1 2 3 4	JENNIE LEE ANDERSON (SBN 203586) ANDRUS ANDERSON LLP 155 Montgomery Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 986-1400 Facsimile: (415) 986-1474 jennie@andrusanderson.com		
5	Douglas A. Daniels (Pro Hac Vice		
6	Application anticipated) Heath A. Novosad (Pro Hac Vice Application		
7	anticipated) Sabrina R. Tour (Pro Hac Vice Application		
8	anticipated) DANIELS & TREDENNICK PLLC		
9	6363 Woodway, Suite 700 Houston, Texas 77057		
10	Telephone: 713-917-0024 doug.daniels@dtlawyers.com		
11	doug.daniels@dtlawyers.com heath@dtlawyers.com		
12	sabrina@dtlawyers.com		
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
14	(Additional counsel listed on signature page)		
- 1			
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15 16		E STATE OF CALIFORNIA SANTA CLARA	
	COUNTY OF S FORTY NINERS SC STADIUM		
16 17 18	FORTY NINERS SC STADIUM COMPANY LLC, FORTY NINERS STADIUM MANAGEMENT COMPANY LLC, and FORTY NINERS FOOTBALL	SANTA CLARA	
16 17 18 19	COUNTY OF S FORTY NINERS SC STADIUM COMPANY LLC, FORTY NINERS STADIUM MANAGEMENT COMPANY	Civil Action No COMPLAINT	
16 17 18 19 20	COUNTY OF S FORTY NINERS SC STADIUM COMPANY LLC, FORTY NINERS STADIUM MANAGEMENT COMPANY LLC, and FORTY NINERS FOOTBALL COMPANY, LLC	SANTA CLARA) Civil Action No))	
16 17 18 19 20 21	FORTY NINERS SC STADIUM COMPANY LLC, FORTY NINERS STADIUM MANAGEMENT COMPANY LLC, and FORTY NINERS FOOTBALL COMPANY, LLC Plaintiffs, vs.	Civil Action No COMPLAINT	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	FORTY NINERS SC STADIUM COMPANY LLC, FORTY NINERS STADIUM MANAGEMENT COMPANY LLC, and FORTY NINERS FOOTBALL COMPANY, LLC Plaintiffs,	Civil Action No COMPLAINT	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	FORTY NINERS SC STADIUM COMPANY LLC, FORTY NINERS STADIUM MANAGEMENT COMPANY LLC, and FORTY NINERS FOOTBALL COMPANY, LLC Plaintiffs, vs. AMERICAN HOME ASSURANCE COMPANY,	Civil Action No COMPLAINT	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	FORTY NINERS SC STADIUM COMPANY LLC, FORTY NINERS STADIUM MANAGEMENT COMPANY LLC, and FORTY NINERS FOOTBALL COMPANY, LLC Plaintiffs, vs. AMERICAN HOME ASSURANCE	Civil Action No COMPLAINT	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	FORTY NINERS SC STADIUM COMPANY LLC, FORTY NINERS STADIUM MANAGEMENT COMPANY LLC, and FORTY NINERS FOOTBALL COMPANY, LLC Plaintiffs, vs. AMERICAN HOME ASSURANCE COMPANY,	Civil Action No COMPLAINT	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	FORTY NINERS SC STADIUM COMPANY LLC, FORTY NINERS STADIUM MANAGEMENT COMPANY LLC, and FORTY NINERS FOOTBALL COMPANY, LLC Plaintiffs, vs. AMERICAN HOME ASSURANCE COMPANY,	Civil Action No COMPLAINT	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	FORTY NINERS SC STADIUM COMPANY LLC, FORTY NINERS STADIUM MANAGEMENT COMPANY LLC, and FORTY NINERS FOOTBALL COMPANY, LLC Plaintiffs, vs. AMERICAN HOME ASSURANCE COMPANY,	Civil Action No COMPLAINT	

¹ Forty Niners Football Company LLC constitutes a Named Insured under the AHAC Policies as it is an affiliate of Forty Niners SC Stadium Company LLC.

Plaintiffs, Forty Niners SC Stadium Company LLC, Forty Niners Stadium Management Company LLC, and Forty Niners Football Company LLC¹ (hereafter "Plaintiffs" or "Insureds"), file this Complaint for damages and declaratory judgment against Defendant, American Home Assurance Company ("AHAC") alleging the following:

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1. This action for breach of contract and declaratory judgment arises out of AHAC's failure to comply with its obligations and provide coverage for Plaintiffs' loss under the "all risks" insurance policies sold by AHAC to Plaintiffs (the "Policies").
 - 2. Plaintiffs manage and are tenants of Levi's Stadium.
- 3. Based in California, Levi's Stadium seats over 68,500 spectators and was designed and built to host world-class sporting and entertainment events, including National Football League ("NFL") games for the San Francisco 49ers ("49ers"), NFL public training camps, NFL-related sponsorship events, collegiate football games, concerts, festivals, shows, and tours. Notably, Levi's Stadium hosted Super Bowl 50, WrestleMania, and the 2019 College Football Playoff National Championship.
- 4. Levi's Stadium also provides upscale restaurant services at Bourbon Steak and Bourbon Pub. It also contains numerous concession stands, the 49ers Team Store, a retail store selling 49ers apparel and merchandise, and the 49ers Museum, which showcases 11 unique gallery and exhibit spaces.
- 5. Further, with over 400,000 square feet of unparalleled event space featuring premium amenities and fully customizable experiences, Levi's Stadium offers a variety of unique spaces that people can use for both public and private events, including, without limitation, birthday parties, weddings, high school proms, business conferences and corporate events, and charitable functions. Levi's Stadium also provides dining options at numerous luxury suite spaces and concession stands.

- 6. Moreover, Levi's Stadium is widely known for being one of the most high-tech stadiums in the world and has been recognized and awarded as the "Sports Facility of the Year" by Sports Business Journal and "Venue of the Year" by the Stadium Business Awards in 2015.
- 7. Levi's Stadium's operation, however, is now threatened by SARS-CoV-2, sometimes called "Coronavirus" or by one of the names of the disease that it causes and that spreads it: "COVID-19." SARS-CoV-2 is referred to as COVID-19 herein.
- 8. Due to COVID-19, Plaintiffs' property has suffered "direct physical loss or damage"—under the plain and ordinary meaning of that term. Any jury would find that the stadium has suffered a direct physical loss or damage because COVID-19 impaired Plaintiffs' property by making the stadium unusable in the same manner as it had been used prior to the outbreak of COVID-19.
- 9. Once able to freely welcome visitors from all over the world and pack fans into Levi's Stadium to enjoy world-class sporting events, entertainment events, and private events, Plaintiffs were forced to close the stadium entirely for approximately five months and were forced to close the stadium entirely again after briefly reopening it for a specific purpose at a limited capacity. 49ers preseason games, 49ers public training camp sessions, 49ers sponsorship events, concerts by BTS and Justin Bieber, Monster Jam, shows, school events, fundraising events, holiday parties and other catered and non-NFL related events previously scheduled at Levi's Stadium were cancelled or postponed due to COVID-19—however, many of the events that have merely been postponed and not cancelled were not rescheduled for any time during 2020 or 2021.
- 10. Upon reopening the stadium to specifically allow the 49ers to begin training camp in August 2020, Plaintiffs were required to drastically reduce their business operations and strictly limit the number of people permitted to enter Levi's Stadium. Any staff member or employee entering Levi's Stadium was required to wear a mask, remain six feet apart from others, and follow other social distancing measures. No fans or spectators were allowed inside Levi's Stadium.
- 11. On or about November 28, 2020, Levi's Stadium was forced to completely close again. From that point in time until the end of the 2020 football season, Plaintiffs were unable even to utilize the stadium to host 49ers games or other professional and collegiate sports games or

12

17 18

16

19 20

22

21

23 24

25

26

27

28

activities that require close physical contact. Among other things, Plaintiffs were forced to: cancel highly anticipated events like scheduled 49ers games; make significant structural alterations, changes and/or repairs to their property; and completely restrict fans from entering the stadium due to COVID-19 and resultant government orders issued by local and state civil authorities that mandated the closure of businesses, like Plaintiffs' businesses ("Closure Orders"). To do anything else would lead to the emergence or reemergence of COVID-19 at Levi's Stadium.

