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP*

**TIMOTHY D. NAEGELE**

*Partner, Timothy D. Naegele & Associates*

**STEPHEN J. NEWMAN**

*Partner, Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP*

THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL (ISBN 978-0-76987-878-2) (USPS 003-160) is published ten times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2022 Reed Elsevier Properties SA., used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail [Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com](mailto:Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com). Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park, NY 11005, [smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com](mailto:smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com), 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to bankers, officers of financial institutions, and their attorneys. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL, A.S. Pratt & Sons, 805 Fifteenth Street, NW, Third Floor, Washington, DC 20005-2207.

# FinCEN and U.S. Department of Commerce Issue Joint Alert Highlighting Risks of Export Control Violations for Financial Institutions

*By Carlton Greene, Jeffrey L. Snyder, Anand Sithian, Chandler S. Leonard, Jeremy Iloulian and Jackie Schaeffer\**

*Financial institutions regulated under the Bank Secrecy Act have been alerted to remain vigilant of efforts by third parties to evade the extensive U.S. export controls imposed on Russia and Belarus relating to Russia's invasion of Ukraine. Covered institutions are now on notice that they are expected to take reasonable steps to review export-related information and to identify and report suspicious transactions that appear to involve export control evasion.*

The Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (“FinCEN”) and the U.S. Department of Commerce’s Bureau of Industry and Security (“BIS”) have issued a joint alert (the “Alert”), urging financial institutions regulated under the Bank Secrecy Act (“BSA”) to remain vigilant of efforts by third parties to evade the extensive U.S. export controls imposed on Russia and Belarus relating to Russia’s invasion of Ukraine. The Alert provides BSA-regulated financial institutions (“Covered Institutions”) with guidance on how to identify customers and transactions that may pose elevated export control evasion risks. The Alert reflects the Biden Administration’s “whole of government” approach to prevent Russian circumvention of U.S. export controls.

This appears to mark the first public instance of FinCEN and BIS issuing joint tailored guidance on export control risks to financial institutions. The

---

\* Carlton Greene (cgreene@crowell.com) is a partner in Crowell & Moring’s Washington, D.C., office and a member of the firm’s International Trade and White Collar & Regulatory Enforcement groups. He provides strategic advice to clients on U.S. economic sanctions, Bank Secrecy Act and anti-money laundering laws and regulations, export controls, and anti-corruption/anti-bribery laws and regulations. Jeffrey L. Snyder (jsnyder@crowell.com), a partner in the firm’s International Trade Group in Washington, D.C., concentrates his practice on the U.S. regulation of international trade. Anand Sithian (asithian@crowell.com) is counsel in the firm’s New York office and is a member of the firm’s International Trade and the White Collar & Regulatory Enforcement groups. He advises clients on a variety of regulatory issues and investigations relating to anti-money laundering, the Bank Secrecy Act, U.S. economic sanctions, including those administered by the Office of Foreign Assets Control, and asset forfeiture matters. Chandler S. Leonard (cleonard@crowell.com) is an associate in the firm’s Washington, D.C., office and a member of the firm’s International Trade Group. Jeremy Iloulian (jiloulian@crowell.com) is an associate in the firm’s Chicago office and a member of the firm’s International Trade Group. Jackie Schaeffer (jschaeffer@crowell.com) was a summer associate in the firm’s Washington, D.C., office.

Alert comes at a moment when the United States and allied countries with common export restrictions continue to explore ways to ensure financial institutions are identifying and preventing transactions that present Russian evasion risk.

While Covered Institutions, particularly those that engage in cross-border transactions, are typically familiar with sanctions risks, sanctions evasion typologies and corresponding compliance regimes, the Alert both signals FinCEN's expectations that Covered Institutions consider and report suspected violations or evasions of export controls, and requires those institutions to understand the various export control prohibitions BIS has imposed in response to Russia's invasion. Specifically, the Alert states FinCEN's expectation that Covered Institutions will apply a "risk-based" approach to export control-related transactions, and provides regulatory guidance on:

- Commodities presenting "special concern" to BIS;
- Documents that should be considered when assessing potential suspicious activity relating to export-related transactions; and
- 22 "red flag" indicators of potential export control evasion.

## THE VIEW FROM WASHINGTON

The Alert reflects the importance that export controls play alongside sanctions in the approaches the United States, the European Union and allied countries have taken in response to Russia. It also comes one day after the Group of 7 Nations ("G7") announced that its members would issue another round of sanctions against hundreds of individuals and entities, and pursue enforcement actions against persons involved in assisting Russia to evade sanctions.<sup>1</sup> These announcements are in addition to:

- The U.S. Department of Justice's ("DOJ's") launch of Task Force KleptoCapture (a DOJ unit that targets third parties aiding export controls and sanctions evasion, including individuals and financial institutions, and also seeks to forfeit the assets of Russian oligarchs that support Russia's actions in Ukraine);
- U.S. Deputy Treasury Secretary Adewale Adeyemo's subsequent warning to representatives of foreign financial institutions against facilitating

---

<sup>1</sup> For example, on June 28, 2022, BIS designated five Chinese companies and one Uzbek company on its Entity List. <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2022/06/30/2022-14069/addition-of-entities-revision-and-correction-of-entries-and-removal-of-entities-from-the-entity-list>.

sanctions evasion during a May meeting in Washington;

- Newly approved proposals in the European Union to criminalize sanctions evasion; and
- The establishment of a multilateral Russian Elites, Proxies, and Oligarchs (“REPO”) task force, which aims to facilitate information sharing among REPO members so that they may “take concrete actions, including sanctions, asset freezing, and civil and criminal asset seizure, and criminal prosecution.”

