2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

NOSKENDA INC., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, ,

Plaintiff,

v.

VALLEY FORGE INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

No.

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION

JURY DEMAND

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff Noskenda Inc. ("Noskenda" or "Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated members of the defined national class and Washington State sub-classes (collectively, the "Class Members"), by and through the undersigned attorneys, brings this class action against Defendant Valley Forge Insurance Company ("Valley Forge" or "Defendant") and alleges as follows based on personal knowledge and information and belief:

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because at least one Class member is of diverse citizenship from Defendant, there are 100 or more Class members nationwide, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

COMPLAINT CLASS ACTION) - 1

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant is registered to do business in Washington, has sufficient minimum contacts in Washington, and otherwise intentionally avails itself of the markets within Washington through its business activities, such that the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court is proper. Moreover, the claims of Plaintiff and all of the Washington subclass members in this case arise out of and directly relate to Defendant's contacts with Washington.

- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(3) because the Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, a substantial portion of the alleged wrongdoing occurred in this District and the state of Washington, and Defendant has sufficient contacts with this District and the state of Washington.
- 4. Venue is proper in the Western District of Washington pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims at issue in this Complaint arose in this District. Plaintiff's business is located in Seattle, King County. This action is therefore appropriately filed in the Seattle Division because a substantial portion of the events giving rise to this lawsuit arose in King County.

III. PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Noskenda own and operates print shop business located at 1817 12th Ave., Unit 644, Seattle, WA 98122.
- 6. Defendant Valley Forge Insurance Company is an insurance carrier incorporated in Pennsylvania, with its principal place of business in Bala Cynwyd, Pennsylvania.
- 7. Defendant is authorized to write, sell, and issue business insurance policies in the District of Columbia and forty-nine (49) states, including the state of Washington. Defendant

conducted business in the state of Washington by selling and issuing business insurance policies to policyholders including Plaintiff.

IV. NATURE OF THE CASE

- 8. This lawsuit is filed to ensure that Plaintiff and other similarly-situated policyholders receive the insurance benefits to which they are entitled and for which they paid.
- Defendant issued one or more insurance policies to Plaintiff, a businessowners 9. policy and related endorsements ("the Policy"), insuring Plaintiff's property and business practice and other coverages, with effective dates of August 12, 2019 to August 12, 2020.
- 10. Plaintiff's business property includes property owned and/or leased by Plaintiff and used for general business purposes for the specific purpose of providing print shop services and related business activities.
- 11. Defendant insurance policy issued to Plaintiff promises to pay Plaintiff for "direct physical loss of or physical damage to" to covered property.
- 12. The Policy includes coverage for risks of both damage to and loss of covered property.
- 13. Defendant's insurance policy issued to Plaintiff includes Business Income Coverage, Extra Expense Coverage, Extended Business Income Coverage and Civil Authority Coverage.
 - 14. Plaintiff paid all premiums for the coverage when due.
- 15. On or about January 2020, the United States of America saw its first cases of persons infected by COVID-19, which has been designated a worldwide pandemic.
- 16. In light of this pandemic, Washington Governor Jay Inslee issued certain proclamations and orders affecting many persons and businesses in Washington, whether COMPLAINT CLASS ACTION) - 3 KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

infected with COVID-19 or not, requiring certain public health precautions. Among other things, Governor Inslee's "Stay Home, Stay Healthy" prohibited, within limitations provided, "all people in Washington State from leaving their homes" and "all non-essential businesses in Washington State from conducting business[.]"

- 17. Plaintiff was not deemed to be an "essential business" as determined by Governor Inslee.
 - 18. No COVID-19 virus has been detected on Plaintiff's business premises.
- Plaintiff's property sustained direct physical loss and/or damage related to
 COVID-19 and/or the proclamations and orders.
- 20. Plaintiff's property will continue to sustain direct physical loss or damage covered by the Valley Forge policy or policies, including but not limited to business interruption, extra expense, interruption by civil authority, and other expenses.
 - 21. Plaintiff's property could not be used for its intended purposes.
- 22. As a result of the above, Plaintiff has experienced and will experience loss covered by the Valley Forge policy or policies.
- 23. Upon information and belief, Valley Forge intends to deny or has denied Plaintiff's claim for coverage and has or will continue to deny coverage for other similarly situated policyholders.

