Exhibit C

1

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

IN AND FOR BREVARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 05-1989-CF-004942-AXXX-XX

CROSLEY GREEN,

Appellee/Defendant,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

ORIGINAL REPRINT

Appellant/Plaintiff.

TRANSCRIPT OF RE-SENTENCING HEARING

DATE TAKEN:

August 31st, 2009

TIME:

1:30 p.m. - 5:00 p.m.

PLACE:

Moore Justice Center

2825 Judge Fran Jamieson Way

Viera, Florida 32940

BEFORE:

Bruce Jacobus, Circuit Court Judge

This cause came on to be heard at the time and place aforesaid, when and where the following proceedings were reported by:

Bobbi Lynne McCoy-Joy

Florida Professional Reporter and Notary Public State of Florida at Large

Brevard Associated Court Services, Inc.,

14 Suntree Place, Suite 101

Melbourne, Florida 32940

2

APPEARANCES

APPEARANCES FOR THE PLAINTIFF

R. WAYNE HOLMES, ESQUIRE CHRISTOPHER WHITE, ESQUIRE

Assistant State's Attorneys
Offices of the State Attorney
2725 Judge Fran Jamieson Way
Viera, Florida 32940

APPEARANCES FOR THE DEFENDANT

D. TODD DOSS, ESQUIRE
Local Counsel of Record
727 SE Baya Drive
Suite 102
Lake City, Florida, 32025

KEITH J. HARRISON, ESQUIRE ROBERT T. RHOAD, ESQUIRE STACIE B. LIEBERMAN, ESQUIRE CROWELL & MORING, LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D C, 20004

ALSO PRESENT:

**** N O N E ****

3 INDEX OF RE-SENTENCING HEARING PAGE NO. VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENTS By Ms. Linda Hawkins 7 By Ms. Kimberly Landers 10 Brief Openings By Mr. Holmes 11 By Mr. Harrison 14 RANDOLF SALLY Direct Examination by Mr. Rhoad 19 Cross Examination by Mr. Holmes 26 Redirect Examination by Mr. Rhoad 31 DR. JEFFROW TOOMER Direct Examination by Ms. Lieberman 33 Cross Examination by Mr. White 53 POWER POINT PRESENTATION By Mr. Harrison 65 TIMOTHY CURTIS Direct Examination by Mr. Harrison 76 Cross Examination by Mr. White 79 O'CONNER GREEN Direct Examination by Mr. Harrison 81 Cross Examination by Mr. Holmes 84 CROSLEY GREEN'S UNSWORN STATEMENT 86 CLOSING ARGUMENTS By Mr. Holmes 99 By Mr. Harrison 109 SENTENCING Ruling of the Court 106 Certificate of Reporter 111

Brevard Associated Court Services, Inc. 321/242/8080 www.kingreporting.com

State v. Crosley Green, 8/31/2009, Re-Sentencing 4 INDEX OF EXHIBITS DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS NO DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. 1-3 Composite Affidavits 26 Dr. Toomer's CV 4 34 5 Power-Point Presentation 67 6 Affidavit of Mr. Laynes 74

```
19
 1
               THE COURT:
                            Yes, sir.
 2
               MR. RHOAD:
                            We would call Lieutenant Randolf
 3
          Sally to the stand.
 4
               THE COURT:
                            Okay.
 5
               COURT CLERK:
                              Raise your right hand, please.
 6
    WHEREUPON,
 7
                               RANDOLF SALLY
 8
    a Witness, herein, acknowledged having been duly sworn,
    and testified upon his oath, as follows:
 9
10
               THE WITNESS:
                              I do.
11
               THE COURT:
                           Why don't you have a seat right over
12
         here.
13
                    (Brief pause in the proceedings.)
14
               THE COURT:
                           Go ahead.
15
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
16
    BY MR. RHOAD:
17
               Lieutenant Sally, would you please state your
         Q.
18
    name for the record?
19
         Α.
              Randolf Sally, Senior.
20
         Q.
              And sir, are you currently employed?
21
         Α.
              Yes.
22
         Q.
              Where are you employed?
23
         Α.
              Newman Correctional Institution.
24
              What position do you hold?
         Q.
25
         Α.
              Lieutenant of shift.
```

- Q. And how long have you been a correctional officer?
 - A. Twenty-three years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

16

18

19

- Q. Sir, could you please describe for the Court a little bit about your educational background and training?
- A. As far as the Department of Corrections is concerned?
 - Q. Actually, why don't we start with high school, when you graduated from high school.
- A. Once I graduated high school I went into the military, army, did four years in the 82nd Airborne.

 After being discharged from the military I did some odd jobs from '84 to early '86, before I got hired on with the Department of Corrections.
 - Q. So, you have been there since 1986?
- 17 A. Correct.
 - Q. Could you describe just very generally the training that you had to undergo to become a correctional officer?
- A. When I was initially hired there was 320 hours that was mandatory schooling that they were giving, considerably more now.
- Q. And just generally, what type of training was it?

