1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	GLASER WEIL, LLP ROBERT L. SHAPIRO (CA SBN 43693) Email: rs@glaserweil.com PATRICIA L. GLASER (CA SBN 55668) Email: pglaser@glaserweil.com SEAN RILEY (CA SBN 123533) Email: sriley@glaserweil.com 10250 Constellation Blvd 19th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 553-3000 Facsimile: (310) 556-2920  JOHNSTON & HUTCHINSON LLP THOMAS J. JOHNSTON (CA SBN 210506) Email: tjj@johnstonhutchinson.com Nicholas M. Hutchinson (CA SBN 221870) Email: nmh@johnstonhutchinson.com Two California Plaza 350 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 2220	FILED Superior Court of California County of Riverside  8/13/2020  B. Tucker Electronically Filed
12	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 542-1978	
13	Facsimile: (213) 542-1978	
14	Counsel for Plaintiff	
15	[ADDITIONAL COUNSEL ON FOLLOWING PAGE]	
16		
17	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
18	COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE	
19	WALTER FAMILY PARTNERSHIP D/B/A	Case No. <b>PSC2003695</b>
20	HILTON PALM SPRINGS RESORT	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY
21	Plaintiff,	RELIEF
22	V.	
23	AMERICAN AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY and DOES 1 to 25, inclusive	
24	Defendant.	
25	Berendant.	
26		
27		
28		

COMPLAINT

1	THE AMMONS LAW FIRM, LLP APRIL A. STRAHAN (CA SBN 302807)
2	Email: april@ammonslaw.com 3700 Montrose Blvd.
3	Houston, TX 77006
4	Telephone: (713) 523-1606 Facsimile: (713) 523-4159
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
J	I and the second

COMPLAINT

Walter Family Partnership d/b/a Hilton Palm Springs Resort ("Plaintiff") brings this complaint against Defendant American Automobile Insurance Co. ("American Automobile" or "Defendant") and DOES 1 through 25 as follows:

## **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

- 1. Walter Family Partnership d/b/a Hilton Palm Springs Resort owns and operates the Hilton Palm Springs Resort in Palm Springs, California.
- 2. To protect business in the event it suddenly had to suspend operations at its hotels for reasons outside of its control, Plaintiff purchased insurance coverage from Defendant, including business interruption coverage as set forth in Defendant's Business Income Coverage Form (and Extra Expense) (Form CP 00 30 10 91) ("Business Income Coverage Form").
- 3. Defendant's Business Income Coverage Form provides "Business Income" coverage, which promises to pay for loss during a suspension of operations.
- 4. Defendant's Business Income Coverage Form also provides "Extra Expense" coverage, which promises to pay the expenses incurred to minimize the suspension of business and to continue operations.
- 5. Defendant's Business Income Coverage Form also provides "Civil Authority" coverage, which promises to pay for losses caused by the action of a civil authority that prohibits access to the insured premises.
- 6. Defendant's Business Income Coverage Form also provides "Extended Business Income" coverage, which extends the period of Business Income coverage provided in the Business Income Coverage Form.
- 7. Defendant's Business Income Coverage Form, under a section entitled "Duties in the Event of Loss" mandates that Defendant's insured must "[t]ake all reasonable steps to protect the Covered Property from further damage by a Covered Cause of Loss" and "keep a record of your expenses . . . for consideration in the settlement of the claim." This type of coverage has historically been known as "Sue and Labor" coverage or a "Sue and Labor" provision, and property policies have long provided coverage for these types of expenses.
  - 8. Unlike many policies that provide Business Income coverage, the Business Income

Coverage Form does not include, and is not subject to, any exclusion for losses caused by viruses.

- 9. Plaintiff was forced to suspend or reduce business due to COVID-19 (a.k.a. the "coronavirus" or "SARS-CoV-2") and the resultant orders issued by the Governor of California and the County of Riverside mandating that businesses like Plaintiff's suspend and limit operations and take necessary steps to prevent further damage, minimize the suspension of business, and continue operations.
- 10. A declaratory judgment determining that coverage is provided under the policy will prevent Plaintiff from being left without vital coverage acquired to ensure the survival of its business.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 11. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over this action and the matters alleged herein.
- 12. Venue is proper in this Court because Defendant is obligated to perform the contract at issue in Riverside County, California, and the acts, omissions, loss and harm complained of took place at least in part in Riverside County, California.

#### THE PARTIES

- 13. Plaintiff Walter Family Partnership d/b/a Hilton Palm Springs Resort is a California limited partnership with its principal place of business in Palm Springs, California. The partners of the Walter Family Partnership are the Walter Hotel Corporation (a California corporation with its principal place of business in California) and two individuals who are California domiciliaries.
- 14. Defendant American Automobile Insurance Co. is a Missouri company with its principal place of business in Novato, California. Defendant provides property and business income coverage to California businesses and properties. At all times material hereto, Defendant conducted and transacted business through the selling and issuing of insurance policies within California.
- 15. Removal of this action would be improper under the forum defendant rule and because Plaintiff and Defendant are citizens of the same state.

- 16. Plaintiff does not know the true names and capacities of the defendants named herein as Does 1 through 25, inclusive, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the fictitiously named defendants took some part in the actions and/or omissions alleged in this Complaint or are otherwise legally responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that Plaintiff's losses as alleged herein were proximately caused by such wrongful acts.
- 17. At all times herein mentioned, each of the defendants was the agent, employee, partner, or successor of each of the remaining defendants, and in doing the things alleged herein, was acting within the purpose, scope, and course of such relationship.

