Arbitration

Contributing editors
Gerhard Wegen and Stephan Wilske









Arbitration 2016

Contributing editors
Gerhard Wegen and Stephan Wilske
Gleiss Lutz

Publisher Gideon Roberton gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com

Subscriptions Sophie Pallier subscriptions@gettingthedealthrough.com

Business development managers Alan Lee alan.lee@gettingthedealthrough.com

Adam Sargent adam.sargent@gettingthedealthrough.com

Dan White dan.white@gettingthedealthrough.com





Published by Law Business Research Ltd 87 Lancaster Road London, W11 1QQ, UK Tel: +44 20 3708 4199 Fax: +44 20 7229 6910

© Law Business Research Ltd 2016 No photocopying without a CLA licence. First published 2006 Eleventh edition ISSN 1750-9947 The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of January 2016, be advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by Encompass Print Solutions Tel: 0844 2480 112



CONTENTS

Introduction	7	China	82
Gerhard Wegen and Stephan Wilske Gleiss Lutz		Shengchang Wang, Ning Fei and Fang Zhao Hui Zhong Law Firm	
CEA	14	Colombia	91
Pablo Poza and Ana Blanco		Alberto Zuleta-Londoño, Juan Camilo Fandiño-Bravo and	
Spanish Court of Arbitration		Juan Camilo Jiménez-Valencia Cárdenas & Cárdenas Abogados	
CEAC	17		
Eckart Brödermann and Christine Heeg		Croatia	<u>97</u>
Chinese European Arbitration Centre		Zoran Vukić, Iva Sunko and Ana Pehar	
Thomas Weimann		Vukić & Partners Ltd	
Chinese European Legal Association			
DIG		Denmark	104
DIS	22	Peter Lind Nielsen and Morten Grundahl	
Renate Dendorfer-Ditges DITGES PartGmbB		Bird & Bird Advokatpartnerselskab	
		Dominican Republic	110
European Court of Arbitration	26	Fabiola Medina Garnes	
Mauro Rubino-Sammartano		Medina Garrigó Attorneys at Law	
European Centre for Arbitration and Mediation			
		Ecuador	118
HKIAC	29	Rodrigo Jijón Letort, Juan Manuel Marchán,	
Paulo Fohlin		Juan Francisco González and Javier Jaramillo	
Magnusson		Pérez Bustamante & Ponce	
LCIA	32	Egypt	125
Claire Stockford, Jane Wessel and Tom Stables		Ismail Selim	
Shepherd and Wedderburn LLP		Khodeir and Nour in association with Al Tamimi and Company	
The Polish Chamber of Commerce	35	England & Wales	132
Justyna Szpara and Maciej Łaszczuk		Adrian Jones, Gordon McAllister, Edward Norman and John	Laird
Łaszczuk & Partners		Crowell & Moring LLP	
Angola	38	Equatorial Guinea	143
Agostinho Pereira de Miranda, Sofia Martins and Jayr Ferna	andes	Agostinho Pereira de Miranda and Sofia Martins	
Miranda & Associados		Miranda & Associados	
Austria	44	Finland	148
Klaus Oblin		Tom Vapaavuori and Juha Ojala	
Oblin Melichar		Bird & Bird Attorneys Ltd	
Belgium	50	France	155
Johan Billiet		Thomas Bevilacqua and Ivan Urzhumov	
Billiet & Co		Foley Hoag LLP	
Cecile Oosterveen			
Association for International Arbitration		Germany	165
D	60	Stephan Wilske and Claudia Krapfl	
Brazil	60	Gleiss Lutz	
Hermes Marcelo Huck, Rogério Carmona Bianco and Fábio Peixinho Gomes Corrêa			
Lilla, Huck, Otranto, Camargo Advogados		Ghana	172
Emily Trucky Otherics, Sumargo Navogudos		Kimathi Kuenyehia and Sarpong Odame	
Canada	67	Kimathi & Partners, Corporate Attorneys	
John Judge and Daniel Dawalibi			
Arbitration Place		Greece	180
		Antonios D Tsavdaridis	
Chile	75	Rokas Law Firm	
Paulo Román, Marta Arias and Rodrigo Donoso		Hong Kong	100
Aninat Schwencke & Cía		Hong Kong David Faltin	188
		Paulo Fohlin Magnusson	

