

AN A.S. PRATT PUBLICATION

OCTOBER 2017

VOL. 3 • NO. 10

PRATT'S  
**GOVERNMENT  
CONTRACTING  
LAW**  
REPORT



**EDITOR'S NOTE: CONTRACTING  
CORNUCOPIA**

Victoria Prussen Spears

**HIGHLIGHTS OF DOD INDUSTRY  
INFORMATION DAY ON THE DFARS CYBER  
RULE**

Susan B. Cassidy and Ashden Fein

**O YE OF LITTLE FAITH: BREACHING THE  
DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING  
WHILE COMPLYING WITH THE EXPRESS  
TERMS OF A GOVERNMENT CONTRACT**

James A. Tucker

**MY PROPOSAL HAS A MINOR ERROR - IS IT  
FATAL?**

James Y. Boland and  
Christopher Griesedieck, Jr.

**REPORT OF \$729 MILLION IN IMPROPER  
ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD INCENTIVE  
PAYMENTS FROM 2011 TO 2014 POSES  
RISKS FOR MEANINGFUL USE PROGRAM  
PARTICIPANTS**

Jodi G. Daniel, Laura M. Kidd Cordova, and  
Harsh P. Parikh

**COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS: OFFEROR  
ON A GSA LEASE LACKS STANDING TO  
RAISE APPROPRIATIONS ISSUES**

Anuj Vohra and Evan Sherwood

**ASBCA THROWS DCAA ANOTHER  
BRUSHBACK PITCH**

Daniel E. Chudd and Ethan E. Marsh

**LEGAL DEVELOPMENTS FOR  
CONTRACTORS TO CONSIDER**

James Y. Boland

# PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT

---

VOLUME 3

NUMBER 10

OCTOBER 2017

---

**Editor's Note: Contracting Cornucopia**

Victoria Prussen Spears

337

**Highlights of DOD Industry Information Day on the DFARS  
Cyber Rule**

Susan B. Cassidy and Ashden Fein

340

**O Ye of Little Faith: Breaching the Duty of Good Faith  
and Fair Dealing While Complying with the Express Terms  
of a Government Contract**

James A. Tucker

349

**My Proposal Has A Minor Error—Is It Fatal?**

James Y. Boland and Christopher Griesedieck, Jr.

352

**Report of \$729 Million in Improper Electronic Health  
Record Incentive Payments from 2011 to 2014 Poses Risks  
for Meaningful Use Program Participants**

Jodi G. Daniel, Laura M. Kidd Cordova, and Harsh P. Parikh

355

**Court of Federal Claims: Offeror on a GSA Lease Lacks  
Standing to Raise Appropriations Issues**

Anuj Vohra and Evan Sherwood

358

**ASBCA Throws DCAA Another Brushback Pitch**

Daniel E. Chudd and Ethan E. Marsh

361

**Legal Developments for Contractors to Consider**

James Y. Boland

365

**QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?**

---

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call:

Heidi A. Litman at ..... 516-771-2169  
Email: ..... heidi.a.litman@lexisnexis.com  
Outside the United States and Canada, please call ..... (973) 820-2000

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:

Customer Services Department at ..... (800) 833-9844  
Outside the United States and Canada, please call ..... (518) 487-3385  
Fax Number ..... (800) 828-8341  
Customer Service Website ..... <http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call

Your account manager or ..... (800) 223-1940  
Outside the United States and Canada, please call ..... (937) 247-0293

---

Library of Congress Card Number:

ISBN: 978-1-6328-2705-0 (print)

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [article title], [vol. no.] PRATT’S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT [page number] (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt);

Michelle E. Litteken, GAO Holds NASA Exceeded Its Discretion in Protest of FSS Task Order, 1 PRATT’S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT 30 (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. A.S. Pratt is a registered trademark of Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license.

Copyright © 2017 Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., or Reed Elsevier Properties SA, in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

*An A.S. Pratt® Publication*

Editorial Office  
230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862  
[www.lexisnexis.com](http://www.lexisnexis.com)

MATTHEW  BENDER

# *Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors*

---

**EDITOR-IN-CHIEF**

**STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ**

*President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.*

**EDITOR**

**VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS**

*Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.*

**BOARD OF EDITORS**

**MARY BETH BOSCO**

*Partner, Holland & Knight LLP*

**DARWIN A. HINDMAN III**

*Shareholder, Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC*

**J. ANDREW HOWARD**

*Partner, Alston & Bird LLP*

**KYLE R. JEFCOAT**

*Counsel, Latham & Watkins LLP*

**JOHN E. JENSEN**

*Partner, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP*

**DISMAS LOCARIA**

*Partner, Venable LLP*

**MARCIA G. MADSEN**

*Partner, Mayer Brown LLP*

**KEVIN P. MULLEN**

*Partner, Morrison & Foerster LLP*

**VINCENT J. NAPOLEON**

*Partner, Nixon Peabody LLP*

**STUART W. TURNER**

*Counsel, Arnold & Porter LLP*

**WALTER A.I. WILSON**

*Senior Partner, Polsinelli PC*

PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT is published twelve times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright 2017 Reed Elsevier Properties SA., used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For permission to photocopy or use material electronically from *Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report*, please access [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com) or contact the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, 978-750-8400. CCC is a not-for-profit organization that provides licenses and registration for a variety of users. For subscription information and customer service, call 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquires and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005, [smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com](mailto:smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com), 718.224.2258. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to government contractors, attorneys and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, and senior business executives. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 630 Central Avenue, New Providence, NJ 07974.

