

SECURITY BREACH RESPONSE

To Notify Or Not To Notify Is No Longer The Question

**Robin Campbell
Chandra Westergaard**

HOOPS2008
Crowell & Moring LLP

States With Notification Laws

- Alaska
- Arizona
- Arkansas
- California
- Colorado
- Connecticut
- Delaware
- District of Columbia
- Florida
- Georgia
- Hawaii
- Idaho
- Illinois
- Indiana
- Iowa

- Kansas
- Louisiana
- Maine
- Maryland
- Massachusetts
- Michigan
- Minnesota
- Montana
- Nebraska
- Nevada
- New Hampshire
- New Jersey
- New York
- North Carolina
- North Dakota

- Ohio
- Oklahoma
- Oregon
- Pennsylvania
- Puerto Rico
- Rhode Island
- South Carolina
- Tennessee
- Texas
- Utah
- Vermont
- Washington
- West Virginia
- Wisconsin
- Wyoming

Basics

- **Generally requires notification in the event of “an unauthorized access to or acquisition of unencrypted, computerized data”**
- **Basic definition of personal information:**
First name or initial and last name, plus
 - SSN
 - DL number or state ID number
 - Account number, credit or debit number plus security code, access code, or password

Pre-breach measures

Similar to HIPAA Security Rule requirements

- Reasonable and adequate security procedures
- Contractual safeguards for transfers
- Effective and timely document destruction methods and policies
- Encryption for transfers

Look out for Massachusetts style regulations

Difficulty Lies in the Differences

Definition of PI

Items added by other states:

- DOB
- Employer ID
- Account numbers without codes/PINs
- Taxpayer ID
- Any government issued ID
- Medical information
- Health insurance information
- Mother's maiden name
- Digital signature or biometric data
- Tribal ID

If one state definition triggers notification, difficult not to notify in all states affected.

Paper Versus Electronic

Paper States

- Alaska
- Connecticut
- Hawaii
- Indiana
- Massachusetts
- North Carolina
- Wisconsin

Paper for pre-breach

- CA, MD, NJ, UT, VT

Encrypted Data Included

- Louisiana
- Maryland
- Wyoming

Others only include it if encryption key has been compromised as well.

Whom To Notify

- Authorities
 - Delaware
 - Hawaii
 - Maine
 - Maryland
 - Massachusetts
 - New Hampshire
 - New Jersey
 - New York
 - North Carolina
 - Puerto Rico
 - South Carolina
 - Virginia
- Before or after notice to individuals
 - New Jersey, Maryland—prior to notifying individuals
 - Puerto Rico—within 10 days

What To Say

- Content requirements
 - Hawaii
 - Iowa
 - Maryland
 - Massachusetts
 - Michigan
 - New Hampshire
 - New York
 - North Carolina
 - Oregon
 - Puerto Rico
 - Vermont
 - Virginia
 - West Virginia
 - Wisconsin
 - Wyoming
- Conflicting requirements
 - Massachusetts versus everyone else

When To Notify

Owner versus non-owner/vendor

- 45 days owner to individual: FL, OH, WI
- 10 days non-owner to owner: FL
- 10 days to Dept. of Consumer Affairs: PR

Required versus recommended

- Required: 45 days/10 days
- Recommended: CA 10 days to individual
- Contractual: lots of variation

What You Must Provide

- Credit Monitoring Not Yet Legally Required--
AGs/Govs pushing
- Call center/800 number
 - Vermont
 - Virginia
 - Wyoming
- Fraud alert assistance
 - Most states with content requirements require information on how to obtain, but do not require that company assist

Prevention

- **Inventory personal information**
 - What do you have and where is it?
- **Assess vulnerability to breach**
- **Benchmark current security against new standards**
- **Consider alternative use or elimination of personal information and don't collect it unless absolutely necessary**

Prevention, continued...

