

# Antitrust division praises early success and heralds new endeavors for Procurement Collusion Strike Force

By Alexis V. DeBernardis, Esq., and Eric Ashby II, Esq., *Crowell & Moring\**

APRIL 15, 2021

As part of its annual Spring Update, the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice touted the expansion and early success of its Procurement Collusion Strike Force (PCSF), both in coordinating efforts among local, state, and federal enforcers and in leveraging the resources and skills of those stakeholders to identify potential antitrust violations in government procurements.

---

The Antitrust Division stated that, as it enters its second year, it is pivoting from its initial objective of spreading awareness of antitrust crimes involving government contracts to “gearing up to bring investigations to the recommendation and disposition stage.”

---

The DOJ stood up the PCSF<sup>1</sup> in late 2019 with a team of United States Attorneys’ offices from 13 districts and investigative and law enforcement agents from five partner agencies, including the FBI, the Department of Defense, the GSA, and the U.S. Postal Service; it now boasts 22 U.S. Attorneys’ offices, as well as new “like-minded” partners from the Air Force Office of Special Investigations and the Department of Homeland Security OIG.

The DOJ Update references active PCSF investigations of procurements ranging from defense and national security to local public works projects.

The Antitrust Division stated that, as it enters its second year, it is pivoting from its initial objective of spreading awareness of antitrust crimes involving government contracts to “gearing up to bring investigations to the recommendation and disposition stage.”

The update pointed to recent indictments involving bid rigging in state projects<sup>2</sup> and alleged violations of the Procurement Integrity Act<sup>3</sup>, and introduced two new PCSF endeavors to combat collusion in government contracting.

The first is the PCSF Data Analytics Project, a new resource to detect potential bid rigging. The PCSF launched this endeavor

in 2020 through virtual workshops and then solicited help from “dozens of agency analytics shops” to develop dedicated tools to detect potential collusion by reviewing bid patterns across aggregated data.

The Data Analytics Project will leverage various PCSF partners and their respective procurement platforms to collect and retain bid data and potentially share it among government buyers.

We expect that the use of increasingly advanced data analytics to identify potential bid rigging at all levels of government and across agencies will result in more inquiries and investigations by government enforcers.

And the PCSF is not limiting its sights to domestic procurements. The second announcement related to PCSF: Global, a newly-branded extension of the strike force’s efforts to prevent, detect, and prosecute bid rigging abroad.

---

The use of increasingly advanced data analytics to identify potential bid rigging at all levels of government and across agencies will result in more inquiries and investigations by government enforcers.

---

Taking advantage of DOJ resources in the criminal and international sections, the aim of PCSF: Global is to expand the strike force’s reach by building relationships with foreign competition authorities in order to better protect U.S. taxpayer-funded procurements internationally.

The update referenced ongoing international investigations “affecting U.S. government procurements in multiple states, nationwide, and overseas”, including one involving 11 agencies and other offices.

Noting the “staggering amount” of U.S. funds spent internationally, the Antitrust Division recognizes that enforcement efforts there likely will not be in vain.

The continued focus and investments of the PCSF indicate that preserving competition in public procurements will remain a priority of the Antitrust Division in the Biden Administration, and places a premium on up-to-date antitrust compliance programs for government contactors large and small.

#### Notes

<sup>1</sup> <https://bit.ly/39TssP0>

<sup>2</sup> <https://bit.ly/3wCm7Rt>

<sup>3</sup> <https://bit.ly/2PLW75A>

*This article was published on Westlaw Today on April 15, 2021.*

\* © 2021 Alexis V. DeBernardis, Esq., and Eric Ashby II, Esq.,  
Crowell & Moring

#### ABOUT THE AUTHORS



**Alexis V. DeBernardis** (L), a counsel in **Crowell & Moring's** Antitrust & Competition Group, represents government contractors in procurement issues, including teaming agreements and competitor collaborations, internal investigations, navigating the Federal Trade Commission and Justice Department, and developing compliance programs. She can be reached at [ADeBernardis@crowell.com](mailto:ADeBernardis@crowell.com). **Eric Ashby II** (R), an associate and member of the firm's Antitrust & Competition and Government Contracts groups, represents government contractors in procurement ethics and compliance, as well as civil and criminal matters. He can be reached at [EAshby@crowell.com](mailto:EAshby@crowell.com). Both authors are based in Washington, D.C. This article was originally published March 25, 2021, on the Crowell & Moring firm website. Republished with permission.

**Thomson Reuters** develops and delivers intelligent information and solutions for professionals, connecting and empowering global markets. We enable professionals to make the decisions that matter most, all powered by the world's most trusted news organization.