V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 24. This matter is brought by Plaintiff on behalf of itself and those similarly situated, under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1), 23(b)(2), and 23(b)(3).
 - 25. The Classes that Plaintiff seeks to represent are defined at this time as:

- A. Business Income Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued a Valley Forge policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their practice at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Business Income claim has been denied by Valley Forge.
- B. Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Valley Forge policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Business Income claim has been denied by Valley Forge.
- C. Business Income Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued a Valley Forge policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their practice at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- D. Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Valley Forge policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- E. Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued a Valley Forge policy with Extended Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to

COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extended Business Income claim has been denied by Valley Forge.

- F. Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:

 All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Valley Forge policy with

 Extended Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the

 covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or

 other civil authorities and whose Extended Business Income claim has been denied by

 Valley Forge.
- G. Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued a Valley Forge policy with Extended Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- H. Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Valley Forge policy with Extended Business Income coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- I. Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued a Valley Forge policy with Extra Expense Coverage who sought to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors,

and/or other civil authorities and whose Extra Expense claim has been denied by Valley Forge.

- J. Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Valley Forge policy with Extra Expense Coverage who sought to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extra Expense claim has been denied by Valley Forge.
- K. Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued a Valley Forge policy with Extra Expense Coverage who sought to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- L. Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Valley Forge policy with Extra Expense Coverage who sought to minimize losses from the suspension of their business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- M. Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued a Valley Forge policy with Civil Authority Coverage who suffered a suspension of their practice and/or extra expense at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities and whose Civil Authority claim has been denied by Valley Forge.

- N. Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Valley Forge policy with Civil Authority coverage who suffered a suspension of their business and/or extra expense at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Civil Authority claim has been denied by Valley Forge.
- O. Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class: All persons and entities in the United States issued a Valley Forge policy with Civil Authority Coverage who suffered a suspension of their practice at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.
- P. Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass: All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued a Valley Forge policy with Civil Authority Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.
- 26. Excluded from the Classes are Defendant's officers, directors, and employees; the judicial officers and associated court staff assigned to this case; and the immediate family members of such officers and staff. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the above-described Class definitions based on information obtained in discovery including Defendant's internal records presently unavailable to Plaintiff.
- 27. This action may properly be maintained on behalf of each proposed Class under the criteria of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 28. **Numerosity**: The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the proposed Class

contains hundreds of members. The precise number of class members can be ascertained through discovery, which will include Defendant's records of policyholders.

- 29. **Commonality and Predominance**: Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. Common questions include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - A. Whether the class members suffered covered losses based on common policies issued to members of the Class;
 - B. Whether Valley Forge acted in a manner common to the class and wrongfully denied claims for coverage relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
 - C. Whether Business Income Coverage in Valley Forge's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of practice relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
 - D. Whether Extended Business Income Coverage in Valley Forge's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of practice relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
 - E. Whether Extra Expense Coverage in Valley Forge's policies of insurance applies to efforts to minimize a loss at the covered premises relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
 - F. Whether Civil Authority Coverage in Valley Forge's policies of insurance applies to a suspension of practice relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or civil authorities;

- G. Whether Valley Forge has breached its contracts of insurance through a blanket denial of all claims based on business interruption, income loss or closures related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;
- H. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the class members have suffered damages; and if so, the appropriate amount thereof; and
- I. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the class members are entitled to equitable and declaratory relief, and if so, the nature of such relief.
- 30. **Typicality**: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the classes. Plaintiff and all the members of the classes have been injured by the same wrongful practices of Defendant. Plaintiff's claims arise from the same practices and course of conduct that give rise to the claims of the members of the Class and are based on the same legal theories.
- 31. **Adequacy**: Plaintiff will fully and adequately assert and protect the interests of the classes and has retained class counsel who are experienced and qualified in prosecuting class actions. Neither Plaintiff nor its attorneys have any interests contrary to or in conflict with the Class.
- Adjudications and Impairment to Other Class Members' Interests: Plaintiff seeks adjudication as to the interpretation, and resultant scope, of Defendant's policies, which are common to all members of the class. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the classes would risk inconsistent or varying interpretations of those policy terms and create inconsistent standards of conduct for Defendant.

33. **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2), Declaratory and Injunctive Relief**: Defendant acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiff and other members of the proposed classes making injunctive relief and declaratory relief appropriate on a classwide basis.

