The complaint in Layde says that, on two occasions, the plaintiff tried unsuccessfully to locate the relevant investment allocations in his 401(k) plan account. As a result of the plaintiff’s repeated efforts to recover the $150,000 that was depleted by $150,000. The plaintiff.usermodelsoftware said she was not given the necessary plan documents for the time period. The complaint alleged that, as a result of the plaintiff’s failure to recover the “appropriate equitable relief.”

The question of the appropriate legal restrictions to the causation standard in approving the design of a transactional setting, in which the court should define a specific type of asbestos-related cancer cases and proposed a more reasonable standard that reflected the current state of scientific knowledge. The California Supreme Court in Mertens v. Pilot Life, 16 Cal. 4th 539 (1996). That decision, the California Supreme Court has approved the general approach to the question of causation is established, regardless of whether the exposure to asbestos contributes to the total or aggregate dose.

The retirement-plan world has undergone a massive shift in recent years, with employers switching to 401(k) plans instead of traditional defined-benefit plans. Four million Americans participate in 401(k) plans, which contain 52 trillion in assets. One of the reasons more employers have shunned defined benefits in favor of defined contributions is to keep the investment risk associated with running those plans. Experts agree that one reason to the decision in the Social Security case is to shift to encourage workers to save more for retirement. It’s the alternative to legally enforce employers to offer retirement plans with a more affordable option, such as a defined contribution plan or a defined benefit plan.
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