**SUMMARY OF THE ALERT**

**Commodities of Special Concern**

BIS identified a list of commodities that “present[] special concern” as diversion of these items to Russia and Belarus could stand to bolster their respective militaries and defense sectors.<sup>2</sup> The creation of this “special concern” list is intended to assist Covered Institutions in risk-based screening of export-related financial transactions.

Item	Export Control Classification Number	Item	Export Control Classification Number
Aircraft Parts/ Equipment	9A991	Sonar Systems	6A991
Antennas	7A994	Spectrophotometers	3A999
Breathing Systems	8A992	Test Equipment	3B992
Cameras	6A993	Thrusters	8A992
GPS Systems	7A994	Underwater Communications	5A991
Inertial Measurement Units	7A994	Vacuum Pumps	2B999
Integrated Circuits	3A001, 3A991, 5A991	Wafer Fabrication Equipment	3B001, 3B991
Oil Field Equipment	EAR99	Wafer Substrates	3C00x

All of the items listed already require a BIS license to export or reexport to Russia or Belarus, and some are also subject to U.S. Export Administration Regulations’ (“EAR”) foreign direct product rule.<sup>3</sup> While the items present special concern to BIS, the list represents only a small fraction of commodities within the scope of the new export restrictions and prohibitions.

<sup>2</sup> Under the U.S. Export Administration Regulations “commodities” mean tangible items as opposed to software or technology.

<sup>3</sup> The foreign direct product rule means that the item is subject to U.S. Export Administration Regulations even if it is not of U.S. origin or less than a de minimis amount of U.S. origin, if it is the derivative of certain U.S. origin software or technology.

## Applying a Risk-Based Approach to Trade Finance Screening

The Alert attempts to address the challenge that Covered Institutions face when reviewing export control-related risks given the limited information that is often available to them in an export/import context, by specifically highlighting the information that is potentially available to Covered Institutions, including, in particular, international trade financing mechanisms that may be used to identify potentially suspicious activity, including:

- Customers' end-use certificates and export documents associated with letters of credit-based trade financing;
- Transmittal orders containing information about the other parties to the transactions, such as SWIFT messages; and
- Importers' wire transfer payments to exporters for the export if it is received by an exporter's financial institution or handled as part of a correspondent banking transaction.

The Alert emphasizes that Covered Institutions with customers in the maritime or export/import industries employ measures consistent with internal risk assessments and their BSA obligations, such as FinCEN's customer due diligence and beneficial ownership requirements, to identify and mitigate export control-related risks.

## Red Flag Indicators of Export Control Evasion

FinCEN and BIS identify 22 transactional and behavioral "red flags" to help Covered Institutions identify suspicious activity potentially related to export control evasion. Like other red flags FinCEN (or BIS) has identified in previous guidance, these are not themselves dispositive indicators of suspicious activity, but may upon investigation and consideration of other risk-based customer and transactional due diligence result in determinations that the activity is suspicious and must be reported to FinCEN. In particular, the agencies note that one common evasion tactic involves illicit actors attempting to procure EAR99 items—typically "low-tech" consumer goods not specifically identified on the Commerce Control List.<sup>4</sup> Other evasion risks, which are common in the sanctions space, involve efforts by illicit actors to engage third parties, such as shippers or customs brokers, to obscure the types of goods involved in the transaction or their ultimate destination.

Some "red flag" examples include:

---

<sup>4</sup> The Commerce Control List is a list of items that are subject to elevated export control restrictions and is divided into ten broad categories. Each category is further subdivided into five product groups.

- Large-dollar or large-volume purchases of EAR99 items, including through the use of business credit cards, and especially if paired with purchases at shipping companies;
- Transactions involving freight-forwarding firms that are also listed as the product's final end customer, and where the shipping route includes traditional Russian transshipment hubs;<sup>5</sup>
- Export transactions identified through correspondent banking activities involving non-U.S. parties that have shared owners or addresses with Russian state-owned entities or designated companies;
- A customer acquires new vessels for no apparent economic or business purpose (i.e., outside their normal customer business practices), or for use in shipping corridors involving known transshipment countries;
- Transactions involving entities with little or no internet presence;
- Changing transactions previously involving Russian or Belarussian purchasers and end users to have payments to third-party countries or shipped to third-party countries, such as last-minute changes to transactions associated with an originator or beneficiary located in Russia or Belarus;
- Transactions involving consolidated shipments of luxury goods that previously would have been destined for Russia or Belarus, but are now destined for a transshipment country or a country without restrictions on exports/reexports to Russia or Belarus;
- Rapid shifts to new purchasers of restricted luxury goods; and
- Export-related transactions that involve entities with links to Russian state-owned corporations (including shared ownership, as well as branches of, subsidiaries of or shareholders).