Hungary	196	Scotland	313
Chrysta Bán		Brandon Malone	
Bán, S Szabó & Partners		Brandon Malone & Company	
India	203	Singapore	321
Shreyas Jayasimha, Mysore Prasanna, Rajashree Rastogi,		Edmund Jerome Kronenburg and Tan Kok Peng	
Spandana Ashwath and Sujaya Sanjay Aarna Law		Braddell Brothers LLP	
		Slovakia	330
Italy	215	Roman Prekop, Monika Simorova, Peter Petho and	
Cecilia Carrara		Eduard Kutenic	
Legance – Avvocati Associati		Barger Prekop sro	
Japan	222	Spain	338
Shinji Kusakabe		Alberto Echarri	
Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune		Echarri & Brindle, Abogados	
Korea	229	Sweden	346
BC Yoon, Richard Menard and Liz (Kyo-Hwa) Chung		Simon Arvmyren	
Kim & Chang		Sandart & Partners	
Lithuania	237	Switzerland	353
Ramūnas Audzevičius and Rimantas Daujotas		Xavier Favre-Bulle, Harold Frey and Daniel Durante	
Motieka & Audzevičus		Lenz & Staehelin	
Malaysia	244	Taiwan	360
Foo Joon Liang		Helena H C Chen	
Gan Partnership		Pinsent Masons LLP	
Morocco	253	Tanzania	36 7
Azzedine Kettani		Wilbert Kapinga, Jacqueline Tarimo and Kamanga Kapinga	
Kettani Law Firm		Mkono & Co Advocates	
Mozambique	260	Thailand	373
Agostinho Pereira de Miranda, Sofia Martins and		Kornkieat Chunhakasikarn and John Frangos	
Filipa Russo de Sá		Tilleke & Gibbins	
Miranda & Associados			
Myonmar	266	Turkey	380
Myanmar Valuin David Min Whain and David Annua Cine	200	İsmail G Esin, Özgun Çelebi and Doğan Gültutan	
Kelvin Poon, Min Thein and Daryl Larry Sim Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP		Esin Attorney Partnership	
		Ukraine	388
Nigeria	272	Oleg Alyoshin and Yuriy Dobosh	
Dorothy Udeme Ufot, SAN Dorothy Ufot & Co		Vasil Kisil & Partners	
Dololly Clot & Co		United Arab Emirates	397
Portugal	281	Robert Stephen and Laura Askew	
Sofia Martins and Pedro Sousa Uva		Herbert Smith Freehills LLP	
Miranda & Associados			
Qatar	288	United States Jack Thomas, Arlen Pyenson and Randa Adra	404
James Bremen, Christopher Humby and Gillian Carr		Crowell & Moring LLP	
Herbert Smith Freehills Middle East LLP			
Romania	296	Venezuela Fernando Peláez-Pier and José Gregorio Torrealba	411
Cristiana-Irinel Stoica, Andreea Micu and Daniel Aragea		Hoet Pelaez Castillo & Duque	
Stoica & Asociații		Sugar	
Russia	204		
Ilya Nikiforov, Alexey Karchiomov and Svetlana Popova	304		
Egorov, Puginsky, Afanasiev and Partners			

United States

Jack Thomas, Arlen Pyenson and Randa Adra

Crowell & Moring LLP

Laws and institutions

1 Multilateral conventions relating to arbitration

Is your country a contracting state to the New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards? Since when has the Convention been in force? Were any declarations or notifications made under articles I, X and XI of the Convention? What other multilateral conventions relating to international commercial and investment arbitration is your country a party to?

The United States is a contracting state to the following multilateral conventions:

- The Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (New York Convention), effective 29 December 1970. The New York Convention is codified in the Federal Arbitration Act (FAA) at 9 USC sections 201–208. The United States made declarations or other notifications pursuant to articles I(3) and X(1) as follows: (a) This State will apply the Convention only to recognition and enforcement of awards made in the territory of another contracting state; and (b) This State will apply the Convention only to differences arising out of legal relationships, whether contractual or not, that are considered commercial under the national law.
- The Inter-American Convention on International Commercial Arbitration (Panama Convention), effective 27 October 1990, and codified in the FAA at 9 USC sections 301-307.
- The Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes Between States and Nationals of Other States (ICSID Convention), effective 14 October 1966, and codified in part at 22 USC section 1650a.

2 Bilateral investment treaties

Do bilateral investment treaties exist with other countries?

The United States has entered into several bilateral investment treaties, a list of which can be found on the website of the United States Department of State at www.state.gov/s/l/treaty/tif/index.htm, which lists all 'treaties in force' with the United States.

3 Domestic arbitration law

What are the primary domestic sources of law relating to domestic and foreign arbitral proceedings, and recognition and enforcement of awards?

The primary domestic sources are found in both federal and state law, and in both statutes and judge-made case law. The FAA governs the validity and enforceability of arbitration agreements in maritime transactions and in contracts 'evidencing a transaction involving commerce'. Most states in the United States have also enacted arbitration statutes that are based on the Uniform Arbitration Act (UAA) or the Revised Uniform Arbitration Act (RUAA), with some variations. State statutes may complement and expand on federal arbitration law, to the extent that they do not conflict with the FAA. In the event of a conflict, the FAA pre-empts state statutes.

In the United States, there is a strong policy in favour of arbitration and the enforceability of arbitration agreements. Chapter 1 of the FAA governs domestic arbitration agreements and awards, and applies to international

arbitration to the extent it does not conflict with the New York Convention. Chapters 2 and 3 of the FAA govern arbitrations under the New York Convention and the Panama Convention, respectively. FAA sections 202 and 302 define an international agreement as an agreement arising out of a legal relationship, whether contractual or not, which is considered as commercial and involving at least one non-US citizen, or if entirely between US citizens, one that involves property located abroad, envisages performance or enforcement abroad, or 'has some other reasonable relation with one or more foreign states'.

4 Domestic arbitration and UNCITRAL

Is your domestic arbitration law based on the UNCITRAL Model Law? What are the major differences between your domestic arbitration law and the UNCITRAL Model Law?

Disputes involving interstate commerce are governed by the FAA, and the FAA is not based on the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration. The majority of state arbitration statutes are based on the UAA and the RUAA, with some state statutes also being based on the UNCITRAL Model Law. Under US law, the question of arbitrability may only be referred to the arbitral tribunal where there is clear and unmistakable evidence in the arbitration agreement that the question of arbitrability should be decided by the arbitral tribunal. A number of institutional arbitration rules are based on the UNCITRAL Model Law, or permit the parties to opt for the application of the UNCITRAL Rules in their arbitration.

5 Mandatory provisions

What are the mandatory domestic arbitration law provisions on procedure from which parties may not deviate?

