28th Annual Ounce of Prevention Seminar



INVESTIGATIONS

Philip Inglima
Andy Liu
Raja Mishra



CURRENT ENVIRONMENT

- Increased complexity of investigations for government contractors
 - Mandatory Disclosure rules
 - Parallel proceedings for civil or administrative enforcement
 - Government and shareholder pressure on corporations to cooperate and disclose
- Higher Stakes
 - Pressure on agencies to suspend and debar
 - Threat of derivative litigation



PRINCIPLES OF FEDERAL PROSECUTION OF BUSINESS ORGANIZATIONS (THE FILIP MEMORANDUM)

- USAM §9-28.100 et seq, August 2008
 - Guides prosecutorial decision-making
- "The Value of Cooperation"
 - Timely and voluntary disclosure
- "Cooperation: Disclosing the Relevant Facts"
 - "Facts" must be disclosed; not "legal work"
- Corporate compliance programs will be evaluated

COORDINATION OF PARALLEL CRIMINAL, CIVIL AND REGULATORY PROCEEDINGS (THE HOLDER MEMO)

- January 2012
- Encourages information sharing within DOJ, among multiple investigations
- Goal: "realize all of the remedies available to the United States"
- FAR Contract Clause allows inter-agency sharing of disclosed materials



FAR MANDATORY DISCLOSURE

- Contract Clause
 - Contractor Code of Business Ethics and Conduct,
 FAR § 52.203-13
- Suspension and Debarment policy
 - Timely self-disclosure of credible evidence of fraud, etc., FAR § 9.406-2(b)(1)(vi)



DEVISING INVESTIGATION STRATEGIES THAT MEET THE NEED

Why?

- Identify and eliminate misconduct
- Fulfill disclosure obligations
- Avoid or resolve enforcement proceedings

Who?

- Role of in-house and outside counsel
- Participation of business people
- Overseen by:
 - Management
 - Audit Committee
 - Special Committee



DEVISING INVESTIGATION STRATEGIES THAT MEET THE NEED

• How?

- To partition privilege from facts
- To deal with agencies simultaneously, for both disclosure and settlement

When?

- To remediate and revise systems
- To disclose
- To push-back



DEALING WITH PARALLEL PROCEEDINGS — CRIMINAL, CIVIL AND ADMINISTRATIVE

- Coordination issues
 - Timing, content of disclosures
 - Application of discovery rules (e.g., FRCrP 6(e) versus administrative record and FOIA)
- Planning Issues
 - Seeking non-disclosure agreements
 - Securities disclosure implications



DISCLOSURE CONSIDERATIONS — PRIVILEGE IN DOUBT, EVEN WHEN DOJ AGREES TO PROTECT

- Control Components, Inc. Subpoenas Litigation
 (April 2012): Federal judge ordered CCI counsel
 Steptoe & Johnson to turn over correspondence
 with DOJ re internal FCPA investigation; disclosed
 materials found not to be privileged.
- United States v. Thompson, 562 F.3d 387 (D.C. Cir. 2009): notwithstanding "non-waiver" agreements between disclosing company and US, defendant in resulting criminal case entitled to documents necessary to his fair trial rights.

CASE STUDY ON CONDUCT OF IN-HOUSE COUNSEL: *U.S. v. Stevens*

- GlaxoSmithKline in-house counsel indicted for allegedly misleading FDA about company marketing, including "pro-con" memo.
 Stevens faced more than 20 years in prison.
- Court granted a judgment of acquittal.
- Lessons learned

