

Trump's TikTok, WeChat Orders May Yield Different Results

By **Caroline Brown and Robert Holleyman** (August 12, 2020, 6:30 PM EDT)

On Aug. 6, the president issued two executive orders pursuant to the International Emergency Economic Powers Act, or IEEPA, and the National Emergencies Act, or NEA, prohibiting U.S. persons from engaging in transactions with the Chinese-owned parent companies of the mobile applications TikTok and WeChat.[1]

The secretary of commerce is directed to identify transactions subject to the orders within 45 days — by Sept. 20 — when the prohibitions go into effect. The orders do not confer any authority on the secretary to take immediate or earlier action.

The executive orders, which mirror one another in several instances, do not declare a new national emergency, but rather base the prohibitions on the same national emergency declared with respect to the information and communications technology and services supply chain as pronounced in Executive Order 13873, Securing the Information and Communications Technology and Services Supply Chain.[3]

The orders note "the spread in the United States of mobile applications developed and owned by companies in the People's Republic of China (China) continues to threaten the national security, foreign policy, and economy of the United States," and single out TikTok and WeChat as being of particular concern.

Specifically, the EO addressing the mobile video app TikTok states that it "automatically captures vast swaths of information from its users, including Internet and other network activity information such as location data and browsing and search histories." Such collection, according to the TikTok EO, could contribute to China's ability to access Americans' personal and proprietary information, potentially track locations of federal employees and contractors, build dossiers for blackmail and enable corporate espionage on U.S. companies.

Another concern cited by the EO is reported censorship by TikTok of "content that the Chinese Communist Party deems politically sensitive, such as content concerning protests in Hong Kong and China's treatment of Uyghurs and other Muslim minorities" and its potential use in disinformation campaigns.

The EO targeting the messaging, social media and electronic payment app WeChat includes in the



Caroline Brown



Robert Holleyman

description of risks its ability, like that of TikTok, to capture information from its users that "threatens to allow the Chinese Communist Party access to Americans' personal and proprietary information," to censor content deemed politically sensitive and its potential use for disinformation campaigns.

The EO adds that WeChat captures information on Chinese nationals visiting the U.S. It does not identify potential risks to federal employees and contractors, presumably because WeChat has less current adoption in the U.S. than TikTok.

Most notably, the TikTok EO prohibits transactions by any U.S. person with TikTok's parent company, ByteDance Ltd. or its subsidiaries, and not TikTok itself. By contrast, the WeChat EO specifically prohibits transactions "related to WeChat" with Tencent Holdings Ltd., the parent company.

That the TikTok EO does not use the same language in the prohibition to bar transactions "related to TikTok" seems to suggest a path forward for TikTok if it's no longer affiliated with ByteDance. The scope of the WeChat order leaves some ambiguity, so it remains for the commerce secretary to define whether the EO extends to other transactions with Tencent or its subsidiaries.

Tencent has ownership stakes in several U.S. companies other than WeChat, especially in the video gaming industry. Accordingly, using the WeChat EO as grounds for prohibiting transactions with other companies or apps backed by Tencent could have far-reaching effects. While a suggestion has been made by White House officials^[4] that the EO is only targeted at transactions specifically involving WeChat and the language may be interpreted as such, the order itself doesn't explicitly limit its coverage to that.

Neither EO defines transactions subject to the EOs, nor do they immediately prohibit any transactions with ByteDance or Tencent. Instead, the EOs direct the secretary of commerce to "identify the transactions" subject to the prohibition by Sept. 20.

We expect that within this identification, the secretary of commerce will delineate of the scope of the WeChat order. A provision in the EOs explicitly provides that any transaction designed to evade or avoid the prohibition is also prohibited.

Commentary

For months, the fate of TikTok has hinged on review of ByteDance's acquisition of Musical.ly by the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States. Although ByteDance acquired Musical.ly in 2017, and rebranded it to TikTok, it failed to file a notice with CFIUS. Following congressional pressure, CFIUS began review of the so-called nonnotified transaction in November 2019.

Although Musical.ly, like its parent ByteDance, was a Chinese company, CFIUS is authorized to review those transactions where foreign acquisition of U.S. entities — interpreted to include those with a substantial U.S. presence — may pose a threat to U.S. national security.

Recent public reporting suggests that TikTok is in discussions with a potential U.S. acquirer following CFIUS review. If ByteDance divests its ownership interests in TikTok before Sept. 20 — the date that the EOs go into effect — the EO will not affect TikTok's operations.

While the TikTok EO is plainly targeted at TikTok, it prohibits transactions only with ByteDance and not TikTok itself, so ByteDance's divestiture of TikTok would position TikTok beyond the scope of the order.

The EO would still apply to other transactions with ByteDance or its subsidiaries.

Conversely, WeChat does not seem to have a similar exit to avoid becoming subject to the WeChat EO. While a smaller number of U.S. persons use WeChat, the app services a large swath of payments and e-commerce for U.S. businesses in China. The EO could substantially affect their operations in China should they be cut off from the app.

Similarly, many U.S. businesses operating within the U.S., and other U.S. persons, rely on the messaging app to communicate with counterparts in China. Depending on how the EO is implemented, that line of communication could no longer be an open pathway after Sept. 20.