- 12. Even throughout the 2021 football season, Plaintiffs were still unable to utilize the stadium in the way it had been used prior to the outbreak of COVID-19. For example, certain indoor events required guests to provide proof of vaccination, or a negative COVID-19 test result and to wear masks or face coverings; the Bourbon Steak restaurant was used only as a tailgate experience and open only during home games; and the 49ers Museum was closed for approximately 16 months, from March 2020 to August 2021, and reopened in a limited capacity only on home game weekends and with shortened hours due to COVID-19. Plaintiffs are also required to comply with strict COVID-19 related protocols provided by the NFL, which continue to impose restrictions on the use and availability of Plaintiffs' property.
- These losses are direct. Plaintiffs are not seeking reimbursement from AHAC after someone obtained a judgment against Plaintiffs for getting them sick. Such a loss might be categorized as an indirect loss. Plaintiffs are asking AHAC to pay for the loss and damage to their property and for their loss of business income occasioned directly by being unable to use their property.
- These losses are physical. Plaintiffs are unable to use Levi's Stadium in the manner in which they had previously used it.² The property has lost its functionality and its ability to generate revenue. The probability of illness prevents the use of the space in its normal way in no less of a way than, on a rainy day, a crumbling and open roof from the aftermath of a tornado

² Note, however, that Plaintiffs are not seeking recovery for their loss of use. Plaintiffs are seeking coverage for their loss of business income. As an example that drives home the difference, some law firms have been unable to use their office space because of COVID-19, but nevertheless the law firms' business income has increased and they thus have faced no loss of business income. A claim by such a law firm for not being able to use its office space would be a "loss of use" claim. But the law firm would have no loss of business income claim. Here, Plaintiffs' businesses have stalled because of the impairment of their business space, and Plaintiffs are seeking the loss of business income under the business interruption coverage of their property insurance policies.

would make the interior space of a business unusable. Moreover, the SARS-CoV-2 virus that causes COVID-19 is physical—it can be seen, counted, measured, and destroyed; it replicates itself and destroys other cells and organisms. Importantly, it can exist in the air and on surfaces for indeterminate periods of time, and can be transferred from the air and surfaces into human bodies. The presence of the virus in a facility is a *physical* presence, and it is a damaging one.

- 15. These losses are losses. They are the loss of functionality of the space for business purposes. The losses are the diminishment of the physical space in the stadium. Once able to hold over 68,500 fans, Levi's Stadium was limited to zero fans for the 2020 NFL season. For the final two months of the NFL Season, Levi's Stadium was also closed to NFL players, 49ers employees, and other football staff.
- 16. These losses constitute damage. The SARS-CoV-2 virus, a physical object, has been present in and around Plaintiff's Covered Property, impairing its function for their ordinary and intended uses, forcing its closure, requiring steps to be taken to physically restore the Covered Property to a usable state, and altering the structure of ambient air and Covered Property's surfaces:
 - a. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a betacoronavirus that is genetically related to several other zoonotic coronaviruses, including SARS-CoV-1, the etiological agent of SARS. SARS-CoV-2 causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in humans. SARS-CoV-2 has glycoprotein "spikes" that are able to bind to human angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE-2) receptors, which is present on human respiratory epithelial cells. After binding to ACE-2, the virus is able to enter the cells and make copies of itself, which are then released. These released infectious viral particles are then expelled in respiratory secretions as respiratory droplets into a multiphase, turbulent gas cloud during breathing, coughing, sneezing, talking, and singing. There are large and small respiratory droplets within the cloud. Large respiratory droplets can infect other people either directly, through direct contact with respiratory mucosal surfaces, or indirectly, by contaminating surfaces which are then

touched by another person who subsequently touches her or his mouth, nose, or eyes. The small droplets remain in the air as an aerosol, which can remain suspended in the air for hours, travel prolonged distances indoors along air currents induced by the HVAC system, and travel from room to room, infecting people directly through contact with, and inhalation of, the aerosol. Particles from the aerosol can also contaminate surfaces.

- b. Because SARS-Co-V-2 spread is logarithmic, a key purpose of government closure orders is to prevent the spread of SARS-CoV-2. In the absence of closure, there will be people infected with SARS-CoV-2 present on a premise, causing contamination of air and physical surfaces with infectious SARS-CoV-2 particles, leading to virus transmission and additional cases of COVID-19.
- c. The virus is indirectly transmitted when a person touches an infested object or surface that is infested with the SAR-CoV-2 virus (i.e., fomite transmission). The virus can survive on hard and soft surfaces for a period of time ranging from a few hours to a few days.
- d. Aerosol transmission, particularly during aerosol generating procedures, such as fans talking and cheering, is believed to be a common mode of transmission in public settings. If a person is infected with SARS-CoV-2, whether symptomatic or asymptomatic, and goes to a game or concert, infectious viral particles can be aerosolized into the air. Infection clusters suggest that aerosol, droplet and fomite transmission explain SARS-CoV-2 transmission amongst humans.
- e. Nonetheless, the virus, while imperceptible to the human eye without enhancement, is undeniably present in the air, and on objects and surfaces where infected humans congregate. The objects and surface are, essentially, rendered useless, in that they should not be utilized while virus is present.

- f. The virus cannot be observed by the human eye without enhancement. No one can see the virus in the air, on one's hands, or on a surface. This, of course, makes it difficult to eliminate the virus, or eradicate its transmission, from air or surfaces. The presence of the virus is only observed through the infection rate.
- g. Merely cleaning surfaces may reduce but does not altogether eliminate the risk of transmission. There may be surfaces with residual infectious virus, and aerosolized infectious particles. In other words, disinfection may temporarily eliminate a virus that was present prior to disinfection; however, a space may remain contaminated if an aerosol is present, and immediately become contaminated thereafter if another infected person is present in the area.
- h. The presence of the virus, whether circulating or stagnant, has changed the object, surface or premises, in that it has become dangerous to handle and/or enter, and cannot be used. Its use can only be restored with remedial action and sufficient time for the contaminated air to be evacuated, as suggested by the CDC and other infectious disease experts.
- i. The virus, observable only through microscopy and reflected by the public transmission rates, does physically exist and will survive in the air and on hard and soft surfaces. The virus can remain viable and infectious in aerosols for hours and on surfaces up to days. The virus may be inhaled from aerosols or spread to hands from a contaminated surface and then to the nose or mouth, causing infection. Notably, clearance of aerosols or disinfection of a contaminated surface is temporary and will easily become contaminated again when the virus is reintroduced by another infected person, and this contamination will provide a constant modality for infection to people.