1.8

- A. A portion of it was law, of course inmate welfare, care, custody and control, which we are bound by and that's what we do.
- Q. And could you describe for me what the promotional chain is for correctional officers? I mean, when you graduated from correctional officer training what rank did you hold?
- A. A ground floor would be correctional officer and of course from there it goes into sergeant and then of course now I'm a lieutenant. But, to be promoted you have to sit in front of a panel and are asked questions or sometime you write essays or disciplinary reports to find out how your writing is.

When I became a lieutenant you're in front of a higher court, which would be our assistant warden, which they will quiz the panel on the questions, which are unknown, from Tallahassee.

- Q. Thank you, sir, So, going back, when you actually graduated from correctional officer training where were you first assigned?
- A. Let's see, of course with the UCI, Union

 Corrections Institute. I actually worked at that time

 the west unit in the flat top, which is no longer there.
- Q. And when you were assigned to Union Correctional Institution, back in 1986, is that where

22 -

- you remained ever since?
- 2 A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

22

23

24

- Q. So, in addition to being a correctional officer for 23 years you've been at Union?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. How much interaction do you have with inmates?
- A. Um, considerable, I would say. Not as much as I used to because I'm more of a supervisor for staff as well. But, I do have interaction daily with inmates.
 - Q. Do you know Mr. Green?
- 11 A. Yes.
 - Q. And how is it that you know him?
- 13 Α. When I was promoted to sergeant in '93 I do 14 believe Green was transferred from Florida state prison 15 to to U C.I. He might have been there before I got 16 there. But, I got there in '93. But, I do know I 17 worked as a floor sergeant in death row for probably 18 five years that I can remember and I do know him from 19 that stent, as well as the time I was administrative 20 sergeant down there. I've known him probably 15 plus 21 years.
 - Q. Let me ask you this, sir. What observations can you share with the Court about Mr. Green's conduct in the department, while he was an inmate at Union?
 - A. I personally never had no problems with Green.

- Q. In the 15 years that you have known him have you ever known him to be a disciplinary problem?
 - Α. Not that I can recall; no.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- How would you compare his conduct relative to 0. the other inmates?
- Α. Being that we have such a variety of inmates, quiet well, I would put it.
 - Would you consider Mr. Green to be a model Q. inmate?
 - Α. Compared to some of the troublesome inmates, yes.
 - Based on the exposure and interaction you have Ο. had with Mr. Green since the 15 or 16 years that you have known him have you formed an opinion as to whether or not Mr. Green would pose a risk if he were to be moved into a general population?
- 17 Α. Not in my opinion no, I believe he would be able to function well in an open population.
 - For that matter, sir, if Mr. Green were eligible for parole at some point in the future have you formed an opinion about whether we would pose a risk to society?
 - Α. Um, personal opinion, I don't see it. that doesn't mean it is not possible. My personal opinion is no, I don't see it.

- Q. Based on your own observations and interactions with Mr. Green in the 15 or 16 years that you have known him have you formed an opinion about his character and truthfulness?
- A. That's an area that sometimes is difficult to arrive at. But, however the question is yes, I have formed an opinion.
- Q. And do you consider him to be a truthful person, in terms --
- A. Yes, I believe him to be truthful. I don't have any problems with him. Anything I ever asked of Green it was always fact based, as far as I could tell.
- Q. And sir, my last question for you, I think is my last question, you're here today testifying on behalf of Mr. Green and I understand obviously that it is subject to a subpoena that you received to call you to come down here to do so. My question for you, sir, is in your 23 year career as a correctional officer have you ever testified on behalf of any other inmate, other than Mr. Green?
 - A. No.

MR. RHOAD: Thank you. The State may have some questions for you. But, before we get there, Your Honor I have -- it has not yet marked for identification, but I do have three affidavits that

have been signed by three correctional officers at Union Correctional Institution, one being Mr. Watson, who has been there for roughly 17 years and has known Mr. Green for most of that time, one from Sergeant Lee, who has been there for 18 years and one from Lieutenant Sally.

All of these affidavits are very similar.

Lieutenant Watson and Sergeant Lee couldn't be here today, but they all explain the extensive contact that these correctional officers have had with Mr. Green, their opinion that Mr. Green is a model inmate and has been a model inmate since they known him, that he's never been a disciplinary problem, that they believe that he is a truthful person and that they believe that if Mr. Green were to be released on parole some day that he would not pose any risk. And in fact, that they believe that he would be an ideal candidate for parole.

And all three of these individuals respectfully request that the Court take their sworn testimony and their affidavits into consideration at this hearing today. So, if I could, I would like to ask that these be marked as Defense Exhibits.

THE COURT: Any objection?