US courts have held repeatedly that 'arbitration is a creature of contract'. As a result, arbitral tribunals are bound by the parties' agreement. By reference in the arbitration agreement, the tribunal may also be bound by institutional rules concerning procedure. Under the FAA, courts can vacate arbitration awards only on very limited procedural grounds, including arbitrator misconduct or partiality, refusal to hear material evidence, and where the arbitrators have acted ultra vires.

6 Substantive law

Is there any rule in your domestic arbitration law that provides the arbitral tribunal with guidance as to which substantive law to apply to the merits of the dispute?

As a general rule, the parties' choice of substantive law is enforceable and binding, and arbitral tribunals must generally apply the substantive law chosen by the parties to govern their dispute. In some states in the United States, choice of law provisions are subject to the requirement that the chosen jurisdiction have a substantial relationship to the parties or the underlying transaction, or that the parties have a reasonable basis in their choice of law. If the arbitrators do not apply the substantive law selected by the parties in the arbitration agreement, the arbitral award may be vacated on the grounds that the arbitrators manifestly disregarded the law or that they acted ultra vires.

Crowell & Moring LLP UNITED STATES

7 Arbitral institutions

What are the most prominent arbitral institutions situated in your country?

The most prominent arbitral institutions in the United States are:

American Arbitration Association (AAA) 120 Broadway, 21st Floor New York, NY 10271 United States www.adr.org

International Centre for Dispute Resolution (ICDR) 120 Broadway, 21st Floor New York, NY 10271 United States www.icdr.org

Judicial Arbitration and Mediation Services (JAMS) 620 Eighth Avenue, 34th Floor New York, NY 10018 United States www.jamsadr.com

International Institute for Conflict Prevention and Resolution (CPR) 575 Lexington Avenue, 21st Floor New York, NY 10022 United States www.cpradr.org

ICC International Court of Arbitration (SICANA) 1212 Avenue of the Americas, 9th Floor New York, NY 10036 United States www.iccwbo.org

Arbitration agreement

8 Arbitrability

Are there any types of disputes that are not arbitrable?

Any dispute of a civil or commercial nature between private persons or entities can be arbitrated.

9 Requirements

What formal and other requirements exist for an arbitration agreement?

Courts in the United States have confirmed repeatedly that arbitration is a 'creature of contract'. Arbitration agreements are, therefore, subject to the general requirements concerning the formation, validity and enforceability of contracts. Statutes governing the enforcement of arbitration agreements generally require that an arbitration agreement be in writing and valid under the laws of the state governing the arbitration agreement. The FAA pre-empts state laws restricting the formation or validity of arbitration agreements.

10 Enforceability

In what circumstances is an arbitration agreement no longer enforceable?

Under the FAA (section 2), arbitration agreements are valid, irrevocable and enforceable unless grounds 'exist at law or in equity for the revocation of any contract'. Thus, general principles of contract law apply for challenging an arbitration agreement, which include standard grounds such as duress, fraudulent inducement, fraud, illegality, lack of capacity, unconscionability and waiver.

11 Third parties - bound by arbitration agreement In which instances can third parties or non-signatories be bound by an arbitration agreement?

Arbitration agreements generally bind only the contracting parties. In limited circumstances, third parties and non-signatories can be bound

by arbitration agreements (or be able to enforce arbitration agreements) through traditional principles of state contract law such as assumption, piercing the corporate veil, alter ego, incorporation by reference, third-party beneficiary, waiver and estoppel.

12 Third parties - participation

Does your domestic arbitration law make any provisions with respect to third-party participation in arbitration, such as joinder or third-party notice?

If non-signatories participate in an arbitration, they are generally subject to the same rules and procedures as signatories. Certain institutional rules provide for joinder of third parties, for example the AAA/ICDR International Arbitration Rules (article 7).

13 Groups of companies

Do courts and arbitral tribunals in your jurisdiction extend an arbitration agreement to non-signatory parent or subsidiary companies of a signatory company, provided that the non-signatory was somehow involved in the conclusion, performance or termination of the contract in dispute, under the 'group of companies' doctrine?

The 'group of companies' doctrine is not generally recognised in the United States. While non-signatories typically are not bound by arbitration agreements, parent and subsidiary companies may be compelled to arbitrate in cases in which the claims against them are based on the same facts as, and are inherently inseparable from, the claims against the signatory company. Non-signatory parent and subsidiary companies may also be compelled to arbitrate based on state law theories of alter ego, veil-piercing and agency.

14 Multiparty arbitration agreements

What are the requirements for a valid multiparty arbitration agreement?

For a multiparty arbitration agreement to be valid, it must comply with general contract law requirements; for example, it should be in writing and demonstrate the intent of the parties to be bound by the agreement. The consolidation of multiple arbitrations into a single arbitration will in most cases not be permitted unless expressly authorised by all the parties.

Class arbitration will be permitted only where there is a contractual basis for concluding that the parties agreed to authorise such a proceeding (Stolt-Nielsen SA v AnimalFeeds Int'l Corp, 559 US 662 (2010)).

But if the agreement is silent and the question of class arbitrability is deferred to the arbitral tribunal, a court must defer to the arbitrator's contractual interpretation, as long as the arbitrator 'arguably construed' the agreement (Oxford Health Plans LLC v Sutter, 133 S Ct 2064 (2013)). Courts must rigorously enforce arbitration agreements according to their terms, including those that contain class action waivers, even where the cost of pursuing an individual claim would be prohibitive (American Express Co v Italian Colors Restaurants, 133 S Ct 2304 (2013)).