- j. The virus' presence in a community, evidenced by infection rates, means that live virus has been transferred in the air and to objects and surfaces. When aerosolized or an object or surface contains live virus, the virus is physically present in the air and on surfaces and objects, but imperceptible to the human eye. Nevertheless, the air, objects and surfaces should not be used. The transmission of the virus can occur through breathing, aerosol generating procedures, or touching surfaces or objects contaminated with virus from an infected person.
- k. Aerosol, droplet, and fomite transmission are the basis for masking, eye protection, use of gowns and gloves in the healthcare setting, social distancing, hand-washing, stay-at-home orders, home-shelter orders, distance learning, reduced capacity and/or occupancy limits, and other measures implemented in various executive orders. The virus is physically present in the community, including in the air and on objects and surfaces. Aerosol and fomite transmission are real, and due to constant reinfestation of air and surface areas, it is simply impossible to entirely eradicate the virus from indoor and enclosed spaces and such surfaces if there continue to be unmasked people in the area.
- Reducing capacity in public settings is one way to reduce the presence of virus on objects and surfaces and, therefore, reduce the risk of transmission, especially during times of rising infection rates. Wearing masks reduces, but does not eliminate, the likelihood of virus being aerosolized and transferred to objects and hard surfaces.
- m. Even with cleaning and disinfecting, the presence of virus on objects and surfaces, though reduced, cannot be reliably eliminated because these surfaces will continue to become contaminated as people spread the virus. The only way to ensure the total absence of virus on objects and surfaces is to prevent access to an environment, especially an indoor or enclosed

11

13

15

14

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

28

27

environment with full capacity.

- For the policy period from July 15, 2017 to July 15, 2020, AHAC issued Commercial Property Policy No. 020413463 to Plaintiffs (the "2017-2020 Policy"). For the policy period from July 15, 2021 to July 15, 2022, AHAC issued Commercial Property Policy No. 018258111 to Plaintiffs (the "2021-2022 Policy") (hereafter, collectively "Policies"). The coverages under the Policies apply to real and personal property, unless excluded, at or within 1,000 feet of a "covered location" that Plaintiffs own, operate, control or for which they are under an obligation to insure for direct physical loss or damage. See Policy No. 020413463, attached hereto as Exhibit 1 at SANFRANCISCO0025; see also Policy No. 018258111, attached hereto as Exhibit 2 at SANFRANCISCO16.
- Under the Policies, "covered location" means "[t]he location(s) as specified in the most recent Statement of Locations and Values on file" with AHAC. See Exhibit 1 at SANFRANCISCO0067; see also Exhibit 2 at SANFRANCISCO0060.
- 19. Levi's Stadium (also referred to throughout the Complaint as "Covered Property") is a "covered location," which Plaintiffs operate, control or are otherwise obligated to insure for direct physical loss or damage.
- 20. Plaintiffs acquired "all-risk" property coverage to protect themselves in the event that Levi's Stadium suddenly had to suspend operations for reasons outside of their control or if Plaintiffs had to act in order to prevent further property damage. Plaintiffs obtained these Policies, which provide coverages for Property Damage with Additional Coverages and Time Element.
- The Policies provide Time Element Coverage for business income losses occurring as a result of direct physical loss or damage of the type insured under the Policies, and AHAC agreed to pay for expenses incurred in reducing such loss. See Exhibit 1 SANFRANCISCO0047-48; see also Exhibit 2 at SANFRANCISCO0040-41.
- The Policies also provide Civil Authority coverage for business income losses, including extra expense incurred, resulting from the partial or total prohibition of access to property by a civil authority. See Exhibit 1 at SANFRANCISCO0052; see also Exhibit 2 at SANFRANCISCO0045.

- 23. The Policies also provide coverage for business income losses, including extra expense incurred, due to the partial or total prevention of ingress to or egress from Plaintiffs' property. *See id.*
- 24. The Policies also provide coverage for business income losses sustained and extra expense incurred due to direct physical loss or damage to insured property that attracts business to a Covered Location. *See* Exhibit 1 at SANFRANCISCO0049; *see also* Exhibit 2 at SANFRANCISCO0042.
- 25. The Policies also provide coverage for "reasonable and necessary costs" that Plaintiffs incurred to "temporarily protect or preserve" the insured property. *See* Exhibit 1 at SANFRANCISCO0035; *see also* Exhibit 2 at SANFRANCISCO0028.
- 26. Unlike many policies that provide Business Interruption and other Time Element coverages or Time Element coverage extensions, the Policies do not include, and are not subject to, any exclusion for losses caused by the spread of viruses or communicable diseases.
- 27. AHAC drafted a limited "pollution or contamination" exclusion that originally defined pollutants or contaminants to include "virus," but that provision does not apply to pollution or contamination that results from direct physical loss or damage resulting from a covered cause of loss, including the cost to clean up pollutants or contaminants from covered property at the covered location resulting from such loss or damage. Moreover, "virus" was removed from the definition of "pollution or contamination" by endorsement.
- 28. Plaintiffs were forced to suspend certain operations and business at their stadium due to the direct physical loss or damage caused by COVID-19 and the resultant Closure Orders issued by civil authorities in California, as well as in order to take necessary steps to prevent further damage and minimize the suspension of business and continue operations.
- 29. Plaintiffs suffered direct physical loss or damage to their stadium due to COVID-19 and the resultant Closure Orders and incurred Time Element, Contingent Time Element, Extra Expense, Civil Authority, Ingress & Egress, Preservation of Property, and Attraction Property losses due to COVID-19 and the resultant Closure Orders.

30. Upon information and belief, AHAC has, on a widescale and uniform basis, refused to pay claims for losses and costs due to COVID-19 and the resultant Closure Orders covered by the insurance provisions identified in this Complaint under the Policies. Indeed, AHAC has repudiated coverage for Plaintiffs' claim under the Policies.

31. Despite the fact that Plaintiffs entered into insurance contracts with AHAC to cover them from "all risks," including that of business interruption and related losses due to physical loss of or damage to property, AHAC has reneged on its obligations. AHAC has relied on inapplicable exclusions and its own internal scheme to limit or altogether deny Plaintiffs from the recovery to which they are entitled under the Policies. Plaintiffs have paid their premiums in full and have relied on the Policies as a shield against unforeseen loss or damage and resulting loss of business income. Yet instead of following through on its end of the bargain, AHAC has failed to honor its duties under the Policies.

II. THE PARTIES

- 32. Plaintiff Forty Niners SC Stadium Company LLC is a limited liability company incorporated under Delaware law with its principal place of business at 4949 Marie P Debartolo Way, Santa Clara, California 95054.
- 33. Plaintiff Forty Niners Stadium Management Company LLC is a limited liability company incorporated under Delaware law with its principal place of business at 4949 Marie P Debartolo Way, Santa Clara, California 95054.
- 34. Plaintiff Forty Niners Football Company LLC is a limited liability company incorporated under Delaware law with its principal place of business at 4949 Marie P Debartolo Way, Santa Clara, California 95054.
- 35. Defendant AHAC is incorporated under the laws of New York with its principal place of business at 175 Water St., New York, New York 10038. AHAC is authorized to do business and issue insurance policies in the State of California.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

36. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant is a company that does business within the State of California.

_ .

43.

- 37. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this dispute because Defendant does business in the State of California, and the value of Plaintiffs' claim exceeds the jurisdictional requirement of this Court.
- 38. Venue is proper in this county because it is the county where Defendant entered into a contract with Plaintiffs and where Defendant's obligation of the executed contract is to be performed. Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 395(a).