34. **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3), Superiority**: A class action is superior to all other available methods of the fair and efficient adjudication of this lawsuit. While the aggregate damages sustained by the classes are likely to be in the millions of dollars, the individual damages incurred by each class member may be too small to warrant the expense of individual suits. Individual litigation creates a risk of inconsistent and/or contradictory decisions and the court system would be unduly burdened by individual litigation of such cases. A class action would result in a unified adjudication, with the benefits of economies of scale and supervision by a single court.

VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

Count One—Declaratory Judgment

(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class, and Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass)

- 35. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.
- 36. This is a cause of action for declaratory judgment pursuant to the Declaratory Judgment Act, codified at 28 U.S.C. § 2201.
- 37. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington COMPLAINT CLASS ACTION) 11

 Keller Rohrback L.L.P.

Subclass, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class, and Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass.

38. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that Plaintiff and Class Members' losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of their business are covered by the Policy. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that Valley Forge is responsible for timely and fully paying all such claims.

Count Two—Breach of Contract

(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class, and Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass)

- 39. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.
- 40. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class and Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass.
- 41. The Policy is a contract under which Plaintiff and the class paid premiums to Valley Forge in exchange for Valley Forge's promise to pay plaintiff and the class for all claims covered by the Policy.
 - 42. Plaintiff has paid its insurance premiums.

- 43. Plaintiff submitted a claim to Valley Forge for its covered loss, and Valley Forge denied coverage.
- 44. On information and belief, Valley Forge has denied coverage for other similarly situated policyholders.
 - 45. Denying coverage for the claim is a breach of the insurance contract.
 - 46. Plaintiff is harmed by the breach of the insurance contract by Valley Forge.

VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 1. A declaratory judgment that the policy or policies cover Plaintiff's losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of the Plaintiff's business related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other authorities.
- 2. A declaratory judgment that the defendant is responsible for timely and fully paying all such losses.
 - 3. Damages.
 - 4. Class action status under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23.
 - 5. Pre- and post-judgment interest at the highest allowable rate.
 - 6. Attorney fees and costs under *Olympic Steamship* and/or other applicable law.
 - 7. Such further and other relief as the Court shall deem appropriate.

VIII. JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all claims so triable.

DATED this 4th day of June, 2020.

1	KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.
2	
3	By s/ <u>Ian S. Birk</u>
	By: s/Lynn L. Sarko
4	By: s/ Gretchen Freeman Cappio
_	By: s/ Irene M. Hecht
5	By: s/ Amy Williams-Derry
6	By: s/Maureen M. Falecki
	By:s/ Nathan L Nanfelt
7	Ian S. Birk, WSBA#31431
	Lynn L. Sarko, WSBA #16569
8	Gretchen Freeman Cappio, WSBA #29576
9	Irene M. Hecht, WSBA #11063
	Amy Williams-Derry, WSBA#28711
10	Maureen M. Falecki, WSBA#18569
	Nathan L. Nanfelt, WSBA#45273
11	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200
12	Seattle, WA 98101
12	Telephone: (206) 623-1900
13	Fax: (206) 623-3384
	Email: ibirk@kellerrohrback.com
14	Email: lsarko@kellerrohrback.com
ا ہے،	Email: gcappio@kellerrohrback.com
15	Email: ihecht@kellerrohrback.com
16	Email: awilliams-derry@kellerrohrback.com
	Email: mfalecki@kellerrohrback.com
17	Email: nnanfelt@kellerrohrback.com
	By: s/ Alison Chase
18	Alison Chase, pro hac vice forthcoming
19	801 Garden Street, Suite 301
וא	Santa Barbara, CA 93101
20	Telephone: (805) 456-1496
	Fax: (805) 456-1497 Email: achase@kellerrohrback.com
21	Eman. achase@kenenomoack.com
22	GORDON TILDEN THOMAS & CORDELL
	\mathbf{D}_{-} , $A\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{I} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$
23	By: <u>s/Mark A. Wilner</u> Mark A. Wilner WSBA #31550
24	600 University St. #2915
24	Seattle, WA 98101
25	Telephone: (206) 467-6477
	Email: mwilner@gordontilden.com
26	Attorneys for plaintiffs
	Attorneys for planting

COMPLAINT CLASS ACTION) - 14

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384