1	FILED	
2	2022 JUN 29 10:34 AM KING COUNTY	
3	SUPERIOR COURT CLERK E-FILED	
4	CASE #: 22-2-10007-9 SEA	
5	r en la situation de la company	
6	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON	
7	IN AND FOR KING COUNTY	
8	SWINOMISH INDIAN TRIBAL	NO
9	COMMUNITY d/b/a SWINOMISH CASINO & LODGE,	COMPLAINT FOR (1) DECLARATORY
10	Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT, (2) BREACH OF CONTRACT, (3) INSURANCE BAD
11	v.	FAITH, (4) VIOLATIONS OF THE WASHINGTON CONSUMER
12	THE REPORT OF A PARTICIPATION OF STREET	PROTECTION ACT, AND (5)
13	AFFILIATED FM INSURANCE COMPANY,	VIOLATIONS OF THE INSURANCE FAIR CONDUCT ACT
14	Defendant.	Sign of the state
15	Land of the state	
16	Plaintiff Swinomish Indian Tribal Community d/b/a Swinomish Casino & Lodge bring	
17	this action against Affiliated FM Insurance Company and allege as follows:	
18	I. PARTIES	
19	1.1 The Swinomish Indian Tribal Community (the "Tribe") is a federally recognized	
20	Indian tribe organized pursuant to Section 16 of the Indian Reorganization Act of 1934, 25 U.S.C.	
21	§ 476, which occupies the Swinomish Indian Reservation located on Fidalgo Island in Skagit	
22	County, Washington. The Tribe does business as the Swinomish Casino & Lodge in Anacortes,	
23	Washington.	
24	1.2 Defendant Affiliated FM Insurance	e Company ("AFM") is an insurance company
25	incorporated in the State of Rhode Island. AFM is	s licensed to sell, and does sell, insurance in the
26	State of Washington, including the insurance policy that is the subject of this lawsuit.	
		TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2.1 This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to RCW 2.08.010 and RCW 7.24.020.
- 2.2 This Court has personal jurisdiction over AFM because AFM conducts business in Washington, including issuing the insurance policy at issue.
- 2.3 Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to RCW 48.05.220. Venue is also proper under RCW 4.12.025 because AFM maintains an office in Bellevue, King County, Washington.

III. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 3.1 The Tribe owns and operates the Swinomish Casino & Lodge in Anacortes, Washington. The Swinomish Tribe opened its Casino overlooking the Swinomish Slough and Padilla Bay in July 1994. In 2012, the Tribe expanded its facilities, adding a hotel. The Swinomish Casino & Lodge is a full-service entertainment destination with 98-luxury rooms, six restaurants, bars, and coffee shops, a 9,000 square-foot multipurpose event center, and a gaming floor with approximately 900 slot machines and gaming tables. The Tribe also operates an RV-park adjacent to the Casino & Lodge.
- 3.2 AFM issued the Tribe one or more insurance policies, including Policy No. TO328, with an effective date from January 1, 2020 to January 1, 2021 (the "Policy").
- 3.3 The Policy's named insureds are "Swinomish Indian Tribal Community[,] Swinomish Casino & Lodge[,] and its wholly or majority owned subsidiaries and any interest which may now exist or hereinafter be created or acquired which are owned, controlled or operated by any one or more of those named insureds."
- 3.4 The Policy's per occurrence limit is \$142,400,000, subject to certain sub-limits of liability expressly identified in the Policy.
- 3.5 The Policy is an "all-risk" policy that provides broad property and business interruption coverage for "ALL RISKS OF PHYSICAL LOSS OR DAMAGE" except where specifically excluded. The Policy also provides additional coverages, including Communicable