Constitution of arbitral tribunal

15 Eligibility of arbitrators

Are there any restrictions as to who may act as an arbitrator? Would any contractually stipulated requirement for arbitrators based on nationality, religion or gender be recognised by the courts in your jurisdiction?

The parties to an arbitration agreement may restrict who may act as an arbitrator in a dispute, for example, by setting forth certain characteristics that arbitrators must have. In addition, codes of judicial conduct typically prohibit an active judge from acting as an arbitrator, and a party to an arbitration is also typically not permitted to serve as an arbitrator in that arbitration. The FAA does not address the appointment of arbitrators on the basis of nationality, religion or gender. In cases in which the parties are from different countries, the AAA Commercial Rules (R-15) provide that the AAA, on its own initiative or at the request of a party, may appoint as an arbitrator a national of a country other than that of any of the parties.

16 Default appointment of arbitrators

Failing prior agreement of the parties, what is the default mechanism for the appointment of arbitrators?

FAA section 5 provides for the appointment of arbitrators by courts if the parties have failed to provide a method for their selection or have failed to avail themselves of such a method. Several institutional rules also provide for the appointment of arbitrators in such cases.

17 Challenge and replacement of arbitrators

On what grounds and how can an arbitrator be challenged and replaced? Please discuss in particular the grounds for challenge and replacement, and the procedure, including challenge in court. Is there a tendency to apply or seek guidance from the IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration?

In a US-seated arbitration, pursuant to the FAA (section 10), a party seeking to challenge an arbitrator in US courts can do so only after the final arbitration award has been issued, in the course of seeking to vacate an award based on arbitrator partiality. The FAA provides no basis for an arbitrator challenge as a form of interlocutory relief.

Institutional rules generally provide for the challenge and replacement of arbitrators on such grounds as partiality or bias, incapacity, failure to participate in the proceedings, failure to meet the qualifications agreed on by the parties, and death. A determination of arbitrator partiality or bias is a fact-specific inquiry and can include scenarios such as an arbitrator with a financial interest in the case or a party, undisclosed business or personal relationships with a party, and a refusal to admit evidence.

Institutional rules provide the specific procedures to be followed, including deadlines for raising a challenge and the procedure for installing a replacement arbitrator. Failure to follow the specific rules relating to an arbitrator challenge may result in waiver of that challenge.

Some arbitration institutions take the IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration into consideration when deciding arbitrator challenges; parties to an arbitration can also agree to follow the IBA Guidelines.

18 Relationship between parties and arbitrators

What is the relationship between parties and arbitrators? Please elaborate on the contractual relationship between parties and arbitrators, neutrality of party-appointed arbitrators, remuneration, and expenses of arbitrators.

As noted above, arbitrators can be challenged and replaced based on partiality, and the FAA (section 10) allows for vacatur of an award where an arbitrator exhibited partiality. Institutional rules generally require arbitrator candidates to disclose facts that may suggest partiality or lack of independence from the parties.

Although parties may generally agree on the arbitrator appointment process, which may include party-appointed arbitrators, even party-appointed arbitrators must remain neutral. For example, both article 11.1 of the ICC Arbitration Rules and article 13 of the AAA/ICDR's International Arbitration Rules require that arbitrators be impartial and independent. The 2004 revision to the AAA/ABA Code of Ethics for Arbitrators in Commercial Disputes makes clear that the neutrality requirement extends to all arbitrators, including party-appointed arbitrators, unless parties have agreed otherwise.

Compensation of arbitrators varies depending on the institutional rules. For example, under the AAA Commercial Arbitration Rules and the AAA/ICDR's International Arbitration Rules, an arbitrator's compensation is based on the arbitrator's stated rate of compensation. The ICC Arbitration Rules, on the other hand, provide for a fee schedule set by the ICC Court.

19 Immunity of arbitrators from liability

To what extent are arbitrators immune from liability for their conduct in the course of the arbitration?

Article 38 of the AAA/ICDR International Arbitration Rules provides that arbitrators are immune from liability except where such limitation of liability is prohibited by applicable law. The AAA Commercial Arbitration Rules and ICC Arbitration Rules (article 40) contain similar language. The

UNCITRAL Rules (revised in 2010), on the other hand, provide immunity from liability with an exception for deliberate wrongdoing.

In the US, state or federal law ultimately control arbitrator immunity from liability, and because arbitrators assume a quasi-judicial role, they are generally afforded immunity by US courts.

Jurisdiction and competence of arbitral tribunal

20 Court proceedings contrary to arbitration agreements

What is the procedure for disputes over jurisdiction if court proceedings are initiated despite an existing arbitration agreement, and what time limits exist for jurisdictional objections?

Section 3 of the FAA provides that a suit brought in federal court will be stayed, upon application by a party, if the case is subject to a valid, written arbitration agreement between the parties.

If the parties dispute the existence of a valid, written arbitration agreement, section 4 of the FAA provides that a federal court may hold a hearing and, if the court finds that a valid, written arbitration agreement exists, it will order the parties to proceed with the arbitration. If the existence of the arbitration agreement is in issue, the court will conduct a trial. The FAA requires that a party seeking arbitration provide the other party with five days' notice of its intent to petition the court for an order directing arbitration.

Jurisdiction of arbitral tribunal

What is the procedure for disputes over jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal once arbitral proceedings have been initiated and what time limits exist for jurisdictional objections?