# Report of \$729 Million in Improper Electronic Health Record Incentive Payments from 2011 to 2014 Poses Risks for Meaningful Use Program Participants

***By Jodi G. Daniel, Laura M. Kidd Cordova, and Harsh P. Parikh\****

*In this article, the authors explain a recent Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Inspector General, audit report, which estimated that the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services improperly paid more than \$729 million in electronic health records incentives to professionals during the initial years of the incentives.*

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (“CMS”) has spent billions of dollars to encourage the meaningful use of electronic health records (“EHR”). In a recent audit report,<sup>1</sup> the Department of Health and Human Services (“HHS”), Office of the Inspector General (“OIG”) estimated that CMS improperly paid more than \$729 million in EHR incentives to professionals during the initial years of the EHR incentive. These findings highlight a potential area for significant overpayment recovery actions that could pose certain risks for recipients of incentive payments.

Although the estimate is based only on a sample of 100 professionals, the OIG has recommended that CMS should attempt recovery of the inappropriate payments. The report signals an increased focus by the government on the EHR incentive programs, which could impact participants of the EHR programs. In light of potential risks identified by the OIG report, vendors and professionals that participate in the Medicare and Medicaid EHR incentive programs (Meaningful Use Program) should confirm their compliance with federal rules and regulations.

---

\* Jodi G. Daniel, a partner at Crowell & Moring LLP and a member of the firm’s Health Care Group, leads the firm’s Digital Health Practice and provides advice to clients on the legal and regulatory environments related to technology in the health care sector to help them achieve their business goals. Laura M. Kidd Cordova, a partner in the firm’s White Collar & Regulatory Enforcement and Health Care groups, represents clients across the health care industry in internal investigations and government enforcement actions. Harsh P. Parikh, an associate at the firm practicing in the Health Care Group, represents and counsels both payors and providers in a wide range of regulatory, transactional, and litigation matters. The authors may be reached at [jdaniel@crowell.com](mailto:jdaniel@crowell.com), [lcordova@crowell.com](mailto:lcordova@crowell.com), and [hparikh@crowell.com](mailto:hparikh@crowell.com), respectively.

<sup>1</sup> <https://oig.hhs.gov/oas/reports/region5/51400047.asp>.

## EHR INCENTIVE PAYMENTS AND SPECIFIC OIG FINDINGS ON MEANINGFUL USE ATTESTATIONS

The Meaningful Use Program was established by HHS under the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (“HITECH”) Act of 2009. The incentive program was intended to ensure that health care providers were adopting and using health information technology (“health IT”) to improve treatment decisions and provide more coordinated care. To receive EHR incentive payments in each stage of the Meaningful Use Program, healthcare providers must attest that they used certified EHR technology and satisfied the applicable Meaningful Use objectives and measures using the online EHR Registration and Attestation System.<sup>2</sup>

The HHS-OIG conducted the audit to review whether CMS’s oversight of the EHR incentive programs was sufficient and whether participating providers met the program requirements. During the May 2011 to June 2014 audit time period, providers were required to attest that they met all “core” measures of the Meaningful Use Program, and met five of the 10 “menu” measures. The OIG Findings pertained to: (a) a “core” measure that required participants to attest that they performed security risk assessment; and (b) two “menu” measures that required providers to attest they generated patient lists for “quality improvement, reduction of disparities, research, or outreach” and collected certain encounter data. The regulations also require participants to base their attestations on full-years’ worth of encounter data in their second year of participation. To be eligible, professionals must also have 50 percent of their patient encounters at locations with EHR technology.

OIG’s estimate of \$729 million in improper payments was based on a finding of 14 percent error rate in a sample of 100 eligible professionals during the 2011 to June 2014 time period. The OIG determined that 12 of the 14 professionals could not provide documentation to support their attestation that: (a) they conducted or reviewed a security risk analysis as required by a “core” measure, or (b) generated required patient lists or provided patient encounter data required by certain “menu” measures. The OIG also found that one provider reported on an incorrect Meaningful Use time period, and another provider insufficiently used locations with certified EHR technology. The OIG attributes the significant amount of improper payments to CMS’s limited documentation reviews of EHR participants that has left the EHR incentive payment programs “vulnerable to abuse and misuse of Federal funds.”

---

<sup>2</sup> <https://ehrincentives.cms.gov/hitech/login.action>.

**IMPLICATIONS FOR EHR INCENTIVE PARTICIPANTS**

The OIG audit demonstrates an increased focus by the government on the EHR incentive payments. The report comes only weeks after the Department of Justice's False Claims Act ("FCA") settlement with one of the largest vendors of EHR technology. The report indicates that although CMS has implemented "targeted risk-based audits" to strengthen program integrity, CMS did not accept the OIG's recommendation to attempt the recovery of \$729 million overpayments.

Nonetheless, EHR incentive program participants are on notice of potential shortcomings in CMS oversight of the Meaningful Use Program. Recipients of EHR payments may have exposure under the FCA's theory of false certification if they recklessly disregard or deliberately ignore their non-compliance with the Meaningful Use Program. EHR participants should conduct an EHR program review and assess on-going compliance with federal rules and certification standards to ensure that their attestations are truthful. At minimum, focus of the review should be on the same program measurements that were subject of the OIG audit. For instance, professionals should ensure that they have performed a security risk analysis as required by the "core" measure of the Meaningful Use Program.

EHR program participants should also consider reviewing past attestations to determine whether those submissions were mistaken or false. The Meaningful Use Program requires professionals to retain documentation supporting their demonstration of meaningful use for six years. Whether providers should conduct a retrospective compliance review as a result of the OIG audit will depend on facts and circumstance. EHR program participants should be aware that liability may attach under the "reverse false claim" provision of the FCA for professionals that knowingly avoid repayment of identified overpayments. Obtaining guidance from experienced FCA and health care attorneys on investigating, reporting, and returning potential overpayments under the EHR incentive programs is recommended.