Westlaw Today powered by Reuters

Aid and sanctions: Ukraine, Israel and Taiwan aid bill expands U.S. sanctions and export control authorities

By Anand Sithian, Esq., Caroline Brown, Esq., Jeremy Iloulian, Esq., and Laurel Saito, Esq., Crowell & Moring LLP*

MAY 16, 2024

On April 24, 2024, President Biden signed into law the National Security Supplemental fiscal package, which includes significant new sanctions and export controls authorities.

Companies should be ready to manage multiple regimes in their compliance programs.

Although the U.S. foreign aid commitments for Ukraine, Israel, and Taiwan headline the new law, it also (1) expands the statute of limitations for U.S. sanctions violations; (2) includes new authorities for the President to coordinate sanctions efforts with the European Union and the United Kingdom; (3) expands sanctions and export controls on Iran (including some targeted at Chinese financial institutions); and (4) includes new sanctions authorities targeting terror groups.

Key takeaways

- Sanctions violations, whether civil, criminal, or forfeiture, of
 the International Emergency Economic Powers Act ("IEEPA")
 and the Trading with the Enemy Act ("TWEA") are now subject
 to a 10-year statute of limitations that runs from the date of
 the most recent violation, potentially exposing companies
 to liability for periods substantially longer than 10 years.
 The prior statute of limitations for such violations was five
 years. Implications of this extension remain to be seen and
 will require active consideration in a range of contexts from
 transactions, records retention, and internal investigations.
- The expansion of the scope of the Iran Foreign Direct Product Rule means persons dealing with Iran must continue to consider whether their non-U.S.-origin products are derived from U.S.-origin technology or software.
- The United States is further integrating sanctions and export control compliance and institutions that have predominately focused on sanctions compliance (e.g., banks) should begin to develop export compliance protocols as well.

- The United States, European Union, and United Kingdom continue to coordinate on sanctions and export controls.
 Companies should be ready to manage multiple regimes in their compliance programs, with the expectation that equivalent agencies across governments are in communication with each other.
- Non-U.S. vessel, port, and refinery owners should exercise increased diligence to confirm that their assets are not involved with Iranian oil or risk becoming the subject of U.S. sanctions.
- Chinese banks processing Iranian oil payment are a key target for these new sanctions authorities. Persons engaging in business with Chinese banks or with accounts at such banks should develop plans of action in the event a Chinese bank is sanctioned.

Background

The bill was originally passed in the Senate in February, but stalled in the House of Representatives until Speaker Mike Johnson brought it to a vote. It passed the House of Representatives on April 20, 2024, where the additional sanctions and export provisions were included. The Senate quickly voted in favor of the amendments and the bill was promptly signed into law by President Biden.

In addition to the aid to Ukraine, Israel, and Taiwan and the sanctions and export controls authorities, the law also includes provisions that: (1) allow the President to seize Russian assets subject to U.S. jurisdiction and transfer them to a fund that can be used to support Ukraine; (2) force the sale of TikTok from ByteDance within 270 days, and, if this has not happened by that time, prohibits services to distribute, maintain, or update the application, as well as internet hosting services to enable the same; (3) prohibit data brokers from providing personally identifiable sensitive data of a U.S. individual to China, Russia, Iran, or North Korea (or entities controlled by them); and (4) suspend foreign aid, loans, or technical assistance to any governments that have provided support to identified Palestinian terror organizations or for international

A summary of the key sanctions and export controls provisions in the new law:



I. 10-year statute of limitation for IEEPA and TWEA violations

The new law added an identical provision to both IEEPA and TWEA extending the statute of limitations for both laws from five years, to ten.

The new law authorizes OFAC
to impose sanctions on the foreign
persons listed in the report to Congress
who are not currently sanctioned
by the United States, but does
not require such sanctions to be imposed.

Notably, the new law specifies that the pre-penalty notice or finding of violation is considered to be the commencement of an action for statute of limitation purposes and that the statute starts at the time of the most recent violation.

This means that if a violation was identified within the ten-year period, the U.S. Department of the Treasury Office of Foreign Assets Control ("OFAC"), which enforces these laws, could review violations extending back further than ten years.

For criminal sanctions violations, the U.S. Department of Justice must file an indictment or information within 10 years after the latest date of the violation upon which the indictment or information is based.