- 3.10 The Tribe paid all premiums for the coverage when due. All conditions under the Policy have been satisfied, discharged, and/or excused.
- 3.11 On or about January 2020, the United States saw its first cases of persons infected by COVID-19, which has since been designated as a worldwide pandemic.
- 3.12 COVID-19 is highly contagious and remains stable in aerosols for up to three hours and up to two to three days on certain surfaces. COVID-19 is spread by breathing, talking, singing, and touching shared or common objects or surfaces. Persons infected with COVID-19 can be asymptomatic. To stop or slow the spread of COVID-19, the United States Center for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC") has recommended avoiding indoor activities, avoiding crowded and congested areas, limiting contact with commonly touched surfaces in public spaces, and maintaining social distance of at least six feet from other people not within the same household.
- 3.13 On February 29, 2020, the Governor of the State of Washington ("Governor Inslee") issued a proclamation declaring a State of Emergency. Governor Inslee issued additional proclamations on March 11, 2020 and March 15, 2020 that significantly limited public events throughout the State of Washington, including King and Skagit Counties.
- 3.14 The Swinomish Senate, the elected governing body of the Tribe, is the sole government with civil authority over the Casino & Lodge. In response to the emerging threat of COVID-19, the Senate passed Resolution No. 2020-03-42, A Resolution Declaring a Public Health Emergency on March 9, 2020.
- 3.15 On March 17, 2020, the Senate passed resolution No. 2020-03-71, A Resolution Regarding the Temporary Closure of the Swinomish Casino & Lodge Due to the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency, requiring that the Casino & Lodge be closed between March 17, 2020 through March 31, 2020.
- 3.16 On March 23, 2020, Governor Inslee issued a "stay home" order that prohibited public gatherings and directed Washington citizens to stay home until at least April 6, 2020,

except for essential activities. Following Governor Inslee's proclamation, the Senate passed a resolution declaring a "Stay Home" order for Swinomish residents on March 24, 2020. Following Governor Inslee's extension of the stay home order on April 2, 2020, on April 6, 2020, the Senate passed a resolution further extending the Swinomish stay home order for another month, until May 4, 2020. Governor Inslee issued a subsequent extension to the stay home order on April 29, 2020; the Swinomish Senate issued a proclamation on April 30, 2020 and again on April 14, 2020 extending the Swinomish stay home order.

- 3.17 On May 26, 2020, the Senate issued a proclamation designating the Casino & Lodge an essential business and allowing it to reopen, via Resolution No. 2020-05-119, A Resolution Updating the Tribe's COVID-19 Precautionary Orders and Initiating A Limited Reopening of the Swinomish Economy. The Casino & Lodge reopened with limited capacity and operating restrictions on May 27, 2020.
- 3.18 Due to the COVID-19 closure resolutions passed by the Swinomish Senate, the Casino & Lodge experienced significant losses, including business interruption losses. These losses total in the millions of dollars.
 - 3.19 The Casino & Lodge promptly reported its losses to AFM.
- 3.20 AFM acknowledged the claim on March 19, 2020 and indicated that coverage may exist under a lone Additional Coverage for Communicable Disease with a coverage sublimit of \$100,000. AFM requested identification of specific individuals and specific locations that had tested positive for COVID 19, copies of positive test results, and proof that employees were present at the locations that tested positive. This information, however, was not available as testing was not widely available in Skagit County until substantially after the Tribe submitted its claim. The Tribe informed AFM that it did not have this information, but nevertheless provided information about the number of employees who reported submitted health insurance claims between January and March 2020. AFM did not acknowledge the applicability of the Policy's

Business Interruption Coverage with limits exceeding \$45 Million, or other potential coverages, and has not done so to date, resulting in an effective denial of the Tribe's claim.

3.21 On October 25, 2021, the Tribe notified AFM of a King County Superior Court decision in Snoqualmie Entertainment Authority et al v. Affiliated FM, Case No. 21-2-03194-0 SEA where the court held that coverage existed under an identical AFM policy for losses arising from the closure of the Snoqualmie Tribe's casino and hotel due to COVID-19 closure orders. Because the Court in Snoqualmie Entertainment Authority found coverage for identical losses under the same policy language, Plaintiff's losses are covered. AFM never responded to the Tribe acknowledging that this decision established coverage, or even acknowledging the possibility of coverage for their claim, resulting in an effective and continuing denial of the Swinomish's claim.

