

1 BARNES & THORNBURG LLP David P. Schack (SBN 106288) 2 Matthew B. O'Hanlon (SBN 253648) Jonathan J. Boustani (SBN 274748) 3 2029 Century Park East, Suite 300 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3012 Telephone: 310-284-3880 4 Facsimile: 310-284-3894 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff Pechanga Band 6 of Luiseño Indians 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE 9 10 PECHANGA BAND OF LUISEÑO INDIANS. al 11 federally recognized Indian tribe, 12 Plaintiff. 13 LEXINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY, a 14 corporation; UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S. LONDON, INCLUDING SYNDICATE 15 NUMBERS AGR 3268, APL 1969, ASC 1414. ATL 1861, AUL 1274, AUW 0609, BRT 2987, 16 BRT 2988, CHN 2015, CNP 4444, KLN 510, MSP 318, NEON WORLDWIDE PROPERTY 17 CONSORTIUM, QBE 1886, TAL 1183, TMK 1880, AND XLC 2003, an association; ASPEN 18 SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, a corporation; HOMELAND INSURANCE 19 COMPANY OF NEW YORK, a corporation: HALLMARK SPECIALTY INSURANCE 20 COMPANY, a corporation; ENDURANCE WORLDWIDE INSURANCE LTD., a 21 corporation; ARCH SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, a corporation; EVANSTON 22 INSURANCE COMPANY, a corporation; ALLIED WORLD NATIONAL ASSURANCE 23 COMPANY, a corporation; XL INSURANCE AMERICA, INC., a corporation; and DOES 1 24 through 50, inclusive, 25 Defendants.



EF

Case No. CVSW 2, 065 22

#### **COMPLAINT FOR:**

- 1) **BREACH OF CONTRACT:**
- 2) TORTIOUS BREACH OF **CONTRACT: AND**
- **UNFAIR COMPETITION** 3)

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

26

27

28

BARNES &
THORNBURG LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

Plaintiff alleges as follows:

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Contract Against All Defendants)

- 1. Plaintiff Pechanga Band of Luiseño Indians ("Pechanga") is, and at all relevant times has been, a federally recognized sovereign tribal nation. The Pechanga Indian Reservation is located in Riverside County, California.
- 2. Pechanga is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that Defendant Lexington Insurance Company ("Lexington") is, and all relevant times: (a) has been a corporation; and (b) has engaged in the business of providing insurance to persons and entities in Riverside County, California.
- 3. Pechanga is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that Defendant Underwriters at Lloyd's, London, including but not limited to Syndicate Numbers AGR 3268, APL 1969, ASC 1414, ATL 1861, AUL 1274, AUW 0609, BRT 2987, BRT 2988, CHN 2015, CNP 4444, KLN 510, MSP 318, Neon Worldwide Property Consortium, QBE 1886, TAL 1183, TMK 1880, and XLC 2003 ("Lloyd's") is, and at all relevant times has been: (a) an association of certain insurance underwriting syndicates; and (b) engaged in the business of providing insurance to persons and entities in Riverside County, California.
- 4. Pechanga is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that Defendant Aspen Specialty Insurance Company ("Aspen") is, and all relevant times: (a) has been a corporation; and (b) has engaged in the business of providing insurance to persons and entities in Riverside County, California.
- 5. Pechanga is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that Defendant Homeland Insurance Company of New York ("Homeland") is, and all relevant times: (a) has been a corporation; and (b) has engaged in the business of providing insurance to persons and entities in Riverside County, California.
- 6. Pechanga is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that Defendant Hallmark Specialty Insurance Company ("Hallmark") is, and all relevant times: (a) has been a corporation; and (b) has engaged in the business of providing insurance to

BARNES &
THORNBURG LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

LOS ANGELES

persons and entities in Riverside County, California.