- **Limit access to personal data**
- **Utilize adequate administrative, technical and physical security safeguards, follow your own policies and procedures**
- **Train, Train, Train, not just on privacy and security, but recognizing breach**
- **Require adequate security of third parties through contract**
 - Update existing business associate agreements?
 - Does it include a notification requirement
 - Indemnification in the event of a breach?
 - Know your contractual obligations with respect to security breach?
- **Use intrusion-detection technology to rapidly detect breach**
- **Dispose of personal information in an effective and timely manner**

Response

Incident Response Plan

- SIRT
- Templates
- Entities that have already been vetted
- Critical contracts, notification deadlines
- Contact lists: SIRT, Vendors, Clients
- Escalation plan, often turns into a business, not legal, decision

Response

- Secure the information/systems
- Conduct investigation
- Involve law enforcement
- Categorize data lost
- Document incident and response
- Be prepared with public statement
- Be consistent in statement, policy, practices
- Prepare for inquiries (policies, contracts, audits)
- Letters to individuals
- Letters to authorities
- Letters to CRAs
- Call Center FAQs/Call Script
- Vendor: Credit Monitoring, Notification

What's Next

- Encryption for transfers: Nevada 08
- Encryption: Massachusetts rules
- General EU style requirements:
Massachusetts rules
- Liability for costs by statute: retailers still
the target

Interplay with HIPAA

- HIPAA does not require notification of affected individuals in the event of breach.
- However, unauthorized disclosure of PHI must be included in any accounting requested by the individual.

Implications for Government Contractors

- Medicare Advantage and Part D Contractors
 - CMS is concerned about potential identity theft affecting Medicare beneficiaries.
 - Contractors are required to notify CMS immediately upon discovery of any security breach compromising beneficiary personally identifiable information.
 - CMS will conduct a risk assessment to determine the plausibility of identity theft when a data loss or breach occurs.

Implications for Medicare Advantage and Part D Contractors, continued...

- Per CMS, there is a reasonable risk of identity theft if data includes
 - a SSN; or
 - the name, address, or telephone number along with an identification number, an account number, or any additional specific factor that could lead to the personal identifying profile of an individual.
- Depending upon the circumstances CMS may require
 - Notice to affected members
 - One year free credit monitoring

Implications for Government Contractors, continued...

- FEHBP Contractors
 - Any breach of security in FEHB enrollee data is considered a “significant event” that must be reported within 10 days of learning of the breach
 - OPM wants contractors to e-mail their Contract Specialists and Contracting Officer immediately in the event of a data breach or a suspected data breach involving FEHB enrollees

Implications for FEHBP Contractors, continued...

- Unlike HIPAA, contractors must notify affected FEHB enrollees of the breach within 10 days, including
 - A letter detailing the incident
 - A description of the types of personal information involved
 - The contractor's efforts to investigate, mitigate, and protect
 - The contractor's contact information and processes
 - Steps individuals should take to protect against identity theft
- Contractors must provide one year free credit monitoring

Other Implications for Government Contractors

- Sanctions for noncompliance including:
 - Monetary penalties
 - Suspension of enrollment
 - Suspension of payments
- Termination of Key Subcontract
- Termination of Contract

Federal Enforcement

- Since April 2003, DHHS has received over 38,812 HIPAA Privacy complaints. Over 80% of complaints received (over 32,232) were resolved through:
 - Investigation and enforcement (over 6,985);
 - Through investigation and finding no violation (3,467); and
 - Through closure of cases that were not eligible for enforcement (21,780).
- The compliance issues investigated most are:
 - Impermissible uses and disclosures of protected health information;
 - Lack of safeguards of protected health information;
 - Lack of patient access to their protected health information;
 - Uses or disclosures of more than the Minimum Necessary protected health information; and
 - Lack of or invalid authorizations for uses and disclosures of protected health information.

Federal Enforcement, continued...

- July 15, 2008 – DHHS enters into first-ever Resolution Agreement with a Covered Entity
 - Incidents involved lost and stolen backup tapes, optical disks, and laptops, containing unencrypted electronic PHI of over 386,000 patients.
 - \$100,000 fine
 - Corrective Action Plan requiring the covered entity to:
 - Revise policies and procedures regarding data safeguards, off-site transport and storage of electronic media containing patient information (policy revisions subject to DHHS approval);
 - Workforce training;
 - Audits and site visits of facilities; and
 - Submission of compliance reports to DHHS for 3 years.

Questions?

Robin Campbell

(202) 654-6732

rcampbell@crowell.com

Chandra Westergaard

(202) 624-2584

cwestergaard@crowell.com