IV. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. The AHAC Policies

- 39. In return for the payment of premiums, AHAC issued Commercial Property Policy No. 020413463 to Plaintiffs for a policy period of July 15, 2017 through July 15, 2020, and Commercial Property Policy No. 018258111 to Plaintiffs for a policy period of July 15, 2021 through July 15, 2022, under which Plaintiffs are Insureds. Plaintiffs have performed all of their obligations under the Policies, including Plaintiffs' payment of the premiums. The Covered Property is Levi's Stadium, located at 4900 Marie P DeBartolo Way, Santa Clara, CA 95054.
 - 40. AHAC drafted the Policies.
- 41. In many parts of the world, property insurance is sold on a specific peril basis. Such policies cover a risk of loss if that risk of loss is specifically listed (*e.g.*, hurricane, earthquake, H1N1, etc.). Most property policies sold in the United States, however, including those sold by AHAC, are all risk property damage policies. These types of policies cover all risks of loss except for risks that are expressly and specifically excluded.
- 42. In 2017, AHAC sold an "all risk" policy to Plaintiffs and agreed to pay for "all risks of direct physical loss or damage by a covered cause of loss to covered property." *See* Exhibit 1 at SANFRANCISCO0023. This policy was renewed with the same agreement for the 2021 through 2022 period. *See* Exhibit 2 at SANFRANCISCO0016. "Covered cause(s) of loss" under the Policies is defined as "a peril or other type of loss, *not otherwise excluded* under this Policy" (emphasis added). *See* Exhibit 1 at SANFRANCISCO0066; *see also* Exhibit 2 at SANFRANCISCO0059.
 - 43. Levi's Stadium is insured under the Policies and is a "Covered Property."

- 44. AHAC did not exclude or limit coverage for losses from the spread of virus in the Time Element coverages, Special Coverages, or other coverages of the Policies, which provide business interruption coverage. Indeed, the Policies do not include, and are not subject to, any exclusion for losses caused by the spread of viruses or communicable diseases. Thus, losses due to COVID-19 are a "Covered Cause of Loss" under the Policies.
- 45. In the Policies, AHAC agreed to pay for the Time Element losses of Plaintiffs due to the "necessary partial or total interruption of [the Insureds'] business operations, services or production during the period of indemnity." *See* Exhibit 1at SANFRANCISCO0047; *see also* Exhibit 2 at SANFRANCISCO0040.
- 46. The Time Element coverage of the Policies also provides at least 14 "Additional Time Element Coverages," including, among others: (i) "Extra Expense," for costs incurred in order to temporarily continue business "as nearly normal as practicable;" (ii) "Contingent Time Element," for loss sustained by the Insureds' direct supplier or direct customer; (iii) "Interruption by Civil and Military Authority," for losses sustained when access is partially or totally prohibited by an order of civil or military authority; (iv) "Ingress & Egress," for losses and costs due to impairment of physical ingress to or egress from a Covered Location; and (v) "Attraction Property," for losses sustained and costs incurred due to direct physical loss or damage to insured property that attracts business to a Covered Location. *See* Exhibit 1 at SANFRANCISCO0049-55; *see also* Exhibit 2 at SANFRANCISCO0042-49. AHAC agreed to pay for such Additional Time Element losses. *Id*.
- 47. For Time Element and all other applicable coverages, this period of time under the Policies "shall not be cut short by the end of the policy period." *See* Exhibit 1 at SANFRANCISCO0072; *see also* Exhibit 2 at SANFRANCISCO0064.
- 48. AHAC also agreed to pay expenses incurred by the Insureds to reduce their Time Element losses. *See* Exhibit 1 at SANFRANCISCO0048; *see also* Exhibit 2 at SANFRANCISCO0041.
- 49. The Property Damage with Additional Coverages of the Policies include "Preservation of Property" coverage, in which AHAC also agreed to pay the reasonable and necessary costs for actions taken to "temporarily protect or preserve" Covered Property, provided

that such actions are necessary due to "imminent direct physical loss or damage" to Covered Property. *See* Exhibit 1 at SANFRANCISCO0035-36; *see also* Exhibit 2 at SANFRANCISCO0035.

- 50. Due to the actual presence of COVID-19, the Covered Property suffered direct physical loss or damage. Due to COVID-19, the Covered Property has become unsafe for its intended purpose and thus suffered physical loss or damage. The business functions of the Covered Property were impaired as a result. If Plaintiffs continued to simply conduct business as they normally would have in the past prior to COVID-19, the virus would manifest, and guests, employees, and other visitors to the Covered Property would risk infection and serious illness or death. This is not a non-physical or remote loss such as one occasioned by a breach of contract, loss of a market, or the imposition of a governmental penalty. Instead, it is a direct physical loss because of the changed physical environment. In its current condition, Plaintiffs' property is not functional for its usual business purposes.
- 51. Moreover, the presence of virus constitutes physical damage to property, as the insurance industry has recognized since at least 2006. When preparing so-called "virus" exclusions to be placed in some policies, but not others, the insurance industry drafting arm, The Insurance Services Office ("ISO"), circulated a statement to state insurance regulators that included the following:

Disease-causing agents may render a product impure (change its quality or substance), or enable the spread of disease by their presence on interior building surfaces or the surfaces of personal property. When disease-causing viral or bacterial contamination occurs, potential claims involve the cost of replacement of property (for example, the milk), cost of decontamination (for example, interior building surfaces), and business interruption (time element) losses. Although building and personal property could arguably become contaminated (often temporarily) by such viruses and bacteria, the nature of the property itself would have a bearing on whether there is actual property damage. An allegation of property damage may be a point of disagreement in a particular case.

- 52. The presence of virus or disease has resulted in physical damage to Covered Property in that manner in this case.
- 53. Losses caused by COVID-19 and the related orders issued by local and state authorities triggered the Time Element, Contingent Time Element, Extra Expense, Civil Authority,

Ingress/Egress, Preservation of Property, and Attraction Property provisions of the Policies. Plaintiffs also reasonably and necessarily incurred expenses to reduce their Time Element losses.

B. COVID-19 Is A Highly Contagious and Deadly Communicable Disease

- 54. COVID-19, a disease resulting from the SARS-CoV-2 novel coronavirus, is a deadly communicable disease that has already infected over 78 million people in the United States and killed more than 947,000 Americans.³
- 55. As discussed in great detail in paragraph 16, *supra*, and incorporated herein by reference, COVID-19 is a highly contagious and deadly communicable disease.
- 56. Per the CDC, "COVID-19 spreads when an infected person breathes out droplets and very small particles that contain the virus. These droplets and particles can be breathed in by other people or land on their eyes, noses, or mouth. In some circumstances, they may contaminate surfaces they touch. People who are closer than 6 feet from the infected person are most likely to get infected. COVID-19 is spread in three main ways: Breathing in air when close to an infected person who is exhaling small droplets and particles that contain the virus; Having these small droplets and particles that contain virus land on the eyes, nose, or mouth, especially through splashes and sprays like a cough or sneeze; Touching eyes, nose, or mouth with hands that have the virus on them."
- 57. The virus that causes COVID-19 most commonly spreads between people who are in close contact with one another (within about 6 feet, or 2 arm lengths). It spreads through respiratory droplets or small particles, such as those in aerosols, produced when an infected person coughs, sneezes, sings, talks, or breathes. These particles can be inhaled into the nose, mouth, airways, and lungs and cause infection. This is thought to be the main way the virus spreads.
- 58. Droplets can also land on surfaces and objects and be transferred by touch. A person may get COVID-19 by touching the surface or object that has the virus on it and then touching their own mouth, nose, or eyes. A scientific study investigating the stability of COVID-19 in different environmental conditions found that, following COVID-19 contamination, the virus

³ See https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/cases-updates/cases-in-us.html (last viewed March 2, 2022).

⁴ https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/how-covid-spreads.html (last viewed March 2, 2022).