- 7. Pechanga is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that Defendant Endurance Worldwide Insurance Ltd. ("Endurance") is, and all relevant times: (a) has been a corporation; and (b) has engaged in the business of providing insurance to persons and entities in Riverside County, California.
- 8. Pechanga is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that Defendant Arch Specialty Insurance Company ("Arch") is, and all relevant times: (a) has been a corporation; and (b) has engaged in the business of providing insurance to persons and entities in Riverside County, California.
- 9. Pechanga is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that Defendant Evanston Insurance Company ("Evanston") is, and all relevant times: (a) has been a corporation; and (b) has engaged in the business of providing insurance to persons and entities in Riverside County, California.
- 10. Pechanga is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that Defendant Allied World National Assurance Company ("Allied World") is, and all relevant times: (a) has been a corporation; and (b) has engaged in the business of providing insurance to persons and entities in Riverside County, California.
- 11. Pechanga is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that Defendant XL Insurance America, Inc. ("XL") is, and all relevant times: (a) has been a corporation; and (b) has engaged in the business of providing insurance to persons and entities in Riverside County, California.
- 12. The names and true capacities, whether individual, corporate or otherwise, of defendants named herein as Does 1 through 50, inclusive, are unknown to Pechanga, who therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names. Pechanga is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendants Does 1 through 50, inclusive, or some of them, participated in some or all of the acts as hereinafter alleged and are liable to Pechanga.
- 13. Lexington, Lloyd's, Aspen, Homeland, Hallmark, Endurance, Arch, Evanston, Allied World, XL, and Does 1 through 50, inclusive, are sometimes hereinafter collectively referred to as

"Defendants."

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

BARNES &
THORNBURG LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

Defendants issued, among others, Policy Nos. 017471589/06, 38412453, 38412468, 14. PJ193647, PJ1900131, PX006CP19, 798000237, 73PRX19A1B7, PJ1933021, PD-10363-05, 011660435/07, PJ1900134, PJ1900067, ESP7303914-02, MKLV14XP012536, 73PRX19A1EF, 0310-8171-1N, and US00063261PR19A (collectively, the "Policy") with a policy period of July 1, 2019 to July 1, 2020. The Policy contains, inter alia, first party commercial property coverage for all risk of direct physical loss or damage occurring during the Policy period for all property of every description both real and personal of Pechanga (collectively, the "Insured Property") including, without limitation, coverages for Business Interruption, Extra Expense, Rental Income, Ingress/Egress, Interruption by Civil Authority, Contingent Time Element Coverage, Tax Revenue Interruption, Extended Period of Indemnity, Expenses to Reduce Loss, and Protection and Preservation of Property. The Insured Property includes various real properties located on the Pechanga Indian Reservation and in the City of Temecula, including but not limited to Pechanga Resort & Casino located at 45000 Pechanga Parkway, Temecula, CA 92592, Journey at Pechanga located at 45000 Pechanga Parkway, Temecula, CA 92592, and Temecula Creek Inn located at 44501 Rainbow Canyon Rd, Temecula, CA 92592 (collectively, the "Insured Locations"). The Policy has per occurrence limit for all perils of \$1,050,000,000 with, among others, the following sub-limits: \$689,527,810 for "Business Interruption and Rental Income," \$35,000,000 for "Extra Expense," and \$1,000,000 for "Claims Preparation Expenses." Pechanga is a named insured under the Policy.

- 15. The pertinent coverages under the Policy contain no exclusion for COVID-19, pandemics, diseases, viruses, or any similar exclusions. In fact, in the renewal to the Policy on July 1, 2020, Defendants added a communicable disease exclusion, thereby highlighting that the Policy never before contained such an exclusion.
- 16. Commencing in or about March 2020, Pechanga has suffered loss arising from direct physical loss or damage to Insured Property based on, *inter alia*, the existence of COVID-19 (the "Loss"), which Loss is covered under the Policy.
  - 17. COVID-19 is a communicable disease that has resulted in a worldwide pandemic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Policy's coverages for Ingress/Egress and Interruption by Civil Authority have a 10 mile radius.