II. Coordination between U.S., EU and UK sanctions on Russia

The new law requires OFAC to provide a report to Congress that includes: (1) a list of foreign persons currently subject to European Union and United Kingdom sanctions (pursuant to their relevant Russian sanctions regimes), but are not subject to U.S. sanctions; and (2) an analysis of whether those persons meet any designation criteria under current U.S. sanctions authorities.

Importantly, this list is related to the Russian, not Belarussian, sanctions regimes in the EU and the UK, and the Ukraine sanctions regime (in the case of the EU).

The new law also authorizes OFAC to impose sanctions on the foreign persons listed in the report to Congress who are not currently sanctioned by the United States, but does not require such sanctions to be imposed; an earlier version of the legislation would have made such sanctions mandatory.

III. Iran-related provisions

Act	Brief Description
Stop Harboring Iranian Potroleum Act ("SHIP Act")	The SHP Act requires CFAC (within 100 days) to impose senctione (i.e., vessed bane, asset freeze, or vise band) on foreign persons that knowingly. **Own or operates a foreign port and involvely premit a vessel site discit. **Own or operates a verying port and involvely premit a vessel site discit. **Verying the product of the involved product of freeze (CTAC) tail must use disciplants for transporting prises or, or **verying the operation or owner of such vessel or otherwise forwardly each product. **Verying the operation or owner of such vessels of otherwise forwardly expressing in a significant transaction involves on the verying or object transport of products. **Verying the owner operates as well drought which the owner knowingly conducts as a left-on-tyle Test Premit involving a significant transaction of a fraint origin portionary products. **Is a consent family member or is consider or controlled by previous discrete above that products are not family member or is consider or controlled or other privates and a verying or a significant transaction of any familiar origin portionary product, or is a consent family member or is consider or controlled or other part actions to process. **Reference family member or is consider or controlled or other parts and existing origin (and there is no
Fight and Combat Rampant Iranian Missile Exports Act ("Fight CRIME Act")	The Fight CBME Act requires OFAC (within 100 days) to impose searctions (i.e., asset freeze or visa bars) on any foreign persons that: *Koowingly grouppe in any effort to expelie, possess, device, transfer, or deploy Towned Technology. In fine, or involving the Government of Iran ("Qot") or the religinar detries accounted or resolvable by the God or have aligned entitles with poods, technology, and the control of the County of the
The Mahus Amini Human Rights and Security Accountability Act ("MAHSA Act")	The MMEA, Act regards of EAC forth QL says to identify if any of the following persons meet the sanctions dissignation criterias under the has sanctions regime, and, if medical sanctions them. The says the medical sanctions them. The says dissipation to the medical sanctions them. The says dissipation to the medical sanctions are said to the says of the same than the same than the sanctions regime, and, if the sanctions are said any dissipation to find of the President of an on the President of the sanction sand any desirable the Order of the President of the Says
No Technology for Terror Act	The No Technology for Terco Act against the Fowing Direct Product Rules on Ian. 8 against the acops of the prohibition (without a license) to export to, or Product Science. The North Rules Science
Iran-China Energy Sanctions Act of 2023	The lear-China Energy Sarctions Act expands a prior sarctions subhorly to from 2001 to require CFAC (within 100 days) to impose servicions (i.e., esset freeze and correspondent professional on. Chinases Bracesi learnized positional prior of the size, number, frequency, or nature of the transaction) involving the purchase of petroleum or petroleum products. Any foreign francial institution (eithout regard to the size, number, frequency, or nature of the transaction) involving the purchase of service descriptions of the purchase of transaction of the purchase of the purchase of the purchase of transactions of the transaction involving the purchase of the research of the purchase of the purchase of transactions of the purchase of the purchas