- 59. The time between exposure to the coronavirus and first symptoms, otherwise known as the incubation period, for COVID-19 can last up to 14 days.⁷ Some COVID-19 patients show symptoms, and some are asymptomatic. Even asymptomatic persons can transmit COVID-19 for an extended period of time, thought to be even longer than 14 days.⁸ Those people who eventually show symptoms can also spread the disease even in their pre-symptomatic state.⁹
- 60. Research has also indicated that the coronavirus can spread through the air. For example, airborne viral particles are known to have spread into a facility's heating and ventilation ("HVAC") system, leading to transmission of the coronavirus from person to person. One study found the presence of the coronavirus within the HVAC system servicing hospital ward rooms of COVID-19 patients. This study detected SARS-CoV-2 RNA in ceiling vent openings, vent exhaust filters, and central ducts that were located more than 50 meters from the patients' rooms.¹⁰
- 61. The Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") has previously compiled several studies reflecting "epidemiological evidence suggestive of [coronavirus] transmission through aerosol." Based on these and other studies, the EPA has recommended that facilities make improvements to their ventilation and HVAC systems by, for example, increasing ventilation with outdoor air and air filtration.¹¹
- 62. Accordingly, COVID-19 causes physical loss and damage by, among other things, destroying, distorting, corrupting, attaching to, and physically altering property, including its

⁵ See Alex W.H. Chin, et al., Stability of SARS-CoV-2 in different environmental conditions, The Lancet Microbe (April 2, 2020), https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247(20)30003-3.

⁶ See https://www.nih.gov/news-events/nih-research-matters/study-suggests-new-coronavirus-may-remain-surfaces-days.

⁷ See https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/clinical-guidance-management-

patients.html#:~:text=The%20incubation%20period%20for%20COVID,CoV%2D2%20infection. (last viewed March 2, 2022).

⁸ See https://www.acpjournals.org/doi/10.7326/M20-3012.

⁹ See https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200402-sitrep-73-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=5ae25bc7 2.

¹⁰ See https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-34643/v1.

¹¹ See https://www.epa.gov/coronavirus/indoor-air-and-coronavirus-covid-19.

surfaces, and by rendering property unusable, uninhabitable, unfit for intended function, dangerous and unsafe. While mitigation efforts have been undertaken and remain ongoing, COVID-19 has caused such physical loss and damage to Plaintiff's Covered Property, as described further below.

- 63. First, respiratory droplets (*i.e.*, droplets larger than 5-10 μm) expelled from infected individuals land on, attach, and adhere to surfaces and objects. In doing so, they structurally change the property and its surface by becoming a part of that surface. This structural alteration makes physical contact with those previously safe, inert surfaces (*e.g.*, walls, handrails, furniture) unsafe.
- 64. Second, when individuals carrying the coronavirus breathe, talk, cough, or sneeze, they expel aerosolized droplet nuclei (*i.e.*, those smaller than 5 μm) that remain in the air and, like dangerous fumes, make the premises unsafe and affirmatively dangerous. This process alters the structural properties of air in buildings from safe and breathable to unsafe and dangerous.
- 65. Fomites, droplets, droplet nuclei, and aerosols containing the coronavirus are not theoretical, intangible, or incorporeal, but rather are dangerous physical substances that have a material, tangible existence.
- 66. When the coronavirus and COVID-19 attach to and adhere on surfaces and materials, they become a part of those surfaces and materials, converting the surfaces and materials to fomites (contaminated surfaces). This represents a physical change in the affected surface or material, which constitutes physical loss and damage.
- 67. The presence of COVID-19 within a facility causes physical loss and damage by necessitating remedial measures that include without limitation extensive cleaning and disinfecting, repairing or replacing air filtration systems, remodeling and reconfiguring physical spaces, and other measures to reduce or eliminate the presence of cases of COVID-19 and the coronavirus on-site.
- 68. The presence of cases of COVID-19 within a facility causes physical loss and damage by transforming the facility from property that is usable and safe for humans into a property that is unsatisfactory for use, uninhabitable, unfit for its intended function, and extremely dangerous and potentially deadly for humans.
 - 69. In addition, the presence of COVID-19 on property creates the imminent threat of

further damage to that property or to nearby property. Individuals who come into contact, for example, with respiratory droplets at one location in the facility by touching a doorknob or gripping the arms of a stadium chair, will carry those droplets on their hands and deposit them elsewhere in the facility, causing additional damage and loss.

C. Federal, State, and Local Governments Issue Civil Authority Orders Because of COVID-19

70. On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization ("WHO") declared the COVID-19 outbreak as a pandemic.¹² On March 13, 2020, President Trump declared a national emergency due to the outbreak in the United States.¹³

71. The presence of COVID-19 is direct physical loss or damage to property. In response to the direct physical loss or damage to property due to COVID-19, civil authorities across the United States issued orders requiring the suspension or restriction of business at a wide range of establishments, including civil authorities with jurisdiction over business activities at Levi's Stadium.

72. Indeed, many governmental bodies specifically found that COVID-19 causes property damage when issuing Closure Orders. *See* Sixth Supp. to San Francisco Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency, 26 (Mar. 27, 2020)¹⁴ ("This order and the previous orders issued during this emergency have all been issued ... also because the virus physically is causing property loss or damage due to its proclivity to attach to surfaces for prolonged periods of time"); Napa Cty. Cal. Health & Human Service Agency, Order of the Napa Cty. Health Officer (Mar. 18, 2020)¹⁵ (issuing restrictions based on evidence of the spread of COVID-19 within the Bay Area and Napa County "and the physical damage to property caused by the virus"); N.Y.C. Emergency Exec. Order No. 100, at 2 (Mar. 16, 2020)¹⁶ (emphasizing the virulence of COVID-19 and that it "physically is causing property loss and damage"); N.Y.C.

¹² See https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020.

¹³ See https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/proclamation-declaring-national-emergency-concerning-novel-coronavirus-disease-covid-19-outbreak/.

¹⁴ https://sfgov.org/sunshine/sites/default/files/sotf 061020 item3.pdf

¹⁵ https://www.countyofnapa.org/DocumentCenter/View/16687/3-18-2020-Shelter-at-Home-Order

¹⁶ https://www1.nyc.gov/assets/home/downloads/pdf/executive-orders/2020/eeo-100.pdf

Emergency Exec. Order No. 103 at 1 (March 25, 2020)¹⁷ ("actions taken to prevent the spread of COVID-19 "have led to property loss and damage"); Harris Cty. Tex. Office of Homeland Security & Emergency Mgmt., Order of Cty. J. Lina Hidalgo, at 2 (Mar. 24, 2020)¹⁸ (emphasizing that the COVID-19 virus can cause "property loss or damage" due to its contagious nature and transmission through "person-to-person contact, especially in group settings"); City of Key West Fla. State of Local Emergency Directive 2020-03, at 2 (Mar. 21, 2020)¹⁹ (COVID-19 is "causing property damage due to its proclivity to attach to surfaces for prolonged periods of time"); City of Oakland Park Fla. Local Public Emergency Action Directive, at 2 (Mar. 19, 2020)²⁰ (COVID-19 is "physically causing property damage"); Panama City Fla. Resolution No. 20200318.1 (Mar. 18, 2020)²¹ (stating that the resolution is necessary because of COVID-19's propensity to spread person to person and because the "virus physically is causing property damage"); Exec. Order of the Hillsborough Cty. Fla. Emergency Policy Group, at 2 (Mar. 27, 2020)²² (in addition to COVID-19's creation of a "dangerous physical condition," it also creates "property or business income loss and damage in certain circumstances"); Colorado Dep't of Pub. Health & Env't, Updated Public Health Order No. 20-24, at 1 (Mar. 26, 2020)²³ (emphasizing the danger of "property loss, contamination, and damage" due to COVID-19's "propensity to attach to surfaces for prolonged periods of time"); and City of Durham NC, Second Amendment to Declaration of State of Emergency, at 8 (effective Mar. 26, 2020)²⁴ (prohibiting entities that provide food services from allowing food to be eaten at the site where it is provided "due to the virus's propensity to physically

73. As discussed above, due to the highly contagious nature of COVID-19, the rising number of confirmed cases of COVID-19, and as a consequence of physical loss or damage caused

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

impact surfaces and personal property").