The Kompetenz-Kompetenz principle refers to the concept that a tribunal is competent to decide on its own competence to hear a dispute. International arbitral tribunals are generally presumed to have this power to decide on their own jurisdiction. Indeed, the major arbitral institutional rules include 'competence-competence'-related provisions. Parties that have either agreed in the arbitration agreement to refer jurisdictional questions to the tribunal, or who have adopted institutional rules that include a 'competence-competence' provision within their arbitration agreements, are generally presumed to have agreed to confer on the tribunal the power to determine its own jurisdiction.

Although the FAA does not expressly address the Kompetenz-Kompetenz principle, US courts have acknowledged that arbitral tribunals have the power to determine their own jurisdiction (eg, *Howsam v Dean Witter Reynolds, Inc*, 537 US 79 (2002)).

The AAA/ICDR International Arbitration Rules (article 19) require that jurisdictional challenges be made no later than the time of submitting the answer (within 30 days after the arbitration is commenced); otherwise, jurisdictional challenges are waived.

Arbitral proceedings

Place and language of arbitration

Failing prior agreement of the parties, what is the default mechanism for the place of arbitration and the language of the arbitral proceedings?

If the parties have not identified the place of arbitration in the arbitration agreement, and otherwise are unable to come to an agreement, most institutional arbitral rules provide that either the administrator or the tribunal will determine the place, or 'seat' of the arbitration, typically considering issues such as the nationality of the parties and arbitrators and the applicable law.

Under the FAA (section 4), US courts, when issuing orders compelling arbitration, have the power to specify the particular place the arbitration is to proceed. US courts have, in some cases, done so even where the parties agreed to institutional rules that provided for an alternate seat selection procedure (eg, *Tolaram Fibers, Inc v Deutsche Eng'g Der Voest-Alpine Industrieanlagenbau GmbH*, No. 2:91CV00025, 1991 WL 41772 (MDNC, 26 February 1991)).

If the parties have not agreed on the language of the arbitration, most institutional rules empower the tribunal to select the language of the arbitration, which will often look to the language of the underlying contract.

Crowell & Moring LLP UNITED STATES

23 Commencement of arbitration

How are arbitral proceedings initiated?

Arbitration agreements sometimes include requirements relating to commencing an arbitration, such as notice requirements or a requirement of negotiation or mediation before commencing arbitration. Institutional arbitral rules contain the specific requirements for a notice of arbitration (also called a request or demand for arbitration), including content requirements as well as fee requirements. In general, the notice of arbitration must be provided to the respondent.

24 Hearing

Is a hearing required and what rules apply?

Most institutional arbitral rules provide for a hearing, in keeping with the general proposition that the parties have the right to be heard and to present their case. Although the FAA does not expressly require a hearing, US courts have vacated awards under the New York Convention based on a failure to allow parties to be heard (eg, *Parsons & Whittemore Overseas Co Inc v Société Générale de l'Industrie du Papier* (RAKTA), 508 F 2d 969 (2d Cir 1974)).

25 Evidence

By what rules is the arbitral tribunal bound in establishing the facts of the case? What types of evidence are admitted and how is the taking of evidence conducted?

Institutional rules generally provide arbitral tribunals with broad discretion over the arbitral procedure, in particular relating to the admissibility and weight of evidence. Arbitral tribunals do not generally apply rules of evidence that are typical in US litigation, such as the Federal Rules of Evidence or the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

The exchange of documents (sometimes referred to as 'discovery' or 'disclosure') is available in arbitration, albeit in a much more limited scope than in US litigation. Tribunals often apply, or at minimum seek guidance from, the IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration.

Most institutional rules allow for both party-appointed and tribunal-appointed experts.

Most institutional rules require parties to submit written witness statements, or at minimum identify their witnesses and their anticipated testimony subject areas, in advance of the evidentiary hearing, so as to avoid 'surprise' testimony.

26 Court involvement

In what instances can the arbitral tribunal request assistance from a court and in what instances may courts intervene?

Section 7 of the FAA provides an arbitral tribunal with the power, in particular circumstances, to order testimony and document production, and, if the tribunal's orders are disregarded, the tribunal may seek judicial assistance to compel discovery. Section 7 also allows parties to an arbitration to make such a request for judicial assistance in taking evidence.

Some US state laws (for example, the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules, CPLR) also provide tribunals or parties with the power to issue subpoenas for documents or testimony in arbitrations, which would then be enforceable in court.

27 Confidentiality

Is confidentiality ensured?

The FAA does not provide for confidentiality of arbitral proceedings or of awards. Many institutional rules contain confidentiality provisions, with differing scopes. The IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence also contain a limited confidentiality provision. To ensure confidentiality, parties should include confidentiality requirements within their arbitration agreements, select institutional rules that include satisfactory confidentiality provisions, or adopt a more specific, tailored confidentiality agreement at the start of the arbitration.

Interim measures and sanctioning powers

28 Interim measures by the courts

What interim measures may be ordered by courts before and after arbitration proceedings have been initiated?

Although section 8 of the FAA gives courts the power to order interim measures only in a narrow category of admiralty or maritime disputes, US courts have found that they have the power to order interim measures (eg, *Teradyne, Inc v Mostek Corp*, 797 F 2d 43, 51 (1st Cir 1986)). Interim measures can include injunctions, temporary restraining orders or orders directing the taking of evidence or preservation of evidence or assets.

Some institutional rules also provide that courts may entertain requests for interim measures, although some rules suggest that such requests should be made before the tribunal is empanelled, and that any requests for provisional measures following the tribunal's formation should be handled by the tribunal. The parties can also agree that they will not seek interim measures from the courts.