BARNES &
THORNBURG LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

| 18. Pechanga is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief                 |
|--|
| alleges, that COVID-19 spreads through three primary modes of transmission. First, COVID-19            |
| spreads via airborne transmission when a person inhales droplets of the saliva or nasal discharge of a |
| person with COVID-19. Clouds of droplets of saliva or nasal discharge of a person with COVID-19,       |
| which may be released by a cough, a sneeze, loud speech and other modes, can linger in the air for     |
| minutes or hours, and can affect persons, personal and real property, and indoor air within real       |
| property. Second, smaller droplets, known as aerosols, can linger in the air for hours, reaching       |
| people further away from the person with COVID-19 and even after that person has left the premises.    |
| Aerosol droplets can be pulled into air circulation systems and spread to other areas in a building    |
| causing direct physical loss or damage. Third, a person can get COVID-19 by touching a surface or      |
| object that has COVID-19 on it and then touching his or her own mouth, nose, or eyes or otherwise      |
| introducing it into his or her body. Individuals can get COVID-19 through indirect contact with        |
| surfaces or objects where COVID-19 has been left or disseminated by a person with COVID-19,            |
| whether or not the person was symptomatic. The World Health Organization has confirmed that            |
| COVID-19 can live on objects or surfaces. Exposure of frequently touched surfaces to COVID-19 is,      |
| therefore, a potential source of disease transmission. Both porous and nonporous surfaces or objects   |
| that can harbor COVID-19 and serve as vehicles of transmission. Once this occurs, the transfer of      |
| COVID-19 may readily occur between inanimate and animate objects, or vice versa. A study by the        |
| Virology Journal showed that COVID-19 can survive on surfaces up to 28 days, serving as a vehicle      |
| for transmission during that time span.  |

19. Pechanga is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that the presence of COVID-19 on property, including on and within Insured Locations, caused and continues to cause physical loss and/or damage to property by causing, among other things, a distinct, demonstrable, physical change and/or tangible alteration to property, causing Insured Locations to remain in an unsafe and hazardous condition. Additionally, the presence of COVID-19 also caused and continues to cause physical loss and/or damage by transforming the physical condition of property at Insured Locations and within the covered radius, rendering the property hazardous and unsafe to human health for occupancy, thereby depriving Pechanga of the

9

13 14

15

16 17 18

19 20

21

23

24 25

26

28

22

27

functionality and reliability of their property in a manner consistent with its intended purpose.

- 20. Pechanga is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that mere cleaning and disinfecting of property and indoor air does not repair or remediate the actual physical and tangible alteration to property caused by COVID-19 nor does it transform the property from its unsafe and potentially hazardous condition. Furthermore, notwithstanding efforts to clean and disinfect, under normal operating conditions continued use of commercial properties like the Insured Locations results in ongoing reintroduction of COVID-19 to the property.
- 21. This physical loss and/or damage to property, including Insured Locations, has required Pechanga to close the Insured Locations at various times, incur extra expense, adopt remedial and precautionary measures to attempt to restore and remediate the air and surfaces at the Insured Locations, and limit operations at the Insured Locations. Additionally, access to the Insured Locations has at times been prevented and/or limited by actions taken by governmental authorities in response to the direct physical loss and/or damage caused by COVID-19 to property within the covered radius.
- 22. For example and in addition other means by which COVID-19 has come to exist at the Insured Locations, employees of Pechanga have tested positive for COVID-19 resulting in the existence of COVID-19 at, and direct physical loss and damage to, the Insured Locations. Indeed, persons who have visited the Insured Locations—including employees of Pechanga—have exhibited signs or actual symptoms of COVID-19, and/or tested positive for COVID-19, during the pandemic. However, given the absence of commercially-available tests for surface and aerosol presence of COVID-19 and the shortage of testing kits for humans, positive test results are not and cannot be the only means of proving the presence of COVID-19.
- 23. In addition to having actual presence of COVID-19 at Insured Locations due to the actual presence of persons with COVID-19, Pechanga is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that it sustained a distinct, demonstrable, physical change and/or tangible alteration to its property based on the statistically certain presence of COVID-19. Specifically, because COVID-19 is a pandemic and is statistically certain to be carried by a number of individuals who visit the Insured Locations and other properties within the covered radius daily,