¹⁷ https://www1.nyc.gov/assets/home/downloads/pdf/executive-orders/2020/eeo-103.pdf

¹⁸ https://www.taa.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/03-24-20-Stay-Home-Work-Safe-Order_Harris-County.pdf

¹⁹ https://www.cityofkeywest-fl.gov/egov/documents/1584822002_20507.pdf

²⁰https://oaklandparkfl.gov/DocumentCenter/View/8408/Local-Public-Emergency-Action-Directive-19-March-2020-PDF

²¹ https://www.pcgov.org/AgendaCenter/ViewFile/Item/5711?fileID=16604

²²https://www.hillsboroughcounty.org/library/hillsborough/mediacenter/documents/administrator/epg/saferathomeorder.pdf

²³ https://www.pueblo.us/DocumentCenter/View/26395/Updated-Public-Health-Order---032620

²⁴https://durhamnc.gov/DocumentCenter/View/30043/City-of-Durham-Mayor-Emergency-Dec-Second-Amdmt-3-25-20_FINAL

by COVID-19, federal, state, and local governments issued Closure Orders limiting the amount of people who could congregate in a group, requiring many businesses to close, and ordering individuals to stay at home except to participate in "essential" activities, such as going to the grocery store to purchase necessities or going to a doctor for a pressing medical issue.

- 74. In California, authorities have issued several Closure Orders with a variety of restrictions impacting the business activities of Plaintiffs, including the following:
- On March 4, 2020, the Governor of California, Gavin Newsom, issued a State of Emergency in response to COVID-19.²⁵ On March 13, 2020, the County of Santa Clara issued an order prohibiting any public or private gatherings of more than 100 people.²⁶ Subsequently, on March 16, 2020, the County of Santa Clara mandated that "[a]ll individuals living within Santa Clara County" to "shelter at their place of residence" except to engage in "Essential Activities" and ceased all but non-essential businesses activities and operations.²⁷ The March 16 order has been extended multiple times with limited modifications.²⁸
- Similarly, on March 19, 2020, Governor Newsom mandated that "all individuals living in the State of California to stay home or at their place of residence" except as needed to continue "critical infrastructure sectors" identified by the federal government.²⁹
- Accordingly, due to COVID-19 and related government Closure Orders, Levi's Stadium, a non-essential and non-critical business/facility, was required to close and operations were suspended beginning on March 13, 2020. Staff and employees, with limited exceptions, were required to work remotely. As a result, 49ers preseason games and previously-scheduled public training camp sessions, along with various concerts, shows, activities, and other catered and non-49ers related events previously scheduled to occur at Levi's Stadium were cancelled and/or postponed, preventing Levi's Stadium from being used for its intended purposes.

24 25

26

27

28

²⁵ https://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/3.4.20-Coronavirus-SOE-Proclamation.pdf.

²⁶ https://www.sccgov.org/sites/covid19/Documents/03-13-20-Health-Officer-Order.pdf.

²⁷ https://www.sccgov.org/sites/covid19/Documents/03-16-20-Health-Officer-Order-to-Shelter-in-Place.pdf.

²⁸ https://www.sccgov.org/sites/covid19/Documents/03-31-20-Health-Officer-Order-to-Shelter-in-Place.pdf;

https://www.sccgov.org/sites/covid19/Documents/05-04-20-Health-Officer-Order.pdf; https://www.sccgov.org/sites/covid19/Documents/05-18-20-Health-Officer-Order.pdf.

²⁹ https://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/3.19.20-attested-EO-N-33-20-COVID-19-HEALTH-ORDER.pdf.

78. In August 2020, the County of Santa Clara allowed the 49ers to begin private training camp at Levi's Stadium. Subsequently, with the County of Santa Clara's permission, Levi's Stadium hosted five home games from September 13, 2020 through the end of the 2020 NFL season in January 2021. However, the county did not approve or allow any fans to enter Levi's Stadium for any of the home games during the 2020 NFL season.

79. On or about October 20, 2020, Dr. Mark Ghaly, California's Health and Human Services secretary, announced new guidelines for the State of California's reopening plan.³⁰ Per the new guidelines, pro sports stadiums, including Levi's Stadium, were allowed to reopen at 20% capacity.³¹ However, the Santa Clara County Department of Public Heath responded by announcing that the county had no plans to reopen sporting stadiums to fans or visitors.³²

- 80. On November 19, 2020, the State of California's Department of Public Health issued a Limited Stay at Home order, requiring that all non-essential work and activities, movement, and gatherings cease between 10:00 p.m. and 5:00 a.m.³³
- 81. On November 28, 2020, the County of Santa Clara issued a Mandatory Directive on Travel, which strongly discouraged leisure and non-essential travel, and required individuals entering Santa Clara County from a point of origin greater than 150 miles from the County's borders to quarantine for 14 days upon arrival.³⁴
- 82. On the same day, the County of Santa Clara also issued another Mandatory Directive for Collegiate and Professional Athletics, which prohibited "all athletic activities that involve contact or close proximity" until December 21, 2020.³⁵ "Any collegiate or professional athletic team or program that travels outside the County to engage in athletic activity allowed in the County must comply with the Mandatory Directive for Travel."³⁶

23 |

³⁶ Id.

³⁰ See https://sacramento.cbslocal.com/2020/10/20/49ers-levis-stadium-fans-coronavirus-santa-clara/.

³¹ See id.

 $^{|^{32}}$ *Id*.

³³ https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/limited-stay-at-home-order.aspx.

³⁴ See https://www.sccgov.org/sites/covid19/Pages/travel-directive.aspx.

³⁵ See https://www.sccgov.org/sites/covid19/Documents/Mandatory-Directives-Collegiate-and-Professional-Athletics.pdf.

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

pandemic.

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

³⁷ See https://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/12.3.20-Stay-at-Home-Order-ICU-Scenario.pdf.

³⁸ See id.; see also https://www.gov.ca.gov/2020/12/03/california-health-officials-announce-a-regional-stay-athome-order-triggered-by-icu-capacity/.

³⁹ https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/Beyond-Blueprint-Framework.aspx

40 https://covid19.sccgov.org/dashboards.

during a "period of indemnity."

cease in compliance with the December 3rd order.³⁸

like Plaintiffs, to comply with strict COVID-19 related protocols.

83. Subsequently, on December 3, 2020, the State of California's Department of Public

84. Since then, the State of California began reopening the economy per the Department

D. The Impact of COVID-19 and the Closure Orders on Plaintiffs' Covered Property

At the time of this filing, in the County of Santa Clara alone, there have been over

The presence of COVID-19 caused direct physical loss or damage to Plaintiffs'

of Public Health's guidelines; however, certain indoor "mega" events of large crowds of at least

1,000 people still require guests to provide proof of vaccination, or a negative COVID-19 test

result, and to wear masks or face coverings.³⁹ Further, the NFL continues to require football teams,

304,000 cases of COVID-19 with over 2,000 deaths. 40 In California, there have been over 8

million cases of COVID-19 and over 84,000 deaths due to COVID-19.⁴¹ COVID-19 has been

pervasive throughout the County of Santa Clara and California since the beginning of the

Covered Property, by: (i) causing direct physical loss or damage to the Covered Property; (ii)

denying use of and damaging the Covered Property; (iii) requiring physical repair and/or structural

alterations to the Covered Property; and/or (iv) causing a necessary suspension of operations

⁴¹ https://covid19.ca.gov/state-dashboard/.