29 Interim measures by an emergency arbitrator

Does your domestic arbitration law or do the rules of the domestic arbitration institutions mentioned above provide for an emergency arbitrator prior to the constitution of the arbitral tribunal?

The FAA does not provide for an emergency arbitrator. Some institutional rules, such as the AAA/ICDR International Arbitration Rules (article 6) and the ICC Arbitration Rules (Appendix V), do provide for an emergency arbitrator before the constitution of the arbitral tribunal.

30 Interim measures by the arbitral tribunal

What interim measures may the arbitral tribunal order after it is constituted? In which instances can security for costs be ordered by an arbitral tribunal?

Many institutional rules provide the arbitral tribunal with the power to order interim measures, often with broad discretion. Interim measures can include injunctions, temporary restraining orders, or orders directing the taking of evidence or preservation of evidence or assets. Many institutional rules also provide for security for costs as an interim measure.

31 Sanctioning powers of the arbitral tribunal

Pursuant to your domestic arbitration law or the rules of the domestic arbitration institutions mentioned above, is the arbitral tribunal competent to order sanctions against parties or their counsel who use 'guerrilla tactics' in arbitration? May counsel be subject to sanctions by the arbitral tribunal or domestic arbitral institutions?

The AAA Commercial Arbitration Rules (R-58) provide the tribunal with the power to sanction a party, upon a party's request, for failure to comply with its obligations under the rules or with an order of the tribunal.

Even where institutional rules do not provide express sanctions provisions, most arbitral institutional rules provide the tribunal with broad discretion to apportion the costs of the arbitration. Although these rules do not include sanctioning power per se, this broad discretion empowers the tribunal to take the parties' conduct into account in the course of apportioning costs. The 2012 ICC Rules include an update that empowers the tribunal to apportion costs based on 'the extent to which each party has conducted the arbitration in an expeditious and cost-effective manner'. The conduct of counsel in arbitral proceedings has been a topic of much debate in recent years. The ICDR/AAA alluded to future rules or guidance on this topic in its new international arbitration rules released in June 2014. Specifically, article 16 provides that '[t]he conduct of party representatives shall be in accordance with such guidelines as the ICDR may issue on the subject' - a statement that suggests the ICDR may soon release its own guidelines on the topic of ethical conduct of counsel in international arbitration, which could potentially include sanctions.

Awards

32 Decisions by the arbitral tribunal

Failing party agreement, is it sufficient if decisions by the arbitral tribunal are made by a majority of all its members or is a unanimous vote required? What are the consequences for the award if an arbitrator dissents?

The FAA does not state whether a majority or unanimity of the tribunal must render the award. Most institutional rules require a majority award and, in some cases, require that there be a written statement explaining why any arbitrator failed to sign the award. A dissenting opinion by an arbitrator does not form part of the award and has no impact on the enforceability of the award.

33 Dissenting opinions

How does your domestic arbitration law deal with dissenting opinions?

Unless the parties have otherwise agreed, a dissenting opinion should not affect the enforceability of an award.

34 Form and content requirements

What form and content requirements exist for an award?

A US court will enforce an award that is rendered in compliance with the parties' agreement, the applicable rules or the law of the state where it was awarded. The FAA (section 10(a)(4)) requires that arbitral awards be 'mutual, final, and definite', but does not expressly impose any formal requirements. Generally, US courts will require an award to be in writing and signed or otherwise authenticated. Institutional rules may impose further requirements, for example that the award include the date and place where the award was made.

35 Time limit for award

Does the award have to be rendered within a certain time limit under your domestic arbitration law or under the rules of the domestic arbitration institutions mentioned above?

The FAA does not set a time limit for rendering an award. Under the RUAA and UAA, the parties may agree to a deadline for the award, otherwise the court may order a time. The AAA Commercial Rules (R-45) instruct the arbitrator to issue the award 'promptly' and, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or specified by law, no later than 30 days from the date of closing of the final hearing or of the AAA's transmittal of the final statements and proofs to the arbitrator, if oral hearings have been waived.

36 Date of award

For what time limits is the date of the award decisive and for what time limits is the date of delivery of the award decisive?

The date of an award triggers time limits related to confirmation, modification, correction or vacatur of an award. Under the FAA, a party must apply to confirm a domestic arbitral award within one year (section 9) and a foreign arbitral award within three years of the date of the award. The RUAA and UAA do not impose a time limit for confirming an award, but provide that a motion to 'change' or clarify an award must be made within 20 days of the date of the award, and a motion to modify or vacate must be made within 90 days. Likewise, under the AAA Commercial Rules (R-50), a party must file a motion to modify the award within 20 days of its transmittal.

37 Types of awards

What types of awards are possible and what types of relief may the arbitral tribunal grant?

Subject to the parties' agreement, arbitrators are generally free to issue any type of relief consistent with the law and circumstances of the case, including damages, injunctions, specific performance, punitive or exemplary damages, interest, costs and attorneys' fees. The RUAA and UAA allow an arbitrator to 'order any remedies the arbitrator considers just and appropriate under the circumstances of the arbitration proceeding', regardless if

such a remedy would be granted by an enforcing court. Similarly, the AAA Commercial Rules (R-47) permit tribunals to grant any relief deemed 'just and equitable' within the scope of the parties' agreement.

38 Termination of proceedings

By what other means than an award can proceedings be terminated?

An arbitration may terminate at the request of the parties or if the parties have reached a settlement. The AAA Commercial Rules (R-57(f)) also allow for suspension and termination of the proceedings if the parties fail to make full deposits. If a federal or state court finds that the agreement to arbitrate is not valid, it may order arbitration proceedings to be terminated. The AAA/ICDR International Arbitration Rules (article 32(3)) further provide that a tribunal may terminate proceedings if they become 'unnecessary or impossible'.