### **Relevant BSA Obligations**

The Alert concludes by reminding Covered Institutions that they are required to file suspicious activity reports (“SARs”) when they “know[ ], suspect[ ], or ha[ve] reason to suspect a transaction conducted or attempted by, at, or through” a Covered Institution lacks a business or apparent lawful purpose, or involves the use of the institution to facilitate criminal activity,

---

<sup>5</sup> FinCEN and BIS identified the following countries as among those to be common transshipment points for export-controlled goods to pass prior to delivery in Russia or Belarus: Armenia, Brazil, China, Georgia, India, Israel, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Mexico, Nicaragua, Serbia, Singapore, South Africa, Taiwan, Tajikistan, Turkey, United Arab Emirates and Uzbekistan.

including export controls or sanctions evasion. It also reminds Covered Institutions that SARs may be shared only with certain law enforcement agencies and supervisory regulators described in applicable SAR regulations. These tend to be federal, state or local criminal law enforcement agencies or financial regulators that regulate the Covered Institution for compliance with the BSA, and would not include BIS (though BIS may have access to such information directly from FinCEN).

FinCEN requests that Covered Institutions filing SARs related to Russian export controls and sanctions evasion cite the Alert by:

- Including the term “FIN-2022-RUSSIABIS” in: (a) SAR field 2 and (b) the narrative section;
- Selecting box 38(z) (Other Suspicious Activity) and noting “Russia Export Restrictions Evasion”;
- Listing in field 45(z) (Other Product Types) the appropriate North American Industry Code(s) (“NAICs”) for the product(s) involved; and
- Including, in field 46, the appropriate financial instrument or payment mechanism.

The SARs should contain all available information relating to:

- Products or services involved in the suspicious activity, including all available transportation and trade financing documentation, accounts and locations involved;
- Information and descriptions of any legal entities or arrangements involved or associated with beneficial owners;
- Any information about related persons or entities (including transportation companies or services) involved in the activity; and
- Any and all available information regarding other domestic and foreign financial institutions and businesses or persons involved in the activity.

Where appropriate, the Alert advises financial institutions to consider jointly filing SARs when suspicious activity occurs.

## TAKEAWAYS

- Covered Institutions are now on notice that FinCEN and BIS will expect them to take reasonable steps to review export-related information and to identify and report suspicious transactions that appear to involve export control evasion.
- While aimed at Covered Institutions, this Alert provides valuable

guidance from two U.S. government agencies on expectations for “risk-based” compliance programs and red flag indicators for Russian evasion tactics that would be useful for any party to an export transaction, including the exporter, shipping company or customs broker, to consider as part of their trade compliance measures.

- Borrowers and other customers of Covered Institutions may start receiving information requests regarding their compliance with export controls for export-related transactions, particularly when negotiating credit agreements with lenders or in know-your-customer onboarding diligence. This will be especially true for customers manufacturing or exporting commodities of “special concern,” but may also involve those undertaking transactions involving export-controlled goods or involving known transshipment points for Russian and Belarusian end use.
- Covered Institutions should familiarize themselves with applicable export control regulations and consider whether updates to their compliance programs are warranted.
- Customers of Covered Institutions should keep in mind, when deciding whether or not to file voluntary disclosures to BIS of potential violations, the possibility that the Covered Institution may have reported a particular transaction in a SAR, and that BIS may have access to such reports. This may lead to not only an inability to claim disclosure credit (because BIS was aware of the issue previously) but also increased information requests to customers on the basis of information disclosed by Covered Institutions.
- Covered Institutions should consider that, under General Prohibition 10 of the EAR, they might themselves be subject to liability for financing or otherwise facilitating prohibited exports, reexports or transfers, and that SARs filed on potential export violations may trigger inquiries from BIS.<sup>6</sup>
- Covered Institutions also should be careful about which agencies they share SARs with. Although the Alert represents new collaboration with FinCEN and BIS, Covered Institutions remain subject to traditional BSA restrictions that limit direct sharing of SARs by financial institu-

---

<sup>6</sup> BIS’s General Prohibition 10 prohibits persons—both U.S. and non-U.S. persons—from selling, transferring, exporting, reexporting, financing, ordering, buying, removing, concealing, storing, using, loaning, disposing of, transporting, forwarding or servicing “any item subject to the EAR and exported or to be exported with knowledge that a violation of the [EAR] . . . has occurred, is about to occur, or is intended to occur.” 15 C.F.R. § 736.2(b)(10).

tions to specific law enforcement agencies and financial regulators.