- 87. At the time of this filing, at least twenty-seven individuals have tested positive for COVID-19 and were physically present at Levi's Stadium.
- 88. As discussed in Section I, the 49ers play their home games at Levi's Stadium. In addition to hosting 49ers games, public and private training camp sessions, and 49ers-related sponsorship events, Levi's Stadium also hosts numerous concerts, other sporting events, entertainment events, private events, and shows. Levi's Stadium also has a museum and restaurants for guests to visit and enjoy.
- 89. However, because of the spread and presence of COVID-19, the functional spaces in Levi's Stadium have been diminished. For example, the stadium seats, luxury suites, and eateries in Levi's Stadium lost their normal functionality and the space could not be used from March 2020 until mid-August 2021. Numerous events, including 49ers games and training camp, Monster Jam, concerts by BTS and Justin Bieber, high school proms, business conferences and corporate events, charitable functions, and other public and private events have been cancelled or postponed.
- 90. In August 2020, the County of Santa Clara allowed the 49ers to begin training camp at Levi's Stadium, yet the functional uses of the football field and stadium were at a limited, diminished, and reduced capacity.
- 91. Due to the surging COVID-19 cases in California, the County of Santa Clara issued the Mandatory Directive for Collegiate and Professional Athletics on or about November 28, 2020. As a result, Plaintiffs were forced to completely shut down football activities at Levi's Stadium again, and for the rest of the season—over six weeks—the 49ers were unable to play at the stadium. Because Plaintiffs were unable to even utilize Levi's Stadium to host any NFL games, the NFL games that were previously scheduled to take place at Levi's Stadium were relocated for the rest of the season due to COVID-19. As a result of COVID-19 and related Closure Orders, the 49ers were forced to relocate to Arizona for the rest of the season on or about November 28, 2020 in order to continue participating in NFL games. Because of the relocation, additional expenses have been incurred. The 49ers had to rent approximately 180 hotel rooms to house the players, coaches, and staff members, and had to provided food and beverages daily to all team employees who were

 \parallel /

forced to relocate. Moreover, various facilities and equipment were rented for the team's training and participation in their remaining games.

- 92. At the time of this filing, Plaintiffs have not hosted any concerts and shows and hosted a very limited number of non-NFL related entertainment events in 2021. In fact, the primary use of Levi's Stadium in 2021 was as a COVID-19 vaccination site for Santa Clara County. The Bourbon Steak restaurant was used only as a tailgate experience and open only during home games. The 49ers Museum was closed for approximately 16 months, from March 2020 to August 2021, and reopened in a limited capacity with fewer days and operating hours due to COVID-19.
- 93. Aside from the NFL football games that occurred beginning in mid-August 2021, business operations of Plaintiffs, most of which involved large gatherings at the insured property, were initially cancelled or postponed, and many events that were merely postponed were not rescheduled during 2020 or 2021, nor have they taken place as of the date of this filing.
- 94. All of Plaintiffs' business operations have been and continue to be severely negatively impacted.
- 95. To date, Plaintiffs' losses exceed tens of millions of dollars in business income/business interruption losses and various costs to remediate the stadium and to ensure the health and safety of employees, 49ers players, and coaches due to COVID-19 and related government Closure Orders, and these losses continue to increase. These losses also include, but are not limited to: unsold or refunded tickets for 49ers games; lost revenue from 49ers-related sponsorships; costs related to testing 49ers players, coaches, and employees specifically for COVID-19; costs and expenses for relocating the 49ers players, coaches, IT staff, and other supporting staff to Arizona for over six weeks once the November 28, 2020 Closure Order was enacted; increased costs for professional cleaning services; costs in purchasing PPE and other items to protect against COVID-19; food and beverage spoilage; the utilization of existing employees solely for COVID-19 screening instead of their normal duties; and cancellations of numerous concerts, shows, school events, fundraising events, holiday parties and other catered and non-NFL related events.

96. Moreover, the presence of COVID-19 at Plaintiffs' property has caused "direct physical loss of or damage" to Plaintiffs' property and is further evidenced by the numerous recent alterations made to Levi's Stadium and their business operations.

- 97. In order to safely separate individuals, plexiglass partitions, protection shields, and/or other barriers were installed and bolted in the press box, media areas, coaches' booths, and in multiple locker rooms including those of the 49ers, visiting team, referees, coaches, and ball personnel. Further, several lockers and walls were completely removed from locker rooms to permit spacing for social distancing. New signage, markings, and decals were placed on the walls and floors of the facilities in the stadium to promote social distancing and comply with safety guidelines.
- 98. Thus, there have been many obvious structural alterations, changes and/or repairs made to the stadium and the operations of Plaintiffs in order to continue their businesses after experiencing direct property damage, which was caused by COVID-19, and to avoid imminent threat of further property damage. Had fans or visitors been permitted to enter the stadium in 2020, Plaintiffs would have implemented additional operational changes and physical and structural alterations, such as remodeling concession stands, eliminating stands for condiments for food, and/or erecting numerous hand sanitizer stands throughout the stadium, and would have incurred increased costs and expenses for these changes. In fact, since operations have reopened in mid-August 2021, Plaintiffs have supplemented their ticket scanning operations to include self-scanning turnstiles and have accelerated their transition to make their front and back concession operations and transactions contactless. Further, Plaintiffs have installed face covering signage and regularly purchase face coverings to provide to patrons if needed while indoors as mandated by the Santa Clara Health Department.
- 99. COVID-19 has rendered Plaintiffs' property unfit for its intended business functions. In its current condition, Plaintiffs' property is not functional for its business purpose because of the changed physical environment due to COVID-19. COVID-19 also presented an imminent threat of immediate damage or loss to Plaintiffs' property, forcing Plaintiffs to take costly action to prevent further damage or loss.

presence of COVID-19 and the Closure Orders, and AHAC denied the claim.

26

27

28

2. Multiple Coverages are Triggered Under the "All Risks" Policies

- 109. Plaintiffs' claim also triggered numerous coverage "extensions" in the Policies under the Additional Time Element Coverages section. These include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. AHAC Should Compensate Plaintiffs for Their Losses Because COVID-19 Triggered the Policies' Time Element Coverage
 - 110. Under the Policies, Plaintiffs are covered for Time Element/business income losses.
- 111. Due to the actual presence of COVID-19 at the Covered Property, Plaintiffs have suffered business income losses as a direct result of physical loss and damage that is insured by the Policies, as described above.
- 112. According to the Policies, Plaintiffs are covered from the date of the loss until the date their operations are restored.
- 113. Moreover, AHAC agreed to pay expenses incurred by the Insureds to reduce their Time Element losses.
 - b. AHAC Should Compensate Plaintiffs for Their Reasonable and Necessary Costs Incurred to Temporarily Protect or Preserve Their Property Because COVID-19 Triggered the Policies' Preservation of Property Additional Coverage
- 114. Due to the spread or actual presence of COVID-19 and the ongoing threat of imminently impending physical loss or damage (as described above) at the Covered Property, Plaintiffs incurred costs to temporarily protect or preserve their insured property, including all costs associated with having to reduce operations at Levi's Stadium and the costs to make the property safe. The Policies provide coverage for such costs to the extent they are reasonable and necessary.
- 115. Such costs were reasonably necessary because incurring the costs prevented further insured physical loss or damage.
 - 116. Accordingly, under the Policies, AHAC must compensate Plaintiffs for those costs.
 - c. AHAC Should Compensate Plaintiffs for Their Losses Because COVID-19 Triggered the Policies' Civil Authority Coverage
- 117. Due to the actual physical presence of COVID-19 at Levi's Stadium and other nearby properties, the local and state governments in California issued orders which partially or totally

COMPLAINT

g. AHAC Should Compensate Plaintiffs for Their Losses Because COVID-19 Triggered the Policies' Attraction Property Coverage

125. The Policies also provide coverage for actual business income loss sustained and extra expense incurred resulting from physical loss or damage to property of the type insured that is within one mile of Levi's Stadium and attracts business to Levi's Stadium.