# **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

## The Business Income Coverage Form Protecting Plaintiff

- 18. In return for the payment of a premium, Defendant issued Policy No. 8 17 MXG 80996712 (the "policy") to Plaintiff for a policy period of May 1, 2019, to May 1, 2020.
- 19. Plaintiff has performed all of its obligations under Policy No. 8 17 MXG 80996712, including the payment of premiums.
- 20. The Covered Properties, with respect to the policy, are 400 E. Tahquitz Canyon Way, Palm Springs, CA 92262, and 650 Tahquitz Canyon Road, Palm Springs, CA 92262.
- 21. Plaintiff's policy includes Business Interruption, Extra Expense, and Civil Authority coverages.
- 22. In many parts of the world, property insurance is sold on a specific peril basis. Such policies cover a risk of loss if that risk of loss is specifically listed (e.g., hurricane, earthquake, H1N1). Most property policies sold in the United States, however, including those sold by Defendant, are all-risk property damage policies. These types of policies cover all risks of loss except for risks that are expressly and specifically excluded. In the "Causes of Loss Form" in the policy provided to Plaintiff, Defendant agreed to pay for all "Risks of Direct Physical Loss" "unless loss is excluded or limited."
  - 23. Losses due to COVID-19 are a covered Cause of Loss under the policy.

- 24. Any reading of the policy that does not provide coverage for losses due to COVID-19 would render Policy No. 8 17 MXG 80996712 an illusory contract.
- 25. In the Business Income Coverage Form, Defendant agreed to pay Plaintiff's loss of "Business Income" sustained due to interruption of or interference with its business as a result of physical loss or damage.
- 26. "Business Income" means the net profit or loss before tax that Plaintiff would have earned or incurred, as well as continuing normal operating expenses, including payroll.
- 27. In the Business Income Coverage Form, Defendant also agreed to pay any "Extra Expense" that Plaintiff incurs "to avoid or minimize the suspension of business and to continue operations," "to minimize the suspension of business" if Plaintiff cannot continue operations, and to "[r]epair or replace any property."
- 28. "Extra Expense" means the expenses Plaintiff would not have incurred if there had been no physical loss or damage to property.
- 29. In the Business Income Coverage Form, Defendant also agreed to pay for the "loss of Business Income" and "Extra Expense" that Plaintiff sustains caused by action of Civil Authority that prohibits access to a Covered Property when a Covered Cause of Loss causes damage to property other than the Covered Property.
- 30. Defendant's Business Income Coverage Form, under a section entitled "Duties in the Event of Loss" mandates that Defendant's insured must "[t]ake all reasonable steps to protect the Covered Property from further damage by a Covered Cause of Loss" and "keep a record of your expenses . . . for consideration in the settlement of the claim." This type of coverage has historically been known as "Sue and Labor" coverage or a "Sue and Labor" provision, and property policies have long provided coverage for these types of expenses.
- 31. The COVID-19 virus causes physical loss or damage to property through the well-documented fact that it physically infects and stays on surfaces of objects and materials for up to twenty-eight days and thereby facilitates transmission by touching of such surfaces. The fact that virus or disease constitutes physical loss or damage to property has been recognized in the insurance industry since at least 2006.

32. Losses caused by COVID-19 and the related orders issued by local, state, and federal authorities triggered the Business Income, Business Interruption, Extra Expense, Civil Authority, and Sue and Labor coverage under the policy.

## The Covered Cause of Loss

- 33. The presence of COVID-19 has caused civil authorities throughout the country to issue orders requiring the suspension of business at a wide range of establishments, including civil authorities with jurisdiction over Plaintiff's businesses (the "Closure Orders"). Relevant examples are set forth below.
- 34. On March 4, 2020, California Governor Gavin Newsom issued a "Proclamation of a State of Emergency."
- 35. On March 16, 2020, the Riverside County Health Officer issued a countywide order prohibiting gatherings of more than 10 people.
- 36. On March 19, 2020, California Governor Newsom issued Executive Order N-33-20, which he found was necessary "for the preservation of public health and safety throughout the entire State of California." The order requires "all individuals living in the State of California to stay home or at their place of residence except as needed to maintain continuity of operations of the federal critical infrastructure sectors."
- 37. On March 27, 2020, the Riverside County Health Officer issued an order closing all hotels except to the extent they are used for COVID-19 mitigation and containment and prohibiting hotels from operating for any other purpose.

## The Impact of COVID-19 and the Closure Orders

- 38. The presence of COVID-19 caused "direct physical loss or direct physical damage to" each "Covered Property" and the immediately surrounding areas under the Plaintiff's policy by denying use of and rendering untenantable and damaging the Covered Property and by causing a necessary interruption of operations during a period of restoration.
- 39. The Closure Orders prohibited access to and use of Plaintiff's Covered Properties, and the area immediately surrounding Covered Property, in response to dangerous physical conditions resulting from a Covered Cause of Loss.

1	DATED: August 13, 2020	GLASER WEIL, LLP
2		/s/ Sean Riley
3		Sean Riley
4		Attorneys for Plaintiff
5		
6	JURY TRIAL DEMAND  Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.	
7		
8		
9	DATED: August 13, 2020	GLASER WEIL, LLP
10		/s/ Sean Riley
11		Sean Riley
12		Attorneys for Plaintiff
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		