39 Cost allocation and recovery

How are the costs of the arbitral proceedings allocated in awards? What costs are recoverable?

The FAA is silent on the allocation of costs and fees. Under US practice, parties traditionally bear their own costs and fees. Institutional rules often allow a tribunal to award reasonable attorneys' fees and other reasonable expenses as appropriate or pursuant to agreement by the parties. The AAA Commercial Rules include detailed administrative fee schedules and allow the AAA to assess additional fees when necessary.

40 Interest

May interest be awarded for principal claims and for costs and at what rate?

Whether interest is permitted in an award will vary, depending on state statutes, institutional rules and any agreement of the parties. The AAA/ICDR International Arbitration Rules (article 31) expressly allow the tribunal to award pre-award and post-award interest, simple or compound, as it considers appropriate, taking into consideration the contract and applicable law. Likewise, the AAA Commercial Rules (R-47(d)(i)) permit the inclusion of interest in the award.

Proceedings subsequent to issuance of an award

41 Interpretation and correction of awards

Does the arbitral tribunal have the power to correct or interpret an award on its own or at the parties' initiative? What time limits apply?

In general, it is up to the parties to request modification, correction or interpretation of the award. The RUAA and UAA provide that a party may move to modify or correct an award within 20 days of receiving notice of the award. Under the AAA/ICDR International Arbitration Rules (article 6(5)), a tribunal may modify, correct or vacate an interim award issued by an emergency arbitrator, but any other request to modify or interpret an award must be made by one of the parties (article 33). The FAA (section 11) allows a federal court to modify or correct an award upon request.

42 Challenge of awards

How and on what grounds can awards be challenged and set aside?

A party may move to vacate a domestic award within three months of the filing or delivery of the award. The grounds on which an award may be set aside are, however, limited in deference to the arbitration process. Under the FAA and UAA, awards may be vacated in the event of fraud or corruption, evident partiality by the arbitrators, arbitrator misconduct or refusal to hear material evidence, due process concerns, or where the arbitrators exceeded the scope of their powers or failed to make a mutual, final and definite award. International arbitration awards may be set aside on the grounds contained in either the New York or Panama Conventions, or, in the case of an ICSID award, pursuant to the ICSID Convention.

Crowell & Moring LLP UNITED STATES

Update and trends

New ICC report promotes efficiency in deciding costs

The allocation of costs in international arbitration is often unpredictable, and under the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) Rules there remains no definitive approach to determining cost allocation. Articles 36 and 37 of the 2012 ICC Rules give broad discretion to arbitral tribunals in allocating the costs of arbitration between the parties. These costs can range from the arbitrators' fees and expenses to costs incurred by the parties during the course of the arbitral proceedings. Many have observed that cost awards often vary greatly from case to case with no clear explanation for the reasoning or inconsistencies.

In light of these concerns, the ICC Commission on Arbitration and ADR released a report in December 2015 entitled 'Decisions on Costs in International Arbitration'. The report is an examination of how costs are allocated between parties in international commercial arbitration and how cost allocation can be used by the arbitrators, parties, and counsel as a case management tool. While the report does not waver from the notion that there is no fixed methodology to allocating costs, it identifies and describes the various current practices and approaches to cost apportionment. Serving as a guide to both arbitrators and parties, the report aims to render ICC proceedings more efficient and productive. Another goal of the report is to strike a balance between the predictability of cost decisions and preservation of the arbitrators' discretion, which is a key to ensuring equitable results. For this reason, the report addresses how arbitrators can tend to both of these often conflicting needs without specifically endorsing a single approach. As

support for its conclusions, the report includes a review of decisions on costs from a wide range of ICC awards and national committees and covers many topics including the effect of third-party funding and rendering interim decisions on costs.

This guidance from the ICC could be an invaluable step toward improving the time and cost efficiency of international arbitration proceedings under this institution's rules. However, it will be illuminating to see whether arbitral tribunals actually utilise this report in rendering decisions on costs and how effective it proves to be in bettering the cost allocation process.

A new path for international arbitration – climate change disputes On the heels of the UN Climate Change Conference held in Paris in November 2015, international arbitration is emerging as a potentially powerful dispute resolution tool in this area. The UN Climate Change Conference aims to create a new global treaty on climate change, which will lead to a unique international agreement that covers both national and international measures, and also places obligations on non-state actors (ie, businesses and local governments). As a result, enforcement of this treaty presents unique challenges which may not be readily addressed by national or international courts. The ICC organised a conference in December 2015 to discuss the role that international arbitration may play in resolving climate change disputes as well as enforcing sustainability principles. As a result, climate change disputes are an 'area to watch' for the future of international arbitration.

43 Levels of appeal

How many levels of appeal are there? How long does it generally take until a challenge is decided at each level? Approximately what costs are incurred at each level? How are costs apportioned among the parties?

Generally, arbitration awards are final and not appealable, either to US courts or within the arbitration process itself. Some arbitral institutions have recently drafted rules allowing for limited appeals within the arbitration, for example the AAA Optional Appellate Arbitration Rules. Parties may appeal from US court orders relating to confirmation or vacatur of an award through normal litigation procedures; this process is generally lengthy and quite costly.

44 Recognition and enforcement

What requirements exist for recognition and enforcement of domestic and foreign awards, what grounds exist for refusing recognition and enforcement, and what is the procedure?