126. Plaintiffs suffered and continue to suffer actual business income losses or damage to the attraction properties of the same type as described above with respect to Plaintiffs' property within one mile of Levi's Stadium.

h. AHAC Should Compensate Plaintiffs for Their Losses Because COVID-19 Triggered the Policies' Logistics Extra Cost Coverage

127. The Policies also provide coverage for reasonable and necessary extra cost sustained due to normal movement of such goods or materials being disrupted as a result of direct physical loss or damage by a covered cause of loss to property of the type insured under the Policies in the coverage territory.

128. Plaintiffs suffered and continue to suffer actual losses and extra costs of the same type described above with respect to Plaintiff's property in the coverage territory.

i. AHAC Should Compensate Plaintiffs for Their Losses Because COVID-19 Triggered the Policies' Rental Value Coverage

129. The Policies also provide coverage for rental value loss due to direct physical loss or damage by a covered cause of loss to covered property held for rental to others at a covered location.

130. Plaintiffs suffered and continue to suffer rental value loss of the same type as described above with respect to covered property held for rental to others at a covered location.

3. No Exclusion Applies Which Affects Coverage

- 131. The Policies contain no exclusion which limits or bars coverage for the spread or presence of COVID-19 at or near Levi's Stadium, the physical loss and damage to property at Levi's Stadium, and/or the Time Element/business interruption losses which have resulted and will continue to result from the physical loss and damage to Plaintiffs' property.
- 132. AHAC drafted a limited "pollution or contamination" exclusion that originally defined pollutants or contaminants to include "virus," but that provision does not apply to pollution

or contamination that results from direct physical loss or damage resulting from a covered cause of loss, including the cost to clean up pollutants or contaminants from covered property at the covered location resulting from such loss or damage. Moreover, "virus" was removed from the definition of "pollution or contamination" by endorsement.

- 133. On December 18, 2020, AHAC denied Plaintiffs' claim.
- 134. To the extent the Court finds that any exclusion(s) apply, they are unenforceable.
- 135. To the extent that the Court or fact-finder interprets the Policies to require Plaintiffs to complete any conditions precedent for coverage and performance under the Policies, AHAC's denial of the claim constitutes a material breach, excusing any alleged failure (if any) by Plaintiffs to complete conditions precedent.
- 136. Plaintiffs have complied with the Requirements in Case of Loss or Damage provision in the Policies. To the extent the Court or fact-finder interprets the Policies to require additional compliance, AHAC's denial constitutes a material breach, excusing any alleged failure (if any) by Plaintiffs to comply with all requirements.

V. <u>CLAIMS ALLEGED</u> COUNT I

Declaratory Judgment

- 137. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 136 as if set out in full herein.
- 138. Plaintiffs seek the Court's declaration of the parties' rights and duties under the Policies pursuant to Cal. Civ. Proc. Code §§ 1060-1062.5. An actual controversy within its jurisdiction exists between Plaintiffs and Defendant regarding the availability of coverage under the Policies for Plaintiffs' claims.
 - 139. The controversy between Plaintiffs and Defendant is ripe for judicial review.
 - 140. Therefore, Plaintiffs seek a declaration from this Court that:
 - a. The various coverage provisions under the Policies identified in this Complaint, including but not limited to Time Element, Preservation of Property, Civil Authority, Ingress & Egress, Extra Expense, Contingent Time Element, Attraction

1	Property, Logistics Extra Cost, and Rental Value Coverage, are triggered by			
2	Plaintiffs' claim;			
3	b. The Policies cover Plaintiffs' claim; and			
4	c. No Policy exclusion applies to prohibit or limit coverage for Plaintiffs' claim.			
5	COUNT II			
6	Breach of Contract			
7	141. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 – 136 as			
8	if set out in full herein.			
9	142. The Policies constitute valid and existing contracts of insurance requiring Defendant			
10	AHAC to properly compensate Plaintiffs for their losses.			
11	143. Plaintiffs sustained damages due to the actual physical presence of COVID-19, the			
12	existence and ongoing threat and spread of COVID-19, and the civil authority orders requiring the			
13	closure of and/or reduced operations at Levi's Stadium resulting from COVID-19, but Defendant			
14	AHAC has failed to comply with its contractual obligations and has failed to compensate Plaintiffs			
15	for their claim.			
16	144. AHAC has breached the contracts by failing to pay Plaintiffs for their Time Element			
17	or business interruption losses.			
18	145. Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages as a result of AHAC's breach of contract.			
19	146. Plaintiffs have been required to retain the services of attorneys to commence this			
20	action and are further entitled to attorneys' fees and costs.			
21	VI. <u>RELIEF REQUESTED</u>			
22	Wherefore, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against AHAC as follows:			
23	1) A declaration from this Court that:			
24	a. The various coverage provisions identified in this Complaint are triggered			
25	by Plaintiffs' claim;			
26	b. No exclusion in the Policies apply to prohibit or limit coverage for			
27	Plaintiffs' claim; and			
28	c. The Policies cover Plaintiffs' claim.			

1	2) For actual, special, compensatory, and consequential damages against AHAC in		
2	an amount to be proved at trial in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court;		
3	3) Pre- and post-judgment interest as provided by law;		
4	4) An award of attorneys' fees and cost of suit incurred; and		
5	5) For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.		
6	VII. JURY TRIAL DEMANDED		
7	Plaintiffs respectfully request a trial by jury on all issues so triable.		
8			
9	Date: March 2, 2022 Respectfully submitted,		
10	Opmie Lee Anderson		
11	Jennie Lee Anderson		
	ANDRUS ANDERSON LLP		
12	155 Montgomery Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, California 94104		
13	Telephone: 415-986-1400		
	jennie.anderson@andrusanderson.com		
14			
15	Douglas A. Daniels* Heath A. Novosad*		
16	Sabrina R. Tour*		
10	DANIELS & TREDENNICK PLLC		
17	6363 Woodway, Suite 700		
10	Houston, Texas 77057		
18	Telephone: 713-917-0024		
19	doug.daniels@dtlawyers.com		
	heath@dtlawyers.com		
20	sabrina@dtlawyers.com		
21	Alex Brown*		
22	Ralph "Skip" McBride* Matthew Przywara*		
23	THE LANIER LAW FIRM PC		
	10940 West Sam Houston Parkway North		
24	Suite 100		
25	Houston, Texas 77064		
دے	Telephone: 713-659-5200 alex.brown@lanierlawfirm.com		
26	skip.mcbride@lanierlawfirm.com		
27	matt.przywara@lanierlawfirm.com		
28			

1		Timothy W. Burns* BURNS BOWEN BAIR LLP
2		One South Pinckney Street, Suite 930 Madison, Wisconsin 53703
3		Telephone: 608-286-2302 tburns@bbblawllp.com
4		Adam J. Levitt*
5		DICELLO LEVITT GUTZLER LLC Ten North Dearborn Street, Sixth Floor
6		Chicago, Illinois 60602
7 8		Telephone: 312-214-7900 alevitt@dicellolevitt.com
9		Kenneth P. Abbarno*
10		DICELLO LEVITT GUTZLER LLC 7556 Mentor Avenue
11		Mentor, Ohio 44060 Telephone: 440-953-88
12		kabbarno@dicellolevitt.com
13		Counsel for Plaintiffs
14	*Pro Hac Vice Application anticipated	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		