| 1  |   |    | intentionally, and unreasonably denied coverage at the outset without conducting      |
|----|---|----|---|
| 2  |   |    | any adequate investigation;   |
| 3  |   | c. | Wrongfully and in bad faith engaged in an unreasonable and arbitrary                  |
| 4  |   |    | interpretation of the Policy;   |
| 5  |   | d. | Wrongfully, intentionally, unreasonably and in bad faith failed and refused to fully  |
| 6  | - |    | and fairly pay a covered loss under the Policy;                                       |
| 7  |   | e. | Fraudulently misrepresented and falsely promised that they would indemnify and        |
| 8  |   |    | pay the losses incurred by Pechanga under the Policy for covered loss when they       |
| 9  |   |    | had no intention of doing so;   |
| 10 |   | f. | Wrongfully, intentionally, unreasonably and in bad faith failed and refused to        |
| 11 |   |    | inform Pechanga of its rights under the Policy, but instead sought to impose          |
| 12 |   |    | impermissible conditions on those benefits;   |
| 13 |   | g. | Wrongfully, intentionally, unreasonably and in bad faith failed to conduct and        |
| 14 |   |    | diligently pursue a thorough, fair and reasonable investigation of the claim;         |
| 15 |   | h. | Wrongfully, intentionally, unreasonably and in bad faith failed and refused to        |
| 16 |   |    | adjust the claim in compliance with, among others, Sections 2695.7 and 2695.9 of      |
| 17 |   |    | the Fair Claims Settlement Practices Regulations and Section 790.03(h) of the         |
| 18 |   |    | California Insurance Code;  |
| 19 |   | i. | Wrongfully, intentionally, unreasonably and in bad faith persisted in seeking         |
| 20 |   |    | information not reasonably required for or material to the resolution of the claim in |
| 21 |   |    | violation of, inter alia, Section 2695.7(d) of the Fair Claims Settlement Practices   |
| 22 |   |    | Regulations;  |
| 23 |   | j. | Wrongfully, intentionally, unreasonably and in bad faith forced Pechanga to incur     |
| 24 |   |    | the expense of filing the within action to recover benefits owing under the Policy;   |
| 25 |   |    | and   |
| 26 |   | k. | Wrongfully, intentionally, unreasonably and in bad faith placed their own interests   |
| 27 |   |    | above those of their insureds, including but not limited to Pechanga.                 |
|    | I |    |   |

BARNES &
THORNBURG LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

31. Pechanga is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that Defendants have engaged in similar bad faith conduct with respect to their other insureds in connection with both COVID-19 claims and otherwise as a matter of course.

- 32. In order to recover the Policy benefits sought herein, and as a result of Defendants' bad faith and tortious breach of contract, Pechanga has been and will be forced to incur attorneys' fees and related expenses and costs.
- 33. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of the aforesaid intentional and wrongful conduct, Pechanga has suffered damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 34. Defendants engaged in the aforesaid conduct: (a) with a willful and conscious disregard of Pechanga's rights; (b) with the intent to injure Pechanga; and (c) in order to subject Pechanga to cruel and unjust hardships in disregard of its rights such as to constitute oppression, fraud and malice under California Civil Code Section 3294. By reason of the foregoing, Pechanga is entitled to recover damages from Defendants for the sake of example and to punish and deter Defendants in a sum to be determined by the trier of fact.

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unfair Competition Against All Defendants)

- 35. Pechanga incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 34 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 36. Defendants' conduct alleged herein constitutes unlawful business practices in violation of the California Unfair Competition Law ("UCL," Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.) Among other things and without limitation, Defendants' bad faith refusal to comply with their coverage obligations was unlawful and constituted a tortious breach of the subject Policy as hereinabove alleged.
  - 37. Pechanga has lost money as a result of Defendants' unfair competition.
- 38. In light of the foregoing, Pechanga is entitled to an injunction under the UCL restraining the Defendants from engaging in the unlawful conduct alleged herein, as well as to restitution of those amounts obtained by the Defendants through their unfair competition.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Pechanga prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. Under the First Cause of Action, for compensatory damages in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court according to proof;
- 2. Under the Second Cause of Action, for compensatory damages in excess the jurisdictional minimum of this Court, consequential damages for Defendants' bad faith conduct, punitive damages according to proof, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in obtaining the benefits due under the Policy;
- 3. Under the Third Cause of Action, for restitution and temporary, preliminary and permanent injunctive relief restraining Defendants from engaging in acts of unfair competition as alleged herein;
  - 4. For pre-judgment interest in accordance with law;
  - 5. For expenses and costs incurred herein; and
  - 6. For such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

#### **BARNES & THORNBURG LLP**

Dated: July 30, 2021

David P. Schack

Matthew B. O'Hanlon

Jonathan J. Boustani

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pechanga Band of

Luiseño Indians

28 Barnes &

26

27