Protecting the Information and Communications Technology and Services Supply Chain

These orders are the latest in a series of actions designed to protect the information and communications technology, and services supply chain. Other actions include the expanded use of export controls to limit Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd.'s ability to produce or develop products using certain U.S. software and technology and Section 889 of the 2019 National Defense Authorization Act, which prohibits the federal government from entering into a contract with any entity that uses "covered telecommunications equipment or services."

Both orders are predicated on Executive Order 13873, Securing the Information and Communications Technology and Services Supply Chain, issued last May. That order directed the secretary of commerce to promulgate regulations to prohibit transactions involving components produced by so-called foreign adversaries that pose risks to U.S. national security.

Pursuant to the information and communications technology and services executive order, the U.S. Department of Commerce proposed regulations on Nov. 27, 2019, that adopt a case-by-case framework through which Commerce can review information and communications technology, and services that have connections to a foreign adversary, and determine whether certain transactions are prohibited or must be mitigated due to national security concerns.

Separately, CFIUS has long since been focused on the extent that information can be collected in vast quantities and the corresponding ability for foreign intelligence to manipulate that information.

Recent legislation modernizing CFIUS, the Foreign Investment Risk Review Modernization Act, explicitly extends to foreign investors' access to personal identifiable information of U.S. citizens, making it clear that CFIUS will continue to scrutinize foreign acquisition of U.S. businesses that collect or maintain such information from U.S. citizens.

Indeed, CFIUS has forced divestiture of U.S. businesses where the collection of sensitive information was at issue, as was the case with Grindr.

Similar in some ways to CFIUS, Team Telecom, the interagency national security body that reviews certain Federal Communications Commission licensing applications for national security and law enforcement concerns, recently recommended that the FCC deny an application by Pacific Light Cable Network, backed by Facebook Inc. and Google Inc., for a submarine cable system.

The cable system would have directly connected the mainland U.S. and Hong Kong.[5] Team Telecom raised concerns about PLCN's Chinese owners and their relationship with China's intelligence and

security services. Team Telecom has previously recommended that the FCC take action with respect to other Chinese-owned telecoms.[6][7]

The executive orders also come on the heels of two other developments last week: the U.S. Senate's unanimous vote to approve a bill prohibiting federal workers from using TikTok on government-issued devices and the secretary of state's announcement to expand the Clean Network Program in an effort to protect the nation's critical telecommunications and technology infrastructure.[8]

Taken together, these developments signal an all tools approach to curb the perceived threat to national security presented by vulnerabilities in the information and communications technology and services infrastructure, and supply chain.

Conclusion

Should ByteDance divest its ownership interest of TikTok, the effect of the Executive Order on TikTok's operations will be nullified. It should be noted, however, that CFIUS retains its authority to review any acquisition of TikTok, and any such acquisition would necessarily need to ameliorate any national security concerns of the committee. This argues in favor of acquisition of TikTok's U.S. assets by a U.S. entity.

The date by which a deal is rumored to be struck, Sept. 15, should be on the radar for those with interests in TikTok. Should any acquisition fall through, TikTok would be subject to the EO when it goes into effect five days later on Sept. 20. Because the TikTok EO targets transactions with ByteDance, other transactions involving ByteDance could remain subject to the order notwithstanding whether TikTok is still owned by the company.

The EO regarding WeChat is potentially further reaching as the scope of the order could extend to investment relationships and business dealings with companies in China. At stake could also be the payments and e-commerce platform that many U.S. companies use to access the Chinese market.

Depending on how the WeChat order is implemented, many could find their communication connections severed and ability to do business hindered. Finally, a separate, open question is whether the administration will use these EOs as templates for similar action against other Chinese-owned companies and apps in an effort to further protect the information and communications technology and services supply chain.

Caroline E. Brown is a partner at Crowell & Moring LLP. She previously worked at the U.S. Department of Justice National Security Division and the U.S. Department of the Treasury.

Ambassador Robert Holleyman is a partner at Crowell & Moring, and president and CEO of C&M International, an affiliate of the firm. He formerly served as deputy U.S. trade representative.

The opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the firm, its clients or Portfolio Media Inc., or any of its or their respective affiliates. This article is for general information purposes and is not intended to be and should not be taken as legal advice.

[1] <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/executive-order-addressing-threat-posed-tiktok/>.

[2] <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/executive-order-addressing-threat-posed-wechat/>.

[3] <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/executive-order-securing-information-communications-technology-services-supply-chain/>.

[4] <https://www.latimes.com/business/technology/story/2020-08-07/trump-banned-tiktok-and-wechat-are-video-games-next>.

[5] <https://www.crowell.com/NewsEvents/AlertsNewsletters/all/Team-Telecom-Opposes-United-States-Hong-Kong-Communications-Link>.

[6] <https://www.crowell.com/NewsEvents/AlertsNewsletters/all/TeamTelecom-RecommendsthattheFCC-RescindChina-Telecoms-Authorizations-to-Provide-International-Telecommunications-Services-to-and-from-the-United-States>.

[7] <https://www.crowell.com/NewsEvents/AlertsNewsletters/all/Scrutiny-of-Foreign-Ownership-in-the-Telecommunications-Sector-Gains-Momentum-with-the-FCCs-Issuance-of-Show-Cause-Orders-and-a-Recent-Public-Notice-Refreshing-the-Team-Telecom-Record-and-Requesting-Comments>.

[8] <https://www.state.gov/announcing-the-expansion-of-the-clean-network-to-safeguard-americas-assets/>.