IV. CLAIM ONE: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

- 4.1 Plaintiff realleges and incorporate by reference the allegations in Paragraph 1.1. through 3.21.
- 4.2 Under the Policy, AFM has a duty to pay Plaintiff for direct physical loss or damage to insured property occurring during the policy term.
- 4.3 AFM breached its duty to pay by failing to reimburse Plaintiff for its COVIDrelated losses in response to Plaintiff's tenders and by refusing to acknowledge that Plaintiff's
 losses are the result of a covered cause of loss under the all-risk Policy within AFM's duty to pay
 under the Policy.
- 4.4 AFM has acted in bad faith and violated various insurance claim handling regulations and statutes by denying coverage based on unreasonable, frivolous, or unfounded interpretations of the Policy, by failing to deal fairly with Plaintiff and give equal consideration to Plaintiff's financial interests, by putting its own financial interests ahead of Plaintiff's, and by failing to conduct a full, fair, and prompt investigation at its own expense.
- 4.5 An actual controversy of a justiciable nature presently exists between Plaintiff and AFM regarding the proper construction of the Policy and the rights and obligations of the parties

with respect to Plaintiff's claims. Issuance of declaratory relief by this Court will terminate the existing and future controversies between the parties.

4.6 Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that: (a) Plaintiff's losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of their business are covered by the AFM Policy; (b) AFM is responsible for timely and fully paying all such claims; (c) AFM breached the Policy and violated various insurance claims handling regulations and statutes, and (d) AFM is obligated to pay Plaintiff's reasonable attorney fees, costs, and disbursements in obtaining coverage.

V. CLAIM TWO: BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 5.1 Plaintiff realleges and incorporate by reference the allegations in Paragraph 1.1. through 4.6.
- 5.2 The Policy constitutes a valid contract between Plaintiff and AFM for insurance coverage for covered losses. AFM breached the Policy by failing to acknowledge that Plaintiff's COVID-related losses constitute "physical loss or damage" to covered property and are covered under the Policy and by failing to fully reimburse Plaintiff for its covered losses.
- 5.3 As a direct and proximate result of AFM's breach of its insurance contract, Plaintiff has been deprived of the benefits of its insurance coverage with respect to its COVID-related losses.
- 5.4 As another direct and proximate result of AFM's breach of the Policy, Plaintiff has been forced to incur attorneys' fees and other expenses in order to prosecute this action.

VI. CLAIM THREE: INSURANCE BAD FAITH

- 6.1 Plaintiff realleges and incorporate by reference the allegations in Paragraph 1.1. through 5.4.
- 6.2 AFM owes Plaintiff a duty of good faith and fair dealing, Pursuant to that duty, AFM was obligated to refrain from taking any action that is unreasonable or unfounded. AFM was, likewise, required to conduct a full, fair, and prompt its investigation at its own expense

before denying Plaintiff's claim. AFM was also required to deal fairly with Plaintiff and give equal consideration to (and not put its own interests ahead of) Plaintiff's financial interests.

- 6.3 AFM breached its duty of good faith and fair dealing in its handling of Plaintiff's claim for insurance benefits.
- 6.4 As a direct and proximate result of this conduct, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

VII. CLAIM FOUR: VIOLATIONS OF THE WASHINGTON CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, RCW 19.86

- 7.1 Plaintiff realleges and incorporate by reference the allegations in Paragraph 1.1. through 6.4.
- 7.2 Washington has adopted the Unfair Claims Settlement Practices Act under Chapter 284-30, et seq. of the WAC. AFM violated WAC 284-30 et seq.
- 7.3 Violations of WAC 284-30 et seq. are per se violations of the Washington Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86, entitling Plaintiff to exemplary damages, attorneys fees and costs. AFM also committed non-per se violations of the Consumer Protection Act.
- 7.4 As a direct and proximate result of AFM's conduct, Plaintiff suffered damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

VIII. CLAIM FIVE: VIOLATION OF THE INSURANCE FAIR CONDUCT ACT, RCW 48.030.015

- 8.1 Plaintiff realleges and incorporate by reference the allegations in Paragraph 1.1. through 7.4.
- 8.2 On February 16, 2022, Plaintiff filed a 20-day IFCA Notice with the Office of the Insurance Commissioner in accordance with RCW 48.30.015. This written notice, along with the bases for Plaintiff's IFCA cause of action, was provided to AFM. AFM did not respond to Plaintiff's IFCA Notice and therefore failed to resolve the bases for Plaintiff's IFCA cause of action within the 20-day notice period under IFCA.

1	DATED this 29 th day of June, 2022.
2	TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC
3	By: Due Clark
4	Chase C. Alvord, WSBA #26080
5	calvord@tousley.com Rebecca L. Solomon, WSBA #51520 rsolomon@tousley.com 1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1700
6	1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1700
7	Seattle, WA 98101 Tel: (206) 682-5600/Fax: (206) 682-2992 Attorneys for Plaintiff
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	