26 1 MR. HOLMES: State has no objection. 2 is admissible. 3 THE COURT: Okay, thanks. So, you can bring 4 them up here and the Clerk will mark them and 5 receive them in evidence. 6 MR. RHOAD: And just so the record is clear, 7 in order they are from Willy B. Watson, Jerome Lee 8 and Randolf Sally. If they could be marked in 9 order. 10 THE COURT: Okay, very good. 11 (Defense Exhibit Number 1 - 3 were marked for identification and recieved into evidence.) 12 13 MR. RHOAD: And sir, I have no further 14 questions for you at this time. The State may have 15 some questions for you and I may come back up. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Holmes, cross examination? 17 MR. HOLMES: Yes, sir. 18 CROSS EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. HOLMES: 20 Now, death row at Union that's a pretty Ο. 21 regulated environment for the inmates, isn't it? 22 Α. Yes, sir. 23 Q. They're not free to come and go for themselves? 24 Α. No, sir.

As a matter of fact, aren't they in individual

25

Q.

```
27
 1
    cells?
. 2
         Α.
               Yes, sir.
 3
               And if they do not follow the rules,
         0.
 4
    disciplinary rules, if they do act up, aren't there
 5
    diffrent punishments that are available to be exercised
 6
    against them by the Department of Corrections?
 7
         Α.
               Yes, sir.
 8
         Ο.
               And the inmates that come into the system, if
 9
    they don't know those rules already, they learn them
10
    pretty quick, don't they?
11
         Α.
               Yes, sir.
12
         Q.
               And you have some inmates who behave -- work
13
    the system to the best of their advantage, do they not?
14
         Α.
               Absolutely.
15
               And they're many others, other than Mr. Green,
         0.
16
    that work the system everyday and make them work to the
17
    best of their advantage?
18
         Α.
               Yes, sir.
19
         0.
               And there are others that are just trouble
20
    from day one, aren't they?
21
         Α.
               Yes, sir.
22
         Q.
              And I'm sure that you know and could list off
23
    for the rest of the afternoon some of those that you
24
    have dealt with?
25
         Α.
               Yes, sir.
```

- Q. So, at least in the prison setting Mr. Green has abided basically by the rules and made a life for himself on death row as best as it can be for his particular circumstances?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

15

- Q. And that's not uncommon for a number of the death row inmates, is it?
- 8 A. No, sir.
 - Q. Now, you did not know Mr. Green prior to him coming to prison, did you?
 - A. No, sir, I did not.
- Q. And you don't know what his reputation for truthfulness in the community with Brevard County was, do you?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you didn't know him when he was selling drugs in Brevard County in the seventies and eighties, did you?
- 19 A. No, sir, I did not.
- Q. And you didn't know him when he was running from the police, fleeing and eluding, did you?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- Q. And you didn't know him when he was driving vehicles recklessly, did you?
 - A. No, sir.

29 1 Q. And you didn't know him when he was dealing in 2 stolen property, did you? 3 Α. No, sir. And you didn't know him when he was doing an 4 Q. 5 armed robbery in New York, did you? 6 Α. No, sir. 7 So, your knowledge of Mr. Green is -- began Ο. 8 some time in the nineties and it is a very confined 9 setting that he is in? 10 Α. Yes, sir. 11 Q. So, all of your answers that you gave the 12 Court here today are within those parameters; correct? 13 Α. Yes, sir. 14 Q. So, you really don't know what is going to 15 happen if he was released back to the Brevard County 16 community, do you? 17 Α. Correct. 18 MR. HOLMES: Thank you. No further questions. 19 THE COURT: Any redirect? 20 MR. RHOAD: Just briefly, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 And Your Honor, we would note that MR. RHOAD: 23 Mr. Holmes did read off a list of offenses.

record is clear, obviously part of what -- up in

New York, conviction for instance, means or what it

24

doesn't mean, it is very clear on the record. So, we'll just offer that to the Court as both an objection, but really just for the Court to recognize that in considering those things.

MR. HOLMES: Your Honor, the State would ask the Court to rely on the Presentence Investigation that --

THE COURT: There's a score sheet --

MR. HOLMES: -- for his charachter --

(Cross talk; unintelligible.)

MR. HOLMES: -- and in addition to that they had put his character into issue for this Court. So, any prior brush with the law is now relevant for the Court to consider in what his future behavior may be.

MR. RHOAD: And Your Honor, we don't dispute that at all. We just, in terms of any precision, in terms of what the nature of these offenses are, the record we believe is clear.

THE COURT: You know, I always tell juries questions are not evidence. It's the answer and really what he was saying is he didn't know him before he went to prison. That was really the answer. All the rest of it really wasn't evidence.

MR. RHOAD: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. RHOAD:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Lieutenant Sally, obviously Mr. Holmes asked you some questions about Mr. Green, you know, 20 years ago. My questions are simply for you and what you have observed. And just to be clear, you've only known Mr. Green since 1993; correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So, that has been roughly 16 years. And I'm only asking you based on what you have observed and what your opinion is based on Mr. Green's conduct during that last 16 years. And simply, sir, in that 16 years have you ever known Mr. Green to be a disciplinary problem?
 - A. None that I can recall.

MR. RHOAD: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Lietenant You may step down.

(The Witness was excused.)

THE COURT: Who do we have now?

MR. HARRISON: Your Honor, I would like to direct the Court's attention to a decision, Brown versus State, the current decision by Justice Allenbrand (phonetic), which is one of the few cases that we have been able to identify that gives