Either party may move to confirm an award according to the applicable procedures set forth in the court that has jurisdiction, usually by motion or petition. Under both federal and state law, confirmation is intended to be a summary proceeding, and the court is expected to convert the award into a judgment almost automatically. Although a party may object to confirmation, the court is limited in its ability to review an award and may not second-guess a tribunal. Under the FAA (section 9), an award must be confirmed unless it is vacated, modified or corrected.

45 Enforcement of foreign awards

What is the attitude of domestic courts to the enforcement of foreign awards set aside by the courts at the place of arbitration?

US courts may give deference to a foreign judgment annulling an award in the place of arbitration so long as that judgment does not violate US due process requirements. In general, US courts consider the court at the place of arbitration to have primary jurisdiction over the award.

46 Enforcement of orders by emergency arbitrators

Does your domestic arbitration legislation, case law or the rules of domestic arbitration institutions provide for the enforcement of orders by emergency arbitrators?

The FAA does not provide for the enforcement of orders by emergency arbitrators. However, US courts have demonstrated a willingness to enforce orders by emergency arbitrators, primarily on equitable relief grounds pending the final award. Courts typically consider the potential for immediate and irreparable harm and the need to preserve the integrity of the arbitral process when enforcing emergency arbitral decisions. While the FAA and New York Convention only allow for the enforcement of final awards, courts have nonetheless found that orders or awards by emergency arbitrators are sufficiently final for enforcement purposes.

47 Cost of enforcement

What costs are incurred in enforcing awards?

Under the 'American rule', each party must bear its own costs for post-award litigation, unless otherwise specified by contract.

Other

48 Judicial system influence

What dominant features of your judicial system might exert an influence on an arbitrator from your country?

A dominant feature of US litigation is pretrial discovery, including voluminous document production and depositions. US arbitrators may favour extensive discovery and motion practice. Witnesses can be compelled to appear at an arbitration hearing (FAA section 7, which confers the same powers to compel a witness to appear upon a tribunal as US courts). Unless otherwise agreed, party officers may testify.

49 Professional or ethical rules applicable to counsel

Are specific professional or ethical rules applicable to counsel in international arbitration in your country? Does best practice in your country reflect (or contradict) the IBA Guidelines on Party Representation in International Arbitration?

All US lawyers are bound by the ethical rules of the state in which they practise; for example, New York lawyers must abide by the New York Rules of Professional Conduct. US counsel representing clients in international

arbitration are naturally bound by these rules as well. These rules of professional conduct cover topics such as conflicts of interest, confidentiality, communication with represented and non-represented parties, and conduct before a tribunal. Although US rules of professional conduct tend to cover topics beyond those covered in the IBA Guidelines on Party Representation in International Arbitration, the principles set forth in the IBA Guidelines reflect the practices required by US rules of professional conduct. Ethical rules for counsel in international arbitration have become a topic of great interest, and certain institutional rules may soon cover the conduct of counsel, for example the ICDR/AAA International Arbitration Rules, as discussed in question 31.

50 Regulation of activities

What particularities exist in your jurisdiction that a foreign practitioner should be aware of?

Foreign practitioners participating in an arbitration in the US should be aware of differences relating to the attorney-client privilege, the work-product doctrine and conflict of interest rules. For instance, in the US, it is generally accepted that in-house counsel are covered by attorney-client privilege.

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd. This article was first published in Getting the Deal Through: Arbitration 2016, (published in January 2016; contributing editors: Gerhard Wegen and Stephan Wilske, Gleiss Lutz) For further information please visit www.gettingthedealthrough.com.



590 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 2544 United States

Randa Adra

jnthomas@crowell.com apyenson@crowell.com radra@crowell.com

Tel: +1 212 223 4000 Fax: +1 212 223 4134 www.crowell.com

Getting the Deal Through

Acquisition Finance

Advertising & Marketing

Air Transport

Anti-Corruption Regulation

Anti-Money Laundering

Arbitration

Asset Recovery

Aviation Finance & Leasing

Banking Regulation Cartel Regulation Class Actions

Construction

Copyright

Corporate Governance

Corporate Immigration

Cybersecurity

Data Protection & Privacy

Debt Capital Markets

Dispute Resolution

Distribution & Agency

Domains & Domain Names

Dominance

e-Commerce

Electricity Regulation

Enforcement of Foreign Judgments

Environment & Climate Regulation

Executive Compensation & Employee Benefits

Foreign Investment Review

Franchise

Fund Management

Gas Regulation

Government Investigations

Healthcare Enforcement & Litigation

Initial Public Offerings

Insurance & Reinsurance

Insurance Litigation

Intellectual Property & Antitrust

Investment Treaty Arbitration

Islamic Finance & Markets

Labour & Employment

Licensing

Life Sciences

Loans & Secured Financing

Mediation

Merger Control

Mergers & Acquisitions

Mining

Oil Regulation

Outsourcing

Patents

Pensions & Retirement Plans

Pharmaceutical Antitrust

Ports & Terminals

Private Antitrust Litigation

Private Client

Private Equity

Product Liability

Product Recall

Project Finance

Public-Private Partnerships

Public Procurement

Real Estate

Restructuring & Insolvency

Right of Publicity

Securities Finance

Securities Litigation

Shareholder Activism & Engagement

Ship Finance

Shipbuilding

Shipping

State Aid

Structured Finance & Securitisation

Tax Controversy

Tax on Inbound Investment

Telecoms & Media

Trade & Customs

Trademarks

Transfer Pricing

Vertical Agreements

Also available digitally



Online

www.gettingthedealthrough.com