IV. New sanctions regimes

Act	Brief Description
Fentanyl Eradication and Narcotics Deterrence Off Fentanyl Act ("FEND Off Fentanyl Act")	The FEND Off Fentanyl Act requires OFAC to impose (within 180 days) sanctions (i.e., asset freeze) on foreign persons that: • Are knowingly involved in significant trafficking of fentanyl, fentanyl precursors, or other opioids; or Otherwise are knowingly involved in significant activities of transnational criminal organization related to trafficking of fentanyl, fentanyl precursors, or other opioids.
Hamas and Other Palestinian Terrorist Groups International Financing Prevention Act	This act requires OFAC to impose (within 180 days) sanctions (i.e., asset freeze) on foreign persons that knowingly: • Assist in sponsoring or providing financial, material, or technological support for, or goods or other services to enable, acts of terrorism; or • Engage, directly or indirectly in a transaction with (f) a senior member of Hamas, Palestinian Islamic Jihad, Al-Aqsa, Martyrs Brigade, the Lion's Den, or any affiliate or successor thereof; or (2) a senior member of a designated "Foreign Terrorist Organization" that is responsible for providing, directly or indirectly, support to one of the organizations listed above.
Strengthening Tools to Counter the Use of Human Shields Act	This act updates a law from 2017 targeting Hezbollah and now requires OFAC to impose (within 120 days) sanctions (i.e., asset freeze, visa ban) on foreign persons that are (f) a member of Palestine Islamic Jihad (or knowingly acts on behalf of the group), and (2) knowingly orders, controls, or otherwise directs the use of civilians to shield military objectives from attack.
Illicit Captagon Trafficking Suppression Act of 2023	The Illicit Captagon Trafficking Suppression Act requires OFAC to impose sanctions (i.e., asset freeze, visa ban) on foreign persons that: • Engage in (or attempt to engage in) activities or transactions that have materially contributed to, or pose a significant risk of materially contributing to, the Illicit production and international illicit proliferation of captagon, an illicitly produced amphetamine; or • Knowingly receive any property or interest that they know (f) constitutes or is derived from proceeds of activities or transactions described above (or pose a significant risk of materially contributing to the activities or transactions described above); or (2) was used (or intended to be used) to commit or facilitate activities or transactions that have materially contributed to, or pose a significant risk of materially contributing to the activities described above.

2 | May 16, 2024 Thomson Reuters

What's next?

OFAC and the U.S. Department of Commerce will have a number of regulations to implement as a result of the National Security Supplemental fiscal package. The aspects that will be critical to watch are:

- Whether any exceptions to these rules are included. Currently
 the bill includes a number of exceptions for each regime (e.g.,
 humanitarian activities, activities tied to the United Nations),
 but the relevant agencies might add to that list;
- Whether OFAC will utilize its waiver capabilities for the mandatory sanctions. OFAC can waive the "mandatory sanctions" for limited periods of time;
- Whether OFAC will amend its recordkeeping requirements, which today generally only require records to be kept for

- five years, to align the requirements with the new statute of limitations of 10 years for IEEPA / TWEA authorized programs;
- Whether OFAC will issue guidance on how it will implement its new extended statute of limitations and how that will apply to in-progress enforcement actions that had been operating on a five-year analysis; and
- More generally, how enforcement will come to pass. The agencies may enforce different aspects of these regulations differently, which will inform the private sector where to focus diligence and compliance efforts.

Notes:

1 https://bit.ly/4ajQSO2

About the authors









(L-R) **Anand Sithian** is a partner in **Crowell & Moring LLP**'s New York office and a member of the firm's international trade, white collar and regulatory enforcement, and financial services groups. He advises clients on internal and government investigations and complex regulatory and compliance matters, including those relating to the Bank Secrecy Act and anti-money laundering,

economic sanctions, and digital assets. He can be reached at asithian@crowell.com. **Caroline Brown** is a partner in the firm's white collar and regulatory enforcement, international trade, and financial services groups in Washington, D.C. She advises clients on antimoney laundering, economic sanctions, and national security-related compliance and enforcement challenges, as well as cross-border government investigations and transactions. She can be reached at cbrown@crowell.com. **Jeremy Iloulian** is a counsel in the firm's international trade group in Chicago, where he advises clients on complex cross-border matters and policy developments that touch U.S. national security, international trade and foreign investment, including those relating to U.S. export controls, economic sanctions, antiboycott laws, and national security controls on fundamental research and supply chains. He can be reached at jiloulian@crowell.com. **Laurel Saito** is an associate in the firm's Washington, D.C., office and a member of its international trade group, focusing on U.S. export controls, U.S. economic sanctions, import regulatory compliance and international trade litigation. She can be reached at lsaito@crowell.com. The authors would like to thank partner Carlton Greene for his contribution to this article, which was originally published May 3, 2024, on the firm's website. Republished with permission.

This article was published on Westlaw Today on May 16, 2024.

* © 2024 Anand Sithian, Esq., Caroline Brown, Esq., Jeremy Iloulian, Esq., and Laurel Saito, Esq., Crowell & Moring LLP

This publication was created to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered, however it may not necessarily have been prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. The publisher is not engaged in rendering legal or other professional advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional. For subscription information, please visit legalsolutions, thomsonreuters.com.

3 | May 16, 2